

Digital Ethics and Public Trust in the Era of Government Digital Transformation

Elza Adelia¹

¹Universitas Hasanuddin, Indonesia

Haliah²

²Universitas Hasanuddin, Indonesia

Andi Kusumawati³

³Universitas Hasanuddin, Indonesia

Corresponding Author: elzaadelia9@gmail.com

Abstract

This study explores the critical intersection between digital ethics and public trust within the context of government digital transformation. As governments increasingly adopt digital technologies to streamline public services, ethical challenges have emerged—ranging from data privacy violations and algorithmic opacity to excluding marginalized groups from digital access. These ethical dilemmas can significantly undermine citizens' confidence in government institutions if left unaddressed. This study identifies core themes and ethical concerns that influence public perception and trust through a Systematic Literature Review (SLR) of scholarly articles published between 2010 and 2024. The findings reveal that ethical misalignments in digital initiatives—particularly concerning transparency, accountability, and inclusivity—can erode institutional legitimacy. Conversely, when digital ethics are embedded into governance structures and service designs from the outset, they can enhance citizen engagement, foster social equity, and strengthen institutional trust. This study highlights the urgent need for public institutions to adopt ethics-by-design frameworks, prioritize participatory digital policy-making, and invest in inclusive digital literacy initiatives to ensure that technological progress in governance is effective and equitable.

Keywords: Digital Ethics, Public Trust, Government Transformation, e-Governance, Algorithmic Accountability



INTRODUCTION

The rapid advancement of digital technologies is transforming the landscape of public administration worldwide. Governments increasingly embrace digital transformation to improve service delivery, enhance operational efficiency, and foster greater transparency and accountability. From digital identity systems and automated decision-making tools to open data platforms and AI-driven services, the digitalization of governance holds immense potential for modernizing the public sector (UN DESA, 2022). However, alongside these opportunities come significant ethical challenges that cannot be overlooked.

Concerns surrounding digital ethics—such as data privacy violations, algorithmic discrimination, surveillance, and the digital exclusion of vulnerable populations—pose serious risks to public trust. When citizens perceive digital initiatives as opaque, unfair, or intrusive, their trust in government institutions may deteriorate, undermining the goals of transparency and engagement that digital transformation aims to achieve (OECD, 2020; Cordella & Paletti, 2019). Therefore, the ethical dimension of digital governance becomes a critical factor in sustaining democratic legitimacy and ensuring citizen-centric digital innovation.

The issue is particularly urgent in the current global context, marked by growing skepticism toward public institutions fueled by misinformation, data scandals, and widening digital divides. As governments increasingly rely on data-driven decision-making and automation, these technologies' moral and ethical foundations must be explicit, accountable, and inclusive. Against this backdrop, this paper investigates the central question: How can digital ethics be a foundation for fostering public trust in digital government initiatives? Through a systematic review of scholarly literature, the study explores the interplay between ethical governance practices and citizen trust in the digital era. Doing so aims to contribute to the discourse on how governments can responsibly manage digital transformation while upholding ethical standards that reinforce public confidence.

This inquiry is timely and essential for policymakers, public administrators, and scholars seeking to navigate the complex ethical terrain of digital governance. Understanding and embedding digital ethics into the core of government digital strategies is imperative to ensure that technology serves the public good—fairly, transparently, and equitably.

OVERVIEW DIGITAL ETHICS

Digital ethics encompasses the moral principles, normative standards, and philosophical inquiries that guide digital technologies' responsible design, development, deployment, and



governance. In public administration, where digital transformation rapidly reshapes government-citizen interactions, resource management, and decision-making, digital ethics becomes foundational. It addresses what is technologically possible and what ought to be done to uphold human dignity, justice, and democratic accountability (Putri, 2024).

Multiple ethical challenges emerge as governments increasingly integrate advanced technologies such as artificial intelligence (AI), machine learning, big data analytics, facial recognition, and algorithmic decision-making into public services. These include erosion of privacy, normalization of surveillance, unequal access to digital infrastructure, lack of consent in data usage, algorithmic opacity, and systemic bias embedded in automated processes (Dinata, 2024). Such pitfalls risk marginalizing vulnerable populations, reinforcing inequalities, and undermining institutional legitimacy (Laowe, 2025).

Digital ethics safeguards ensure that technology implementation aligns with universal human rights, civic liberties, and collective values. It promotes transparency—so citizens understand how digital systems operate, accountability—assigning responsibility for outcomes, fairness—eliminating unjust discrimination or bias, and inclusivity—designing accessible systems beneficial to all population segments (Sattlegger, 2024). More than reactive harm control, digital ethics calls for proactive, anticipatory approaches such as ethics-by-design and value-sensitive design, embedding ethical reflection and societal values into technology development from conception through evaluation (Dinata, 2024; Sattlegger, 2024).

