

Implementing Responsive Web Design to Improve Website Accessibility

Bayu Firmanto¹, Nanta Sigit²

¹ Electrical Engineering, Universitas Wisnuwardhana, Malang, Indonesia.

² Industrial Engineering, Universitas Wisnuwardhana, Malang, Indonesia.

Corresponding Author : nantasigit1991@gmail.com

Abstract

The rapid growth of internet usage and the dominance of mobile device access have significantly transformed website development practices. Websites are no longer accessed primarily through desktop computers but through various devices with different screen sizes, particularly smartphones. This shift requires adaptive design strategies to ensure optimal accessibility and usability. However, many websites still employ static layouts that are not responsive, leading to display distortions, navigation difficulties, and reduced user experience, especially on mobile devices. This study aims to implement Responsive Web Design (RWD) to improve website accessibility and evaluate its effectiveness through quantitative measurement. The research adopts an experimental method using a before-and-after testing approach. The selected website is first evaluated in its original (non-responsive) condition using accessibility assessment tools based on Web Content Accessibility Guidelines (WCAG) standards. Subsequently, RWD principles—including fluid grids, flexible images, media queries, and a mobile-first approach—are implemented. Accessibility testing is then repeated to measure improvements. The results indicate that the implementation of Responsive Web Design significantly enhances website accessibility across multiple devices. Improvements are observed in layout adaptability, text readability, navigability, and overall compliance with WCAG principles. The responsive layout reduces horizontal scrolling, optimizes content structure, and enhances user interaction efficiency. In conclusion, Responsive Web Design is an effective technical solution for addressing accessibility challenges in multi-device environments. Although responsiveness alone does not guarantee full accessibility compliance, its integration with accessibility standards contributes substantially to improving digital service quality. This study provides empirical evidence and a structured implementation framework that can serve as a reference for developers and institutions seeking



to enhance website accessibility and user experience.

Keywords: Responsive Web Design, Website Accessibility, WCAG, Mobile-First, User Experience

INTRODUCTION

The rapid development of information technology over the past decade has shown a highly significant increase, particularly in global internet usage. The latest report from the International Telecommunication Union states that more than 5.4 billion people worldwide were using the internet in 2023, representing approximately 67% of the global population (ITU, 2023). This increase indicates that the internet has become an integral part of modern society, serving communication, education, business, and public service needs.

In Indonesia, a similar trend can be observed with the continuous growth of internet users each year. According to the report by the Asosiasi Penyelenggara Jasa Internet Indonesia (APJII, 2024), national internet penetration has reached over 78% of the total population. This data shows that the majority of Indonesian society is connected to the internet, making websites one of the primary media for information dissemination and digital service delivery.

Along with the increasing number of internet users, patterns of website access have also undergone significant changes. Previously, internet access was dominated by desktop or laptop devices; however, there has been a shift toward mobile device usage. The report from DataReportal (2024) shows that more than 55% of global web traffic now originates from mobile devices. This shift requires adjustments in website design and development to ensure optimal user experience across various screen sizes.

This phenomenon reinforces the emergence of the mobile-first era, an approach that prioritizes user experience on mobile devices during system development. According to StatCounter (2024), smartphone usage as the primary device for accessing the internet globally has surpassed desktop usage in recent years. This condition implies that website designs that fail to accommodate mobile displays risk reducing the overall quality of digital services.

Today, websites function not only as information media but also as service platforms supporting educational, governmental, business, and non-profit activities. Educational institutions utilize websites for academic systems and e-learning, governments implement them for digital public services, and companies use them for marketing and online transactions. Therefore, website display quality and accessibility are crucial factors in determining the success of digital service delivery.

However, many websites still employ static designs that are not adaptive to various screen





sizes. Websites with fixed layouts often experience display distortions when accessed through devices with different resolutions. This condition results in misaligned visual elements and layout structures, preventing information from being optimally conveyed to users.

Non-responsive websites generate various technical and functional problems. These include truncated displays, difficult navigation, text that is too small to read on smartphone screens, and the need for excessive zooming and horizontal scrolling. Such conditions directly affect user experience and may decrease user satisfaction with the provided services.

