

Framework for Chemical Disaster Response in Vocational Education Using History Case Pedagogy

Irena Rahmawati¹

¹Republic of Indonesia Defense University

Anwar Kurniadi²

²Republic of Indonesia Defense University

Syamsunisir³

³Republic of Indonesia Defense University

Corresponding Author: ir3narahmawati@gmail.com

Abstract

Chemical disasters, whether from industrial accidents, terrorism, or armed conflict, represent complex, low-frequency but high-consequence scenarios that challenge conventional vocational disaster management education. This conceptual paper addresses the pedagogical gap in preparing disaster response personnel for chemical threats by proposing an integrated competency framework grounded in historical case analysis. Drawing on experiential learning theory, reflective practice principles, and analysis of three major chemical incidents : Halabja (1988), Tokyo (1995), and Ghouta (2013) this framework articulates a three-tiered competency structure spanning foundational awareness to incident management. The paper demonstrates how historical chemical disasters can be systematically transformed into powerful pedagogical tools that develop technical, analytical, ethical, and psychosocial competencies essential for effective crisis response. This framework contributes to vocational education scholarship by offering a replicable model for teaching rare, high-stakes scenarios and provides practical guidance for curriculum developers addressing gaps in chemical disaster preparedness education.

Keywords: vocational education, chemical disaster response, historical case pedagogy

INTRODUCTION

Disaster management vocational education struggles to prepare personnel for rare but catastrophic events they may never experience during training. Chemical disasters from industrial

449

IJEVSS, Volume 04 Issue 04, 2025



Copyright at authors some right reserved this work is licensed under a [Creative Commons Attribution-Share Alike 4.0 International License](https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-sa/4.0/)

accidents to terrorist attacks and conflict-zone chemical weapons use exemplify this challenge, combining technical complexity, psychological intensity, ethical dilemmas, and coordination demands that resist conventional instruction methods (Malizia, 2016).

A significant gap exists between required chemical disaster response competencies and what vocational programs deliver. While international frameworks like OPCW guidelines emphasize multi-dimensional skills, including technical response, risk assessment, inter-agency coordination, ethical judgment, and psychosocial support, disaster management curricula predominantly focus on natural disasters with limited attention to chemical threats. When included, chemical content typically emphasizes theoretical knowledge rather than adaptive expertise for dynamic crises (Sigmann, 2018). This gap is particularly evident in contexts like Indonesia, where vocational programs focus heavily on earthquakes, tsunamis, and floods. The sensitive nature of chemical disasters compounds the problem: creating realistic training with toxic agents is ethically problematic, prohibitively expensive, and dangerous, while simulations often oversimplify real incident complexity.

Historical case analysis offers a promising solution. Well-documented incidents like the 1988 Halabja attack, 1995 Tokyo sarin attack, and 2013 Ghouta incident provide rich, authentic learning materials capturing disaster complexity without ethical or practical constraints of live simulations. However, transforming these events into effective educational tools requires systematic pedagogical design with structured frameworks, progressive skill development, and accessibility for instructors lacking specialized backgrounds.

This paper addresses three gaps: (1) articulating a comprehensive competency framework for chemical disaster response tailored to vocational contexts; (2) demonstrating systematic analysis of historical incidents to extract pedagogically valuable learning opportunities; and (3) proposing a structured model for integrating historical case analysis into vocational curricula.

The research objectives are to: (1) develop a tiered competency framework for chemical disaster response; (2) analyze three major historical incidents to identify specific learning opportunities; and (3) propose an integrated pedagogical model employing historical case analysis. This framework contributes by providing the first systematic chemical disaster competency articulation for vocational education, adapting historical pedagogy from medical and business education to disaster management contexts, and offering practical guidance for curriculum developers without requiring extensive new resources.



By positioning historical chemical disasters as structured learning opportunities, this framework transforms past tragedies into future preparedness, developing crisis response competencies for scenarios we hope never recur but must be ready to face.

LITERATURE REVIEW

Competency-Based Vocational Education: Theoretical Foundations

Competency-based education (CBE) has become the dominant vocational training paradigm, shifting focus from time-based credits to demonstrated mastery of specific competencies. (Mulder, 2017) defines vocational competencies as "integrated clusters of knowledge, skills, professional attitudes, and values required to perform complex occupational tasks effectively in authentic work contexts," emphasizing integration, context-specificity, and performance orientation.

CBE draws from constructivist learning theories and situated cognition. (Billett, 2011) argues vocational learning occurs through authentic work practice participation rather than decontextualized instruction, implying disaster management education must engage learners with realistic crisis scenarios. However, (Wesselink et al. 2010) found significant theory-practice gaps: while programs espouse CBE philosophies, assessment remains knowledge-focused and pedagogy lecture-based.