Ethical governance requires institutional mechanisms like digital ethics commissions that facilitate reflection, advice, and oversight of emerging technologies in the public sector (Sattlegger, 2024). These bodies help balance formal governance structures with collaborative, ethical practices, enhancing legitimacy and fostering organizational learning.

Ultimately, digital ethics in governance transcends legal compliance or technical protocols. It reimagines governance as participatory, ethical, and citizen-centric. By institutionalizing digital ethics within public policy, governments can build equitable, transparent, and trustworthy digital ecosystems that advance efficiency while reinforcing the social contract between state and citizens (Putri, 2024; Dinata, 2024; Laowe, 2025)

Overview Public Trust

Public trust represents citizens' collective confidence and belief in government institutions, policies, and personnel's legitimacy, integrity, competence, and fairness. As Barnes and Gill (2000) define, trust in government is citizens' confidence in authorities to do the right



thing. It is a cornerstone of democratic governance, enabling social cohesion and policy effectiveness and serving as the moral currency that sustains the relationship between the state and its citizens. With strong trust, governments are better positioned to implement reforms, manage crises, and encourage civic participation. Conversely, a deficit of trust can lead to disengagement, resistance to policy, and institutional fragility (Van der Meer, 2010).

In digital governance, public trust is being redefined by how governments adopt, manage, and communicate their use of emerging technologies. Integrating artificial intelligence (AI), data analytics, and automated systems into public administration offers increased efficiency but raises critical concerns regarding privacy, surveillance, algorithmic bias, and exclusion. Citizens today evaluate not only what services governments provide but how they are delivered—particularly regarding transparency, ethical safeguards, and inclusivity (OECD, 2024).

Trust is earned when citizens perceive digital systems designed to serve the public interest, protect personal data, and operate with integrity and fairness. This includes clear communication on how personal data is used, avenues for redressing or contesting algorithmic decisions, and efforts to ensure accessibility for all population segments, including those traditionally marginalized in the digital space. Ethical lapses—such as unauthorized surveillance, opaque AI-driven decisions, or digital services that ignore the needs of specific groups—can rapidly erode public confidence, even in the presence of otherwise efficient technological solutions (UNDP, 2021).

Maintaining public trust in the digital age requires governments to go beyond technical competence and embrace ethical governance. This includes institutionalizing transparency mechanisms, developing robust accountability frameworks, and fostering meaningful citizen participation in designing and evaluating digital initiatives. Co-creation processes—where policies, platforms, and services are shaped with input from diverse stakeholders—can strengthen legitimacy and ensure that digital transformation reflects shared societal values (Wang, Medaglia, & Zheng, 2018).

Moreover, trust is not static; it is continually negotiated and influenced by citizen experience, public narratives, and the perceived alignment between government actions and public expectations. It must be actively nurtured through consistency, ethical leadership, and responsiveness to societal concerns. In this sense, public trust in digital governance is both an outcome and an enabler—essential for digital innovation to be embraced, sustained, and socially impactful (OECD, 2024).

By embedding trust as a guiding principle in digital policy-making and technological design,



governments can build more resilient institutions, promote civic engagement, and ensure that technological progress serves as a bridge—rather than a barrier—between the state and the people it serves (Pew Research Center, 2024).

Government Digital Transformation

Government digital transformation refers to the comprehensive integration of digital technologies into public sector operations, service delivery, policy-making, and citizen engagement. It is not merely about digitizing existing services but fundamentally rethinking how governments operate, interact with stakeholders, and deliver public value in the digital age. This transformation encompasses a shift from traditional bureaucratic models to more agile, data-driven, and citizen-centric approaches that leverage the capabilities of artificial intelligence (AI), big data, blockchain, cloud computing, and the Internet of Things (IoT) (Whatfix, 2024).

The goals of digital transformation in governance include enhancing operational efficiency, improving service accessibility and responsiveness, fostering greater transparency and accountability, and enabling evidence-based decision-making. From e-government portals and digital ID systems to smart city infrastructures and algorithmic policy tools, digital transformation initiatives can modernize public administration, reduce corruption, and close the gap between citizens and the state (Appian, 2024; Global Government Forum, 2024).

However, the process of digital transformation is not without challenges. It demands technological infrastructure, organizational change, capacity building, and institutional resilience. Governments face obstacles such as legacy system integration, bureaucratic resistance, siloed agencies, and the need for change management. Moreover, critical concerns about data governance, privacy, digital exclusion, algorithmic fairness, and cybersecurity must be addressed. These concerns highlight that technological adoption in the public sector must be guided by strong ethical governance frameworks and an unwavering commitment to public values (Third Stage Consulting, 2025; REI Systems, 2025).

Successful digital transformation requires a strategic vision aligned with democratic principles, inclusive participation, and robust institutional frameworks to manage change at scale. This includes re-skilling public servants, redesigning workflows, and fostering digital literacy among citizens. Governments must ensure that transformation processes are equitable, context-sensitive, and adaptable to socio-political realities (Appian, 2024; Whatfix, 2024).