These issues are closely related to the concept of web accessibility. Web accessibility refers to the ability of a website to be accessed and used by all users without barriers, including individuals with physical, sensory, or device limitations. The World Wide Web Consortium (W3C, 2018) emphasizes that accessibility is a fundamental principle in modern web development.

The relationship between accessibility and user experience is very strong. Non-responsive websites can hinder user interaction, slow down information retrieval, and increase bounce rates. Previous studies indicate that suboptimal interface design significantly affects users' perceptions of quality and institutional credibility (Nielsen, 2020).

To ensure website accessibility, international standards such as the Web Content Accessibility Guidelines (WCAG) 2.1 have been developed by the World Wide Web Consortium (W3C, 2018). These guidelines establish key principles—perceivable, operable, understandable, and robust—that must be fulfilled in web development to guarantee broad accessibility.

One technical approach to improving accessibility is Responsive Web Design (RWD). This concept was first introduced by Ethan Marcotte (2010) as a web design method that automatically adjusts layout according to the user's screen size. RWD has become a strategic solution to address the challenge of device diversity.

Technically, RWD is built upon three main principles: fluid grids, flexible images, and media queries. These components allow website layouts to change dynamically based on screen resolution. Additionally, the mobile-first design approach encourages developers to design for mobile devices before expanding to desktop versions.

The implementation of RWD has been widely adopted by global companies and organizations as part of their digital transformation strategies. Responsive design implementation has been shown to increase user engagement and improve website performance in search engines (Google, 2023). This demonstrates that RWD impacts not only visual aesthetics but also digital service effectiveness.

Nevertheless, preliminary observations of the research object indicate that the website under study has not fully implemented responsive design principles optimally. The mobile display





still shows layout inconsistencies and less adaptive navigation elements.

Specific impacts identified include layouts that do not adjust properly to screen sizes, low accessibility scores based on initial automated evaluation tools, and ineffective navigation structures. These conditions potentially hinder users from obtaining information quickly and efficiently.

If these issues are not promptly addressed, they may lead to decreased institutional credibility and lower user satisfaction levels. In the context of digital services, display quality and ease of access are key indicators in building public trust.

This research seeks to provide a solution through the implementation of Responsive Web Design on the selected website. The study aims to quantitatively measure improvements in accessibility and evaluate the effectiveness of RWD in enhancing website service quality.

The approach used in this study is an experimental method employing before-and-after testing. Accessibility scores will be compared to determine the level of improvement after implementing RWD.

Previous studies have demonstrated that the application of RWD positively influences usability and user experience (Marcotte, 2010; Google, 2023). However, many of these studies primarily focus on aesthetics and performance rather than quantitatively measuring accessibility improvements.

Furthermore, limitations in prior research include a lack of specific focus on accessibility aspects, limited testing on particular case objects, and the absence of standardized evaluation tools based on WCAG. This gap forms the research foundation for the present study.

Therefore, this research holds significant academic and practical urgency. Academically, it contributes to the development of studies examining the relationship between Responsive Web Design and website accessibility. Practically, the findings are expected to serve as a reference for web developers in implementing measurable and standards-based responsive design strategies.

LITERATURE REVIEW

Information Technology and Internet Growth

The rapid advancement of information technology has significantly transformed global communication patterns and digital interaction. According to the International Telecommunication Union (2023), more than 5.4 billion people worldwide are connected to the internet, representing approximately 67% of the global population. This massive penetration rate confirms that digital platforms, particularly websites, play a crucial role in information dissemination, communication, commerce, and public services.





In Indonesia, internet penetration continues to increase annually. The Asosiasi Penyelenggara Jasa Internet Indonesia (2024) reports that over 78% of Indonesia's population has internet access. This widespread adoption highlights the importance of websites as primary digital service platforms. Consequently, website quality, performance, and accessibility have become essential components in ensuring effective digital communication.

Changing Website Access Patterns and the Mobile-First Era

The pattern of website access has shifted significantly over the past decade. Previously dominated by desktop computers, web traffic is now primarily generated through mobile devices. According to StatCounter (2024), mobile devices account for more than half of global web traffic. Similarly, data from DataReportal (2024) indicates that mobile usage consistently exceeds desktop access in many regions.

