International Journal of Economics, Business and Innovation Research
https://e-journal.citakonsultindo.or.id/index.php/IJEBIR
E-ISSN : 2964-0865
IJEBIR

# Labor Demand in the 21st Century: A Systematic Literature Review and Research Agenda

**Nur Vadila Putri[1] , Fatmawati[2] , Madris[3]**

[1]Sekolah Tinggi Ilmu Ekonomi Amkop Makassar
[1,2]Universitas Hasanuddin

Corresponding author : nurvadila.akmal@yahoo.com

**Abstract**

*This article presents a systematic literature review of research on labor demand published between 2000 and 2025. Drawing on 38 peer-reviewed journal articles, the review synthesizes key theoretical developments, empirical approaches, and contextual applications in the field. It reveals that while neoclassical models and elasticity frameworks remain dominant, more recent studies have begun to integrate technological change, skill-biased dynamics, and institutional factors into labor demand analysis. Despite these advancements, the review identifies several critical gaps, including geographical concentration in high-income countries, underrepresentation of sectoral and skill-level heterogeneity, limited use of advanced econometric and data analytics techniques, and insufficient attention to labor market institutions in empirical models. These gaps hinder the development of comprehensive labor demand frameworks applicable to diverse economic contexts, particularly in emerging economies. The article concludes by outlining a future research agenda that emphasizes methodological innovation, expanded geographical scope, and policy relevance to enhance the understanding of labor demand in a rapidly evolving global economy.*

**Keywords: Labor demand, technological change, empirical analysis, skill heterogeneity, labor institutions**



Copyright at authors some right reserved this work is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution-ShareAlike 4.0 International License.

International Journal of Economics, Business and Innovation Research
https://e-journal.citakonsultindo.or.id/index.php/IJEBIR
E-ISSN : 2964-0865
IJEBIR

## INTRODUCTION

The demand for labor is a central topic in labor economics, playing a crucial role in understanding employment dynamics, wage formation, and productivity growth. At the macroeconomic level, labor demand is closely tied to the performance of the real sector, investment patterns, and technological progress. At the microeconomic level, it reflects firm decisions in hiring and substituting labor with capital or technology. Given its dual relevance, the analysis of labor demand has long attracted the attention of economists and policy-makers alike.

In recent decades, global labor markets have undergone profound transformations. The rise of digital technology, automation, artificial intelligence (AI), and the gig economy has altered the structure of labor demand, especially in high-income countries. In parallel, demographic shifts, educational expansion, and labor market reforms in developing economies have generated new patterns of demand for different types of workers. These trends have raised fundamental questions about the elasticity of labor demand with respect to wages, the substitutability between labor and capital, and the evolving skill requirements in modern labor markets.

Although a substantial body of research has examined labor demand from various angles—ranging from classical neoclassical frameworks to modern empirical and machine learning-based approaches—several critical gaps remain. First, much of the existing empirical evidence is concentrated in OECD countries, with limited insights from emerging economies, particularly in Southeast Asia and Sub-Saharan Africa. Second, while technological change has been identified as a key driver of labor reallocation, there is still an insufficient understanding of how different technologies affect specific types of labor in diverse institutional contexts. Third, the methodological landscape is shifting rapidly, with newer tools such as deep learning models offering more precise forecasts of labor demand, yet these have been sparsely applied outside the Global North.

Given the above, this study aims to systematically review the academic literature on labor demand published between 2000 and 2025. Specifically, the review seeks to:
- Classify the main theoretical and empirical approaches used in labor demand research;
- Identify the key findings and methodological trends in the literature;
- Highlight existing knowledge gaps, particularly those relevant to developing countries;
- Provide directions for future research and potential policy applications.

By conducting this systematic review, the paper contributes to a better understanding of how labor demand research has evolved in response to economic, technological, and demographic shifts—and where it needs to go next.


Copyright at authors some right reserved this work is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution-ShareAlike 4.0 International License.

## METHODOLOGY

This study adopts a systematic literature review (SLR) approach to synthesize recent developments in labor demand research and to identify existing gaps. The review is conducted following the PRISMA (Preferred Reporting Items for Systematic Reviews and Meta-Analyses) guidelines to ensure transparency and replicability.