In essence, government digital transformation is not solely a technological project but a socio-technical evolution that redefines the very fabric of governance. When implemented



ethically and inclusively, it can strengthen democratic institutions, increase citizen trust, and build more resilient, transparent, and responsive public services for the digital era (World Economic Forum, 2025).

THEORETICAL INSIGHT

Stewardship Theory And Ethical Governance

Stewardship theory offers a compelling framework to conceptualize the ethical responsibilities of government actors in the digital age. Unlike agency theory, which views public officials as self-interested agents requiring oversight and control mechanisms, stewardship theory assumes that public servants are inherently motivated by pro-organizational and collective values. These actors are seen as *stewards* of the public interest, driven by a sense of duty, professionalism, and commitment to societal well-being (Hernandez, 2008).

This theoretical lens emphasizes that digitalization is not merely a technical or administrative reform in the context of government digital transformation—it is a normative endeavor that involves trust-building, ethical judgment, and value-sensitive design. Government stewards are entrusted with managing digital infrastructures and services that affect the lives of millions. Therefore, they are expected to go beyond efficiency goals and ensure that digital governance systems are transparent, accountable, fair, and inclusive.

When integrated with digital ethics principles, stewardship theory reinforces the need for responsible data governance, including the ethical use of citizens' data, safeguards against algorithmic bias, and mechanisms for public oversight. It advocates for accountability in automated decision-making, where the outcomes of AI and digital tools must remain explainable, contestable, and subject to human review. Moreover, it highlights the significance of inclusive digital strategies that prevent the marginalization of underserved or digitally excluded communities.

By framing government officials as stewards rather than mere implementers or managers, the theory urges a value-driven approach to digital transformation that prioritizes public trust, ethical foresight, and citizen engagement. In navigating complex ethical terrains such as biometric surveillance, predictive analytics, and e-participation platforms, stewardship requires that digital innovation be guided not solely by what is technologically possible but by what is morally and socially justifiable.

In sum, stewardship theory provides a normative foundation for ethical governance in the digital era, anchoring public institutions' responsibilities in a commitment to serve and protect



public values through ethically sound digital practices.

Public Value Theory And Digital Transformation

Public Value Theory, first articulated by Mark Moore (1995), offers a normative framework for assessing the performance of public sector organizations beyond traditional metrics such as efficiency and productivity. Instead of viewing citizens as passive recipients of services, this theory emphasizes their role as active stakeholders in a democratic society. In this context, public value refers to the collective benefits generated by public institutions—benefits aligned with societal expectations, democratic legitimacy, and ethical standards.

In the digital transformation era, Public Value Theory becomes increasingly relevant as governments adopt technologies that reshape the interface between the state and its citizens. Digital initiatives must be technologically sound or economically viable and deliver publicly valued outcomes, including enhanced trust, inclusiveness, transparency, responsiveness, and equitable access to services (Bryson, Crosby & Bloomberg, 2014).

Guided by this perspective, the digitalization of public services must be anchored in public values—such as legitimacy, accountability, fairness, and social justice (Jørgensen & Bozeman, 2007). This means that government digital projects should be evaluated not solely on performance metrics like user uptake or cost reduction but on their contribution to democratic governance and societal well-being.

However, digital transformation often presents value tensions. Technological imperatives—such as automation, predictive analytics, and data centralization—may conflict with democratic principles or ethical obligations. For instance, while AI-based systems can improve decision-making speed and scalability, they may inadvertently introduce bias, reduce human oversight, or marginalize vulnerable populations. In such cases, operational efficiency must be carefully balanced with protecting public values, particularly when these systems affect access to rights, benefits, or legal recourse.

Furthermore, Public Value Theory encourages deliberative engagement with citizens in designing and evaluating digital initiatives. This participatory approach ensures that digital government solutions are compelling, legitimate, and reflective of societal norms. It also reinforces public trust, as citizens perceive that their voices are heard and that the government is committed to serving their collective interests, even in a digital context.

Ultimately, Public Value Theory reframes digital transformation from a purely administrative reform to a democratic project, where technology serves as a means to uphold and



extend public values—not as an end in itself. In doing so, it provides a critical lens through which governments can navigate the ethical complexities of digital innovation while maintaining their legitimacy and social contract with the public.

Trust Theory And Digital Citizenship

Trust Theory provides a crucial foundation for understanding how citizens engage with digital government initiatives, especially when physical interaction is limited or absent. As posited by Mayer, Davis, and Schoorman (1995), trust is built upon three key dimensions: competence (the ability of institutions to deliver effectively), integrity (adherence to ethical principles), and benevolence (genuine concern for stakeholders' welfare). These dimensions are highly relevant in digital governance, where abstract systems and impersonal interfaces often replace traditional, interpersonal modes of service delivery.