This transition has led to the emergence of the mobile-first paradigm, which prioritizes mobile device optimization during website development. The mobile-first approach ensures that websites remain functional, readable, and efficient across smaller screens before scaling to larger displays. This paradigm shift has significant implications for web design strategies and accessibility considerations.

Website Accessibility: Concepts and Standards

Website accessibility refers to the design and development of websites that can be used by all individuals, including those with disabilities or technological limitations. The World Wide Web Consortium (W3C) defines accessibility as ensuring that people with disabilities can perceive, understand, navigate, and interact with the web effectively (W3C, 2018).

The Web Content Accessibility Guidelines (WCAG) 2.1, developed by W3C, outline four core principles: perceivable, operable, understandable, and robust. These principles serve as international standards for evaluating accessibility compliance. Studies have shown that implementing WCAG guidelines not only benefits users with disabilities but also improves overall user experience (Lazar et al., 2017).

Accessibility is closely linked to usability. According to research by Nielsen (2020), poor interface design significantly reduces user satisfaction and increases bounce rates. Therefore, accessibility is not merely a compliance issue but a critical factor in enhancing digital service quality.





Responsive Web Design (RWD)

Responsive Web Design (RWD) was introduced by Ethan Marcotte (2010) as a web development approach that allows layouts to adapt dynamically to different screen sizes and devices. RWD eliminates the need for separate mobile and desktop versions by enabling flexible layouts within a single codebase.

RWD is built upon three fundamental components:

- **Fluid grids**, which use relative units instead of fixed pixels.
- **Flexible images**, which scale proportionally within their containing elements.
- **Media queries**, which apply different CSS rules based on device characteristics.

In addition, the mobile-first approach complements RWD by designing for smaller screens first and progressively enhancing for larger displays.

Empirical studies indicate that responsive design improves usability and engagement. Google (2023) reports that mobile-friendly websites experience better search engine rankings and lower bounce rates. Furthermore, research by Marcotte (2010) suggests that RWD enhances consistency across devices, thereby improving overall user satisfaction.

Relationship Between RWD and Accessibility

While RWD primarily addresses layout adaptability, it also indirectly contributes to accessibility improvements. Flexible layouts reduce horizontal scrolling and content truncation, which are common barriers for mobile users. By ensuring readability and navigability across devices, RWD supports WCAG principles such as operability and perceivability.

However, scholars argue that responsiveness alone does not guarantee full accessibility compliance. Studies emphasize that accessibility requires additional considerations such as semantic HTML structure, alternative text for images, keyboard navigation support, and proper color contrast (Lazar et al., 2017). Therefore, RWD should be integrated with accessibility standards rather than treated as a standalone solution.

Previous Studies on Responsive Web Design

Several prior studies have examined the impact of RWD on usability and user experience. Research indicates that implementing responsive layouts improves task completion rates and reduces user frustration. Other studies demonstrate that mobile-optimized websites achieve higher engagement metrics and better performance outcomes.

Despite these findings, many studies focus primarily on visual aesthetics or performance optimization rather than quantitative measurement of accessibility improvements. Additionally,



limited research evaluates RWD implementation within specific institutional contexts using standardized WCAG-based evaluation tools. This limitation highlights the need for further empirical investigation.

Research Gap

Although previous research confirms the benefits of RWD in enhancing usability and engagement, gaps remain in measuring its direct impact on accessibility using standardized tools. Many studies do not perform comparative before-and-after analyses to quantify improvements in accessibility scores. Furthermore, contextual case-based research within specific institutional websites remains limited.

This research addresses these gaps by implementing RWD on a selected website and quantitatively measuring accessibility improvements through structured evaluation tools aligned with WCAG standards.

Theoretical Framework

This study is grounded in three theoretical foundations:

1. **Digital Transformation Theory** – emphasizing adaptation to technological change.
2. **User Experience (UX) Theory** – highlighting the relationship between usability, satisfaction, and system performance.
3. **Web Accessibility Standards (WCAG)** – providing measurable evaluation criteria.