Existing disaster management competency frameworks disproportionately emphasize natural disasters, with technological hazards receiving less attention. (Kadri et al. 2014) identify five core domains transcending hazard types: technical skills, risk assessment, communication, ethical judgment, and adaptability yet these require specification for chemical disasters' unique characteristics.

The Pedagogical Power of Historical Cases

Case-based learning has deep roots in professional education. Cases provide concrete contexts making abstract concepts tangible, present complex situations developing analytical thinking, create vicarious learning opportunities, and bridge theory-practice divides. (Tomey, 2003) identify three functions: illustrative, analytical, and judgment-developmental.

(Alexander 2020) argues historical cases uniquely enable examining cascading failures and organizational breakdowns without ethical constraints. (Dowd 1992) articulates criteria for powerful cases: clear objectives, contextual complexity, embedded decision points, multiple interpretations, and connections to broader principles.



However, systematic use of major chemical incidents in disaster management vocational education remains underdeveloped, representing a significant missed opportunity given rich documentation of events like Halabja, Tokyo, and Ghouta.

Chemical Disasters: Characteristics and Competency Demands

Chemical disasters present distinct characteristics: agents behave counterintuitively with often imperceptible initial impacts, requiring technical detection capabilities. They demand specialized protective equipment and decontamination procedures frequently absent from generalist curricula. Psychological responses, fear of invisible contamination, exposure uncertainty, victim stigma, create impacts persisting beyond physical effects. Legal-security-humanitarian intersections require understanding beyond operational considerations.

International frameworks (OPCW, WHO) identify competency tiers but target specialized audiences requiring substantial adaptation for vocational contexts with limited scientific backgrounds and constrained training resources.

Experiential Learning Theory and Reflective Practice

(Kolb, 2015) Experiential Learning Theory and (Schön's 1983) reflective practice concepts provide theoretical foundations. Kolb's cycle, concrete experience, reflective observation, abstract conceptualization, active experimentation suggests beginning with concrete experiences rather than abstract concepts.

Historical cases serve as "concrete experiences" providing pedagogical substance exceeding hypothetical scenarios. Schön's distinction between reflection-in-action (thinking while doing) and reflection-on-action (retrospective analysis) illuminates cases' value: retrospective analysis builds cognitive frameworks enabling effective real-time decision-making. (Moon 2013) emphasizes effective reflective learning requires appropriate scaffolding through structured protocols and facilitation.

Disaster Education in Developing Contexts

Disaster education in regions like Southeast Asia faces distinct challenges: resource constraints, limited instructor capacity in specialized domains, and cultural learning preferences. Indonesian disaster education has expanded significantly but emphasizes natural disasters, creating potential gaps for low-frequency, high-consequence events.



To identify challenges, including theory-practice gaps, limited field experience, knowledge-focused assessment, and strained resources (Kauffman, 2022). Historical case pedagogy aligns well with these contexts: requiring minimal equipment, leveraging existing facilitation skills, implementable in standard settings, and resonating with educational traditions valuing learning from exemplars while developing analytical capabilities.

Positioning This Framework

This framework contributes by: demonstrating systematic competency articulation for chemical disaster response, including technical and psychosocial-ethical dimensions; extending predominantly natural disaster-focused literature to technological-humanitarian threats; adapting case methodology to disaster management vocational contexts; and providing actionable guidance for resource-constrained contexts.

Innovation lies in systematic integration: connecting learning theories to novel applications, articulating competencies bridging technical-humanistic dimensions, demonstrating pedagogical transformation of historical events, and providing structured guidance implementable within existing constraints.

RESEARCH METHOD

Methodological Approach

This conceptual paper employs systematic literature analysis and case study methodology to develop an integrated competency framework for chemical disaster response education. The conceptual-analytical approach focuses on synthesizing existing knowledge across multiple domains to generate novel frameworks and pedagogical models, appropriate for theory-building and framework development.

Literature Sources and Selection

The literature foundation draws from four domains:

- **Vocational Education Theory:** Peer-reviewed articles on competency-based education, case-based learning, experiential learning, and reflective practice from ERIC, Education Source, and Google Scholar (2010-2024).
- **Disaster Management Education:** Literature on curricula, training effectiveness, and professional development from journals like *Prehospital and Disaster Medicine* and *International Journal of Disaster Risk Reduction*.



- **Chemical Disaster Literature:** Medical journals, security studies, and technical reports from OPCW, WHO, and CDC providing understanding of chemical disaster characteristics and response requirements.
- **Historical Case Documentation:** Primary and secondary sources on Halabja (1988), Tokyo (1995), and Ghouta (2013), including investigative reports, testimonies, medical studies, and legal documentation.