In digital environments, trust becomes a prerequisite for adoption and sustained engagement. Citizens are more inclined to participate in e-government platforms, share personal information, and comply with digital processes when they believe the government acts ethically, safeguards their data, and designs systems transparently and inclusively. This is particularly important as governments increasingly deploy advanced technologies such as artificial intelligence, big data analytics, and blockchain in public administration.

The concept of digital citizenship expands this discussion by emphasizing the active role of citizens in shaping, using, and responding to digital governance systems. Digital citizens are not merely users but co-creators of public value whose trust must be earned and continuously maintained. Trust, in this context, is not static—it evolves based on citizens' experiences with digital services, perceptions of fairness, and the visibility of ethical commitments in system design and implementation.

Several mechanisms contribute to trust-building in digital governance, including:

- Transparent algorithms and decision-making processes, which allow citizens to understand how outcomes are generated;
- Ethical data practices ensure that personal information is collected, stored, and used by privacy norms and consent frameworks;
- User-centered design, which prioritizes accessibility, usability, and responsiveness to diverse needs;
- Through proactive communication and engagement, governments provide precise, timely, and honest information about digital policies and their implications.



Conversely, breaches of ethical expectations—such as opaque data collection, exclusionary platform designs, algorithmic bias, or cybersecurity failures—can significantly erode public trust. Once compromised, trust is difficult to rebuild and may lead to civic disengagement, resistance to digital policies, or even broader institutional distrust.

Thus, ethical digital transformation must be intentionally designed to deliver functional improvements and foster citizen trust and democratic legitimacy. Embedding trust theory into the digital governance agenda ensures that technological innovation aligns with human values, reinforcing a social contract based on accountability, fairness, and mutual respect between governments and citizens.

Ethical Frameworks In Ict And Ai Governance

As governments increasingly integrate Information and Communication Technologies (ICT) and Artificial Intelligence (AI) into public service delivery, robust ethical governance frameworks are paramount. Ethical frameworks serve as normative guides that help ensure technological innovation in the public sector aligns with societal values, legal norms, and democratic principles. Two widely recognized initiatives in this space are the OECD Principles on Artificial Intelligence and the European Commission's Ethics Guidelines for Trustworthy AI, both of which articulate foundational values such as human agency, transparency, accountability, non-discrimination, privacy, and sustainability (OECD, 2019; European Commission, 2019).

These frameworks not only set aspirational goals but also offer practical recommendations for implementation—such as conducting impact assessments, ensuring human oversight, and enabling contestability of automated decisions. When applied to the public sector, they play a critical role in guiding ethical decision-making across the lifecycle of digital services, from design and development to deployment and evaluation.

However, a persistent challenge in public digital transformation is the so-called "ethics gap"—the disconnect between the rapid pace of technological advancement and the slower evolution of policy, regulation, and ethical reflection. Governments often adopt digital solutions reactively or under pressure to innovate without embedding value-sensitive design or adequate foresight mechanisms. As a result, ethical risks such as data misuse, algorithmic bias, exclusionary interfaces, and surveillance creep often go unaddressed until public backlash or regulatory intervention occurs.

To close this ethics gap, ethical considerations must be institutionalized across every phase of digital transformation. This includes:



- Ethical by design principles that integrate ethical reflection into early stages of system development;
- Multi-stakeholder governance models that include ethicists, civil society, technologists, and affected communities;
- Ethics impact assessments that evaluate both intended and unintended consequences before implementation;
- Dynamic oversight mechanisms that continuously monitor and adjust systems in response to new risks or public concerns.

Ethical frameworks should not be treated as static checklists but as living instruments that evolve alongside technological innovation and shifting societal expectations. They must also be context-sensitive, recognizing cultural, legal, and institutional differences across jurisdictions. Ethical ICT and AI frameworks in public governance serve a dual function: they protect citizens from harm and simultaneously cultivate public trust by demonstrating the government's commitment to moral responsibility and democratic accountability. Embedding these ethical foundations into digital policy enhances the legitimacy of digital transformation initiatives and ensures that technology serves as a tool for social inclusion, equity, and the public good.

DISCUSSION

Ethical Concerns In Data Management

One of the most recurring ethical concerns identified in the reviewed literature is data management, particularly privacy, consent, and data ownership issues. As governments expand their digital infrastructures—often collecting vast amounts of personal and behavioral data—citizens increasingly express anxiety over how their information is used, stored, and shared (Ghosh, 2020). This concern is especially pronounced when data collection mechanisms are opaque, consent processes are weak or absent, and legal protections are outdated or inconsistently enforced.

Studies reveal that privacy breaches, whether accidental or intentional, have a disproportionate impact on public trust. Citizens are less willing to engage with digital government platforms if they believe their data may be misused or accessed without proper authorization. The perception of surveillance—particularly when data is collected passively or without clear explanation—also contributes to a sense of disempowerment and skepticism toward public institutions.