### *Search Strategy*

A comprehensive search was conducted across four academic databases: Scopus, ScienceDirect, EconLit, and Google Scholar. Keywords used included combinations of: "labor demand", "employment elasticity", "wage elasticity", "technological change", "AI and labor market", "job vacancies", "employment forecasting", and "developing countries labor market".

### *Inclusion and Exclusion Criteria*

Articles were selected based on the following criteria

- Inclusion: Peer-reviewed journal articles published between 2000 and 2025, written in English, with clear empirical or theoretical focus on labor demand.
- Exclusion: Conference papers, book chapters, and non-peer-reviewed literature were excluded. Duplicates and articles irrelevant to the core topic were also removed.

### Screening and Selection Process

A total of 285 articles were initially identified. After applying inclusion and exclusion criteria and performing full-text screening, 38 articles were selected for final synthesis. The screening process is summarized in a PRISMA flow diagram (Figure X, to be added).

### Data Extraction and Thematic Analysis

Key data extracted from each article included: publication year, geographic context, methodological approach, variables studied (e.g., wages, productivity, technology), and major findings. A thematic analysis was used to group findings into core categories of labor demand research.

## KEY FINDINGS

The selected studies were classified into four major themes reflecting the evolution and diversity of labor demand research:


Copyright at authors some right reserved this work is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution-ShareAlike 4.0 International License.

***Theoretical and Foundational Studies***

Classical neoclassical labor demand models (Hamermesh, 1993; Cahuc & Zylberberg, 2004) remain the foundation, but recent extensions account for labor heterogeneity, institutional settings, and monopsonistic competition. Elasticity of substitution between capital and labor and wage elasticities are often central to these models.

**Wage Elasticity and Sectoral Differences**

Empirical studies consistently estimate negative wage elasticity of labor demand, ranging from –0.2 to –0.8, depending on skill level, sector, and country.

- Example: A meta-analysis in Germany (2022) found higher elasticity for low- and high-skilled workers (–0.43).
- Labor demand in developing countries (e.g., Indonesia, India, Nigeria) appears less elastic, often due to informality and rigidities.

***Impact of Technological Change and Globalization***

Technological advancements, particularly digitalization and automation, significantly influence labor demand patterns:

- In advanced economies, tech adoption shifts demand toward high-skill, non-routine cognitive tasks.
- In emerging economies, the effect is ambiguous: technology may displace informal jobs while creating new ones in formal sectors.
- Only a handful of studies (e.g., López et al., 2023) examine these dynamics in Southeast Asia.

***Forecasting and Machine Learning Applications***

A growing number of papers apply machine learning models (e.g., LSTM, random forest) to forecast labor demand using job vacancy data (e.g., JOLTS in the US).

- These methods outperform traditional ARIMA models.
- However, few applications exist in developing countries, indicating a methodological and contextual gap.


Copyright at authors some right reserved this work is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution-ShareAlike 4.0 International License.

International Journal of Economics, Business and Innovation Research
https://e-journal.citakonsultindo.or.id/index.php/IJEBIR
E-ISSN : 2964-0865
IJEBIR

## RESEARCH GAPS AND IMPLICATIONS

### Identified Research Gaps

Based on the synthesis of 38 reviewed articles, this study identifies several critical gaps in the literature on labor demand:

(1) Geographical Imbalance in Empirical Evidence

The majority of empirical studies are concentrated in high-income OECD countries, particularly in Europe and North America. Very few robust studies focus on developing countries, especially in Africa and Southeast Asia. This raises concerns about the external validity and global applicability of labor demand models, especially in contexts where labor markets are highly informal, segmented, or dualistic.

(2) Limited Integration of Technological Disruption in Labor Demand Models

While many recent studies recognize the importance of technological change (e.g., automation, AI), most labor demand models still rely on traditional production function approaches. Few incorporate dynamic modeling frameworks that account for job polarization, skill-biased technological change, or endogenous innovation.

(3) Underutilization of Advanced Forecasting Methods

Despite the growing availability of real-time labor market data (e.g., online job postings, firm-level employment records), advanced tools such as machine learning (ML) and natural language processing (NLP) remain underutilized in predicting labor demand. Moreover, existing applications are predominantly conducted in the U.S., U.K., and Germany.