Analytical Framework

Analysis proceeded through four phases:

Phase 1: Competency Domain Identification - Systematic review of existing frameworks to identify core domains, categorized using (Mulder's 2017) framework distinguishing knowledge, skills, and attitudes, refined for comprehensiveness and vocational relevance.

Phase 2: Historical Case Analysis - Each case analyzed using structured protocols examining incident characteristics, response challenges, decision-making contexts, coordination dynamics, technical actions, psychosocial dimensions, ethical dilemmas, and lessons learned. Multiple sources triangulated findings to identify specific learning opportunities.

Phase 3: Pedagogical Model Development - Drawing on experiential learning theory, reflective practice, and case-based learning literature to specify how cases should be integrated into curricula, including learning stages, instructor roles, student activities, and assessment approaches.

Phase 4: Framework Integration - Synthesized competency domains, case insights, and pedagogical principles into a coherent framework articulating three tiers (foundational, operational, management), mapping competencies to learning opportunities, and providing implementation guidance.

Quality Criteria

Quality assessed through:

- **Coherence:** Logical consistency among theoretical foundations, competencies, case analysis, and recommendations
- **Comprehensiveness:** Coverage across multiple domains integrating diverse literature
- **Applicability:** Practical relevance grounded in realistic assessment of constraints
- **Generativity:** Capacity to generate new insights, research directions, and innovations



Limitations

As conceptual work, the framework requires empirical validation through implementation studies. Historical cases were selected based on documentation availability and may not represent all chemical disaster types. The competency framework requires refinement through practitioner consultation and field-testing. Cultural specificity of Indonesian context acknowledged; adaptation may be needed for other regions.

RESULTS : INTEGRATED COMPETENCY FRAMEWORK

Tiered Competency Framework for Chemical Disaster Response

The competency framework comprises three progressive tiers aligned with vocational education levels and professional roles:

TIER 1: Foundation Awareness

Target: Entry-level training, general responders

Core competencies include recognizing chemical incident indicators (unusual odors, unexplained casualties, vapor clouds), understanding basic agent categories (nerve, blister, choking, blood agents) and effects, identifying self-protection principles, knowing appropriate PPE types, and grasping contamination concepts. Risk assessment focuses on recognizing situations requiring specialized response and understanding general training limitations. Communication emphasizes clear hazard warnings and accurate incident reporting. Ethical-psychosocial competencies address psychological dimensions (fear, stigma), victim empathy, and responder safety priorities. Reflective competencies involve recognizing learning needs and understanding the value of historical incident analysis.

TIER 2: Operational Response

Target: Advanced training, field responders, team leaders

Technical competencies expand to conducting rapid field assessments, implementing decontamination procedures, managing contaminated casualties, coordinating with specialized teams, establishing contamination zones, and using basic detection equipment. Risk assessment includes evaluating incident scope, making protective action decisions under pressure with incomplete information, identifying secondary hazards, and adapting strategies dynamically. Communication competencies cover translating technical information for public understanding, multi-agency coordination, risk communication, balancing accuracy and panic prevention, thorough documentation, and navigating command structures. Ethical-psychosocial skills involve



managing personal stress, navigating triage dilemmas, providing psychosocial first aid, respecting cultural practices, and recognizing psychological distress. Reflective competencies emphasize critical reflection during after-action reviews, lesson extraction, pattern recognition, and contributing to organizational learning.

TIER 3: Incident Management and Leadership

Target: Specialist training, incident commanders, program designers

Technical competencies encompass designing comprehensive response strategies integrating multiple dimensions, evaluating capability gaps, understanding advanced agent properties, and coordinating complex multi-agency operations across all levels. Risk assessment involves strategic-level analysis covering immediate response and long-term recovery, high-stakes decision-making under severe uncertainty, anticipating downstream consequences, and balancing competing objectives. Communication includes leading complex stakeholder coordination, crafting strategic communications for diverse audiences, navigating political dimensions while maintaining humanitarian principles, and representing organizations in high-stakes forums. Ethical-psychosocial competencies address profound ethical dilemmas with competing values, understanding legal-humanitarian frameworks, leading organizational responses to staff moral distress, and modeling ethical leadership under pressure. Reflective competencies focus on comprehensive after-action analysis identifying systemic lessons, contributing to policy development, mentoring emerging professionals, adapting international protocols to local contexts, and engaging in professional discourse advancing the field.

This tiered structure enables progressive skill development from foundational awareness through operational capability to strategic leadership, ensuring appropriate competency targeting across vocational education levels