Furthermore, the lack of meaningful consent mechanisms is a recurring issue in digital



government systems. Many platforms employ "click-through" agreements that do not enable informed decision-making, especially for marginalized or digitally less-literate populations. This practice undermines the ethical principle of autonomy and contradicts the normative commitments in digital ethics frameworks.

To address these issues, governments must implement robust data governance strategies beyond compliance and reflecting ethical intent. This includes:

- Establishing clear, transparent consent protocols that allow citizens to control what data is collected and how it is used;
- Ensuring data minimization and purpose limitation, reducing unnecessary data collection, and limiting secondary uses;
- Applying privacy-by-design principles, embedding security and ethical safeguards from the earliest stages of system development;
- Creating independent oversight mechanisms to audit data practices and handle citizen complaints or breaches.

Moreover, ethical data management requires a cultural shift within public institutions—from viewing data as a technical asset to understanding it as a representation of individual rights and identities. This shift is essential for reinforcing institutional legitimacy and rebuilding public trust in the age of digital governance.

Algorithmic Bias And Opaqueness

Integrating artificial intelligence (AI) and automated decision-making systems into public administration has introduced significant ethical challenges, particularly concerning algorithmic bias and decision-making opaqueness. These issues are not merely technical flaws but fundamental ethical risks that can erode public trust and perpetuate systemic inequalities if left unaddressed.

Research highlights that unexplained or unaccountable decisions made by algorithmic systems, especially in critical areas such as welfare distribution, law enforcement, or public housing eligibility, often result in perceptions of unfairness and exclusion (Eubanks, 2018). When citizens do not understand how decisions are made—or worse, when affected populations cannot challenge or appeal algorithmic outcomes—the legitimacy of digital government initiatives comes into question.

Algorithmic bias typically emerges from biased training data, unrepresentative model design, or flawed proxy variables that reinforce existing social disparities. For instance, predictive



policing algorithms may disproportionately target minority communities, while automated eligibility assessments for social services may penalize individuals from non-normative household structures. These examples illustrate how bias in digital systems can systematically undermine equity and justice in public service delivery.

Compounding this issue is the opacity of algorithmic processes. Many public sector algorithms are treated as proprietary "black boxes," inaccessible to the public and policymakers. This lack of transparency prevents public scrutiny and inhibits accountability, as there is no clear understanding of why specific decisions are made or how to correct them.

To mitigate these challenges, governments must adopt a range of ethical and technical safeguards, including:

- Open algorithm policies, which mandate transparency in algorithm design, logic, and deployment, allowing for public review and expert oversight;
- Explainable AI (XAI) frameworks that prioritize clarity, interpretability, and user comprehension in algorithmic outputs;
- Bias audits and fairness testing, regularly conducted by independent evaluators to detect and mitigate discriminatory outcomes;
- Inclusive design processes involving diverse stakeholders, including affected communities, in the development and assessment of algorithmic systems;
- Appeal and redress mechanisms that allow citizens to challenge automated decisions and receive human intervention when necessary.

Ethically aligned algorithmic governance also requires that governments proactively communicate the role, scope, and limitations of AI tools in public service delivery. This transparency is critical for legal compliance and sustaining public confidence in the fairness and integrity of digital government operations.

In summary, addressing algorithmic bias and opaqueness is not simply about optimizing machine performance but ensuring justice, accountability, and trust in digital governance. As AI systems continue to shape citizen-state interactions, embedding ethical safeguards becomes a prerequisite for democratic legitimacy and socially responsible innovation.

Exclusion Through Digital Design

One of the most pressing ethical issues in digital transformation is the risk of exclusion of certain groups due to inadequate or non-inclusive digital design. As governments increasingly rely on digital platforms for service delivery, a growing concern has emerged regarding the accessibility



of these services for vulnerable populations, including the elderly, rural communities, and those with disabilities. In many cases, public digital services fail to account for the diversity of users' needs, inadvertently creating barriers that prevent marginalized groups from fully participating in digital governance.

Research highlights that digital exclusion often manifests in several ways, such as interfaces that are difficult to navigate, lack of language or accessibility options, and systems that require technical literacy or internet access, which some citizens may not possess. For example, elderly citizens, who may not be familiar with new technologies or have limited digital literacy, may struggle to use online government platforms for essential services like healthcare registration, tax filing, or social welfare applications. Similarly, individuals in rural areas may face technological barriers, such as poor internet connectivity, making it impossible to access digital government services.

Moreover, disability inclusion remains a significant challenge in many digital systems. Many government websites and applications do not meet accessibility standards such as those outlined in the Web Content Accessibility Guidelines (WCAG), which are crucial for citizens with visual, auditory, or motor impairments. For instance, platforms may lack screen reader compatibility, alternative text for images, or voice-assisted navigation features, thus excluding people with disabilities from accessing their rights and services.