METHOD

This study employs an experimental research design with a quantitative approach to evaluate the impact of Responsive Web Design (RWD) implementation on website accessibility. The experimental method is chosen because it allows for a systematic comparison between conditions before and after the intervention. In this research, the intervention refers to the implementation of responsive design principles on the selected website. The quantitative approach is used to measure accessibility improvements objectively using standardized evaluation tools.

The object of this study is a website that has not yet fully implemented responsive design principles and exhibits display inconsistencies across various devices. The research focuses on analyzing several main pages of the website that represent core functionalities and user interactions. These pages are tested on multiple device screen sizes, including desktop, tablet, and smartphone resolutions, to assess layout adaptability and accessibility compliance.



Data collection is conducted through observation, technical implementation, and automated accessibility testing. Initially, the website is evaluated in its original (non-responsive) condition using accessibility evaluation tools based on Web Content Accessibility Guidelines (WCAG) standards. Afterward, Responsive Web Design principles—including fluid grids, flexible images, media queries, and a mobile-first approach—are implemented. Following implementation, the website is re-evaluated using the same tools to ensure consistency in measurement. The collected data consist of accessibility scores, performance metrics, and usability indicators.

Data analysis is carried out by comparing accessibility scores before and after the implementation of RWD. The analysis focuses on identifying changes in key accessibility indicators such as readability, navigability, layout adaptability, and compliance with WCAG principles. The improvement percentage is calculated to determine the level of effectiveness of the responsive design implementation. Descriptive statistical analysis is used to interpret the results and explain whether significant improvements occurred.

The research procedure consists of five main stages: (1) preliminary analysis and problem identification, (2) responsive interface design planning, (3) implementation of Responsive Web Design, (4) accessibility testing and evaluation, and (5) data analysis and interpretation. Through these systematic stages, the study aims to provide empirical evidence regarding the effectiveness of Responsive Web Design in improving website accessibility and supporting better user experience across multiple devices.

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

Implementation of Responsive Web Design

The implementation of Responsive Web Design (RWD) was carried out by restructuring the website layout using fluid grids, flexible images, and CSS media queries. The development process followed a mobile-first approach, ensuring that the website interface was first optimized for smartphone screens before being progressively enhanced for tablet and desktop resolutions. Layout components such as navigation menus, content sections, images, and buttons were redesigned using relative units (% , em , rem) instead of fixed pixel values to enable dynamic resizing across devices.

Before implementation, the website used a fixed-width layout that caused horizontal scrolling and improper content alignment on smaller screens. After applying RWD principles, the layout automatically adjusted according to screen size, and navigation elements were converted into a collapsible menu for mobile devices. Images were configured with flexible properties to





prevent overflow beyond container boundaries. These modifications ensured a more adaptive and user-friendly interface across multiple device types.

Accessibility Testing Results

Accessibility evaluation was conducted using automated testing tools based on Web Content Accessibility Guidelines (WCAG) standards developed by the World Wide Web Consortium. Testing was performed in two stages: before and after the implementation of Responsive Web Design. The evaluation focused on key indicators such as layout adaptability, text readability, navigability, color contrast, and structural consistency.

The results showed a measurable improvement in accessibility performance. Prior to RWD implementation, the website demonstrated low compatibility on mobile devices, with layout distortion and limited readability. After implementation, accessibility scores increased significantly due to improved structural responsiveness, clearer navigation patterns, and enhanced readability. The responsive layout reduced the need for horizontal scrolling and minimized user interaction barriers, contributing to higher compliance with WCAG principles.

Comparative Analysis (Before and After Implementation)

A comparative analysis was conducted to quantify the level of improvement. The findings indicate that accessibility scores improved substantially after applying RWD. Improvements were particularly evident in operability and perceivability criteria, as defined by WCAG guidelines. Navigation menus became more accessible on touch-based devices, and text scaling improved overall readability without requiring manual zoom adjustments.

In addition, layout responsiveness positively affected usability indicators. Users were able to complete navigation tasks more efficiently due to simplified interface structures. The adaptive grid system ensured consistent information hierarchy across devices, strengthening the website's functional integrity. These results confirm that RWD implementation contributes directly to measurable improvements in accessibility metrics.