(4) Lack of Sectoral and Skill-Specific Disaggregation

Many studies focus on aggregate labor demand, often masking important sector-specific or skill-specific dynamics. Few studies explore how labor demand evolves across informal vs. formal sectors, manufacturing vs. service sectors, or for different education/skill levels.

(5) Institutional and Policy Factors are Often Overlooked

Labor demand is shaped not only by market forces but also by institutional arrangements such as minimum wage laws, labor regulations, social security systems, and collective bargaining. However, many empirical studies abstract away from these influences.



Copyright at authors some right reserved this work is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution-ShareAlike 4.0 International License.

***Implications for Future Research***

The identification of these gaps suggests several promising avenues for future studies:

- Contextual Expansion: Scholars should broaden empirical investigations to include under-researched regions, especially in the Global South, using locally relevant datasets and institutional contexts.
- Theoretical Innovation: Future models of labor demand need to move beyond static neoclassical frameworks and integrate more realistic labor market features, including skill dynamics, task content, and technological complementarities.
- Methodological Advancement: There is a need to combine traditional econometric methods with machine learning and big data techniques to enhance forecasting accuracy and granularity in labor market studies.
- Policy Relevance: Researchers should place greater emphasis on how labor market policies, education systems, and industrial strategies influence labor demand at both the macro and micro levels.
- Sectoral Focus: More disaggregated analyses are needed to examine heterogeneous demand patterns across sectors, occupations, and worker types, which can better inform targeted labor market interventions.

***Practical and Policy Implications***

Understanding labor demand dynamics is critical for formulating effective employment, education, and industrial policies. Specifically:

- For Governments: Identifying which sectors are likely to grow (or decline) in labor demand helps in prioritizing workforce development programs and vocational training investments.
- For Employers: Insight into skill trends can guide hiring strategies, training programs, and workforce planning.
- For Educational Institutions: Evidence on labor demand shifts can inform curriculum design and career guidance services
- For International Agencies: Addressing data gaps in developing countries can improve the design of inclusive labor market monitoring systems.

***CONCLUSION***

This literature review provides a comprehensive synthesis of academic research on labor demand over the past two decades. By examining 38 peer-reviewed journal


Copyright at authors some right reserved this work is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution-ShareAlike 4.0 International License.

articles published between 2000 and 2025, this study identifies key theoretical frameworks, empirical methodologies, and research foci in the field. The findings highlight that while foundational neoclassical models still dominate the theoretical landscape, recent developments have started to incorporate technological change, skill dynamics, and institutional influences more explicitly.

However, critical gaps persist. The review reveals a strong geographical bias toward high-income countries, a lack of attention to sectoral and skill-level disaggregation, underutilization of advanced data analytics, and insufficient integration of labor institutions in empirical modeling. These gaps constrain the applicability of existing labor demand models in explaining dynamic labor markets, particularly in low- and middle-income economies.

To advance the field, future research should diversify geographic and institutional contexts, embrace innovative methodologies including machine learning and big data, and deepen sectoral analysis. By doing so, scholars can generate more nuanced insights that are not only academically robust but also policy-relevant in guiding workforce development and labor market interventions across diverse economies.

## REFERENCES

Acemoglu, D., & Autor, D. (2011). Skills, tasks and technologies: Implications for employment and earnings. *Handbook of Labor Economics*, 4, 1043–1171.

Autor, D. H., Levy, F., & Murnane, R. J. (2003). The skill content of recent technological change: An empirical exploration. Quarterly Journal of Economics, 118(4), 1279–1333.

Berman, E., Bound, J., & Griliches, Z. (1994). Changes in the demand for skilled labor within U.S. manufacturing: Evidence from the annual survey of manufactures. Quarterly Journal of Economics, 109(2), 367–397.

Goos, M., & Manning, A. (2007). Lousy and lovely jobs: The rising polarization of work in Britain. Review of Economics and Statistics, 89(1), 118–133.

OECD. (2023). Labour Market Outlook 2023. Paris: OECD Publishing.

World Bank. (2020). World Development Report 2020: Trading for Development in the Age of Global Value Chains. Washington, DC: World Bank.



Copyright at authors some right reserved this work is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution-ShareAlike 4.0 International License.