This exclusion through digital design can have profound social and ethical consequences. Not only does it marginalize vulnerable populations, but it also erodes the legitimacy of digital transformation efforts, as citizens perceive digital services as inequitable or elitist. In the context of public trust, the perception that government services are designed without considering the needs of all citizens can foster feelings of alienation and disenfranchisement, particularly among groups that already face systemic disadvantages.

To combat this issue, governments must adopt inclusive design principles at the core of their digital transformation efforts. Key recommendations include:

- Universal design principles that prioritize accessibility from the outset of digital service development. This involves creating platforms that are easy to navigate, even for users with limited digital skills or those using assistive technologies.
- User-centered design processes engage diverse groups of citizens, particularly vulnerable populations, in designing, testing, and evaluating digital systems to ensure their needs are met.



- Multimodal interfaces offer different means of interaction—such as voice recognition, text-based, and visual navigation options—to cater to a wide range of disabilities and preferences.
- Policy mandates for digital accessibility require public service websites and applications to comply with established accessibility guidelines and undergo regular audits for compliance.
- Community outreach and digital literacy programs, primarily targeting older citizens, rural populations, and those with limited technical proficiency, help them navigate digital services and bridge the digital divide.

By ensuring that digital services are inclusive by design, governments can not only enhance citizen engagement and accessibility but also promote fairness and equity in public service delivery. Ultimately, inclusive design fosters public trust by demonstrating a commitment to serving all citizens, regardless of their demographic or socio-economic background, and ensuring that digital transformation does not leave anyone behind.

Ethical Governance Builds Trust

The ethical underpinnings of digital governance are fundamental in shaping public trust. As digital services become increasingly embedded in public administration, governments must adopt ethical frameworks prioritizing fairness, equity, and accountability. These principles are necessary to guide the ethical use of technology and serve as the foundation for fostering citizen confidence in government initiatives.

Fairness in digital governance ensures that all citizens are treated equally and can access government services without discrimination. This includes addressing issues such as algorithmic bias, exclusion through design, and unequal access to digital tools, which can disproportionately affect marginalized groups. When citizens perceive that digital systems are fair, they are more likely to trust that they will serve their best interests and not perpetuate or exacerbate social inequalities.

Equity is equally critical, as digital transformation should ensure that no one is left behind due to factors such as digital literacy, disability, or geographic location. Governments must, therefore, ensure that all citizens, particularly vulnerable and underserved populations, can benefit equally from digital public services. Achieving this requires an ongoing commitment to inclusive design, accessibility, and affordability.

Moreover, accountability is a key pillar of ethical governance. In the digital age, where



automated systems and AI-powered decision-making play an increasing role, governments must be accountable for the decisions made by these systems. This means ensuring that algorithms are transparent, explainable, and subject to independent oversight. Transparency allows citizens to understand how decisions are made, while explainability ensures that the logic behind algorithmic outcomes can be communicated in a way that is accessible to all.

By embedding these ethical principles into digital policies, governments can begin to build the trust of their citizens. Research shows that citizens are more likely to engage with digital platforms and share personal data when they perceive that the systems are functional but also ethical and transparent (Zouridis et al., 2020). Trust is a crucial factor in determining the success of digital transformation efforts. When citizens trust that digital platforms are fair, inclusive, and accountable, they are more likely to engage with them, leading to increased usage, better public outcomes, and greater legitimacy for digital governance.

Ethics-by-design approaches, which integrate ethical considerations throughout the lifecycle of digital service development—from ideation and design to implementation and evaluation—are essential to this process. Rather than treating ethics as an afterthought, ethics-by-design places ethical considerations at the heart of digital policy-making. This proactive approach helps ensure that systems are designed with transparency, accountability, and inclusivity built in from the outset, thereby reducing the risk of harm and boosting public confidence.

Additionally, embedding ethical principles into governance frameworks creates legitimacy. In this context, legitimacy refers to the perception that digital governance systems are aligned with democratic values and public interest. When citizens believe the government is committed to ethical principles, they are more likely to support and trust digital initiatives, even when facing challenges such as data breaches, system failures, or policy changes.

In conclusion, ethical governance is not just about complying with legal requirements or meeting technical standards but also ensuring that digital services align with broader societal values and principles. Governments can foster a culture of trust that underpins successful and sustainable digital transformation in the public sector by prioritizing fairness, equity, and accountability and adopting ethics-by-design frameworks.