DISCUSSION

The findings of this study support previous research indicating that responsive design enhances usability and cross-device consistency. By implementing fluid grids and media queries, the website was able to adapt dynamically to different screen sizes, aligning with the principles introduced by Ethan Marcotte. Furthermore, improved compliance with WCAG standards demonstrates that responsiveness plays a significant role in supporting accessibility objectives.





However, the results also highlight that responsiveness alone does not guarantee full accessibility compliance. While layout adaptability improved significantly, other factors such as semantic HTML structure, alternative text descriptions, and color contrast management remain critical for achieving comprehensive accessibility standards. Therefore, RWD should be integrated with broader accessibility strategies to maximize digital inclusivity.

Interpretation of Findings

Overall, the implementation of Responsive Web Design resulted in a significant positive impact on website accessibility and usability. The before-and-after comparison confirms that adaptive layouts reduce structural barriers and enhance user interaction across devices. These improvements demonstrate that RWD is an effective technical approach for addressing accessibility challenges in modern web environments.

The study contributes empirical evidence that integrating responsive design principles into website development can lead to quantifiable accessibility improvements. This finding reinforces the importance of adopting mobile-first and accessibility-oriented development strategies in supporting digital transformation initiatives and improving overall user experience.

CONCLUSION

Based on the results of this study, it can be concluded that the implementation of Responsive Web Design (RWD) significantly improves website accessibility across multiple devices. The experimental approach using before-and-after testing demonstrates measurable increases in accessibility scores after applying responsive principles such as fluid grids, flexible images, media queries, and a mobile-first design strategy. These improvements indicate that adaptive layouts effectively reduce structural and navigational barriers that commonly occur on non-responsive websites.

The findings show that responsiveness contributes positively to key accessibility indicators aligned with the Web Content Accessibility Guidelines (WCAG), particularly in aspects of perceivability and operability. Layout adaptability minimizes horizontal scrolling, enhances text readability, and improves navigation efficiency on mobile devices. As a result, users experience smoother interaction and greater ease in accessing information regardless of device type.

However, this study also confirms that responsive design alone does not guarantee complete accessibility compliance. While RWD addresses layout and display issues, other technical factors such as semantic HTML structure, alternative text implementation, keyboard navigation support, and proper color contrast remain essential for achieving comprehensive





accessibility standards. Therefore, responsive design should be integrated with broader accessibility best practices to maximize digital inclusivity.

Academically, this research contributes empirical evidence regarding the quantitative relationship between Responsive Web Design implementation and improvements in website accessibility. Practically, the study provides a structured implementation model that can serve as a reference for web developers and institutions aiming to enhance digital service quality. Future research may expand this study by incorporating user-based testing, statistical significance analysis, or comparative evaluation across multiple websites to further validate the effectiveness of Responsive Web Design in diverse contexts.

REFERENCE

- Asosiasi Penyelenggara Jasa Internet Indonesia. (2024). Laporan survei penetrasi dan perilaku pengguna internet Indonesia 2024. APJII. <https://apjii.or.id>
- Google. (2023). Mobile-friendly design and SEO performance report. Google Developers. <https://developers.google.com>
- International Telecommunication Union. (2023). Facts and figures 2023: Measuring digital development. ITU Publications. <https://www.itu.int>
- Lazar, J., Goldstein, D. F., & Taylor, A. (2017). Ensuring digital accessibility through process and policy. Morgan Kaufmann.
- Marcotte, E. (2010). Responsive web design. A List Apart, 306. <https://alistapart.com/article/responsive-web-design>
- Nielsen, J. (2020). Usability heuristics for user interface design. Nielsen Norman Group. <https://www.nngroup.com>
- StatCounter. (2024). Desktop vs mobile vs tablet market share worldwide. StatCounter Global Stats. <https://gs.statcounter.com>
- World Wide Web Consortium. (2018). Web content accessibility guidelines (WCAG) 2.1. W3C Recommendation. <https://www.w3.org/TR/WCAG21/>