CONCLUSION AND IMPLICATIONS

As digital technologies continue to reshape the landscape of public administration, digital ethics has become a cornerstone for ensuring that these transformations do not undermine public



trust. Integrating ethical principles into digital government initiatives cannot be overstated. Without strong ethical safeguards, digital systems risk alienating citizens, eroding their confidence in public institutions, and weakening institutional legitimacy. Governments that fail to prioritize ethical considerations in their digital transformations may face technical challenges and societal pushback, resulting in disenfranchisement and reduced participation in democratic processes. This paper has explored how ethical frameworks such as stewardship, public value, and trust theories can provide valuable insights for creating a more transparent, accountable, and inclusive digital government. By embedding ethics into every stage of system design, governance structures, and public engagement processes, governments can ensure that digital services are efficient but also fair, inclusive, and equitable. Moreover, the ethical deployment of technology can foster a more profound sense of legitimacy among citizens, which is essential for maintaining a healthy relationship between the government and the public in the digital age.

CONCLUSION

In conclusion, digital ethics is not a mere accessory to digital transformation but a critical component for ensuring that government digital initiatives are trustworthy, legitimate, and inclusive. As governments continue to digitize their operations and engage with citizens through digital platforms, they must prioritize ethical principles that safeguard public values, promote accountability, and protect vulnerable populations. By doing so, governments can build lasting public trust, reinforce institutional legitimacy, and create equitable digital ecosystems that benefit all citizens, leaving no one behind.

Implications For Practice

The following practical recommendations can guide governments, policymakers, and practitioners in navigating the ethical complexities of digital transformation:

1. **Institutionalizing Ethical Codes in Digital Project Lifecycles:** Governments should formally integrate ethical codes of conduct into the entire lifecycle of digital projects, from planning and design to deployment and evaluation. This involves creating clear, enforceable ethical guidelines that ensure transparency, fairness, and accountability in developing and implementing digital systems. Ethical oversight should be embedded within public administration and the broader policy-making process, with regular audits and assessments of ethical practices.



2. **Regulatory Bodies for Data Ethics and Algorithmic Accountability:** Regulatory bodies must play a critical role in overseeing the ethical use of data and algorithmic decision-making. Governments should establish independent regulatory frameworks to ensure that data privacy, security, and fairness are upheld and that algorithmic systems are transparent, explainable, and free from biases. These frameworks should include monitoring mechanisms, clear guidelines for algorithmic accountability, and processes for redress and appeals in case of algorithmic errors or biases.
3. **Investing in Inclusive Digital Literacy Programs:** To prevent digital exclusion, public institutions should prioritize digital literacy programs, especially targeting vulnerable groups such as the elderly, rural populations, and people with disabilities. These programs should equip citizens with the skills to navigate digital services effectively and confidently. By fostering digital literacy, governments can ensure that all citizens can equally benefit from digital transformation, thus promoting greater equity and inclusion in the digital era.
4. **Strengthening Public Engagement in Digital Policy-making:** Ethical digital governance should not be a top-down process. Public participation is crucial in ensuring that digital transformation aligns with societal values and needs. Governments should adopt participatory design practices, where citizens, particularly marginalized communities, develop, test, and evaluate digital services. This participatory approach enhances transparency and gives citizens a voice in decision-making, ultimately boosting public trust.
5. **Promoting Ethics-by-Design in AI and Automated Systems:** Ethics-by-design principles must be embedded in AI and automation's development as they become integral to digital governance. This includes integrating ethical considerations from the outset, such as ensuring algorithmic fairness, protecting privacy, and maintaining human oversight. Additionally, governments should support explainable AI (XAI) approaches to ensure citizens understand how AI-driven decisions are made, fostering greater accountability and transparency.

Future Directions

As digital transformation in governance continues to evolve, future research and policy development must address the technological dimensions and the more profound ethical, social, and institutional implications. While current frameworks and recommendations provide a foundational response to ethical concerns, several emerging areas warrant further exploration and strategic attention to ensure digital innovation serves the public interest sustainably and



equitably.

1. Empirical Validation of Ethical Frameworks

Future studies should empirically evaluate the effectiveness of ethics-by-design approaches and institutional ethical codes in real-world digital government projects. They should assess how well these frameworks translate into practice, their impact on citizen trust, and their ability to mitigate algorithmic harms and data-related risks.

2. Cross-Cultural and Context-Specific Ethical Models

Digital ethics cannot be treated as a one-size-fits-all solution. Comparative research across cultural, legal, and socio-economic contexts is necessary to develop nuanced ethical models that account for local norms, values, and digital maturity levels. This will enhance the applicability and legitimacy of digital governance globally.

3. Ethics and Trust Metrics in Digital Governance

Robust indicators and assessment tools are urgently needed to measure ethical performance and public trust in digital services. Developing standardized metrics will enable governments and researchers to track progress, identify ethical gaps, and ensure accountability in implementing digital policies.

4. Ethical Foresight and Anticipatory Governance

The future of digital governance requires proactive ethical foresight—anticipating risks and societal impacts before they materialize. Research should focus on designing anticipatory governance models incorporating scenario planning, dynamic policy adjustments, and stakeholder deliberation to manage uncertainty and technological disruption.

5. Citizen Co-Governance and Ethical Deliberation Platforms

Future initiatives should invest in digital platforms that facilitate ethical deliberation and co-governance to enhance public participation. These platforms can empower citizens to contribute to the ethical evaluation of digital tools, influence decision-making processes, and co-create solutions that reflect diverse perspectives and lived experiences.

6. Integrating Ethics into Public Sector Innovation Ecosystems

Digital ethics should be embedded in government operations and across public innovation ecosystems—collaborations involving academia, civil society, and the private sector. Future policies must incentivize ethical innovation by funding research, supporting AI startups, and aligning procurement standards with public values.

7. Longitudinal Studies on Trust Dynamics in Digital Public Services

Understanding how trust evolves about citizens' experiences with digital services is



essential. Longitudinal and behavioral studies can uncover trust formation and erosion patterns, offering insights into which governance practices build lasting confidence in digital government.

REFERENCES

- Appian. (2024). *Government Digital Transformation Strategy: 2024 Trends*.
- Barnes, S. H., & Gill, D. (2000). Citizens' trust in government as a function of good governance and accountability. *PMC*, 2021.
- Cordella, A., & Paletti, A. (2019). Digital transformation and the role of public administration. *Government Information Quarterly*, 36(4), 101407. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.giq.2019.101407>
- Dinata, C. (2024). *Ethical Transformation in Public Administration in the Digital Era*. Jurnal Studi Pemerintahan dan Digitalisasi, Vol.1 No.2, hlm. 9-17.
- Eubanks, V. (2018). *Automating inequality: How high-tech tools profile, police, and punish the poor*—St—Martin's Press.
- Ghosh, R. (2020). Data governance in the digital era: Ethical implications and strategies. *Journal of Information Technology & Politics*, 17(3), 245–261. <https://doi.org/10.1080/19331681.2020.1804627>
- Global Government Forum. (2024). How to use big data to shape government policy and delivery.
- Hernandez, M. (2008). Promoting stewardship behavior in public organizations: A review of the literature. *Administration & Society*, 40(5), 497-521. <https://doi.org/10.1177/0095399708319185>
- Jørgensen, T. B., & Bozeman, B. (2007). Public value theory and public administration: A critical evaluation. *Public Administration Review*, 67(6), 1076-1088. <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1540-6210.2007.00830.x>
- Laowe, A. (2025). *Etika Administrasi Publik dalam Era Digital: Tantangan dan Solusi*. Triwikrama: Jurnal Multidisiplin Ilmu Sosial, Vol.6 No.12.
- Mayer, R. C., Davis, J. H., & Schoorman, F. D. (1995). An integrative model of organizational trust. *Academy of Management Review*, 20(3), 709–734. <https://doi.org/10.5465/amr.1995.9508080335>
- Moore, M. H. (1995). *Creating public value: Strategic management in government*. Harvard University Press.
- OECD. (2020). *The digital transformation of public services and government*. Organization for Economic Co-operation and Development. <https://doi.org/10.1787/8b6eb47e-en>



- OECD. (2024). Trust in government - OECD Trust Survey. OECD, 2024.
- Pew Research Center. (2024). Public Trust in Government: 1958-2024. Pew Research, 2024
- Putri, N. (2024). *Etika di Era Digital: Tantangan Teknologi Informasi Modern*. Jurnal Kajian Ilmu Komunikasi dan Informatika, Vol 8 No. 12.
- REI Systems. (2025). Ethical and Responsible AI Adoption in Government.
- Robinson, J. A., et al. (2022). Designing inclusive digital public services: A critical review. *Journal of Public Administration Research and Theory*, 32(4), 624-635.
<https://doi.org/10.1093/jopart/muab017>
- Sattlegger, A. (2024). *Ethical Governance of Emerging Digital Technologies in the Public Sector*. Springer Nature, LNCS 14891, pp. 131-146.
- Strategi dan tantangan etika dalam tata kelola digital pemerintah, *EAI Endorsed Transactions on e-Government*, 2024.
- Third Stage Consulting. (2025). The Complexities of Government Digital Transformation.
- UNDP. (2021). Trust in Public Institutions: Policy Brief. UNDP, 2021.
- Van der Meer, T. W. G. (2010). Trust in Government and Democracy. *Res Publica*, 20202.
- Wang, Y., Medaglia, R., & Zheng, L. (2018). Transparency and accountability in digital governance. *PMC*, 2021.
- Whatfix. (2024). Digital Transformation in Government: Challenges, Examples.
- World Economic Forum. (2025). How AI is helping governments drive digital transformation.
- Zouridis, S., et al. (2020). Ethical governance in the digital age: Enhancing public trust in government transformation. *International Review of Administrative Sciences*, 86(3), 451-470. <https://doi.org/10.1177/0020852319875065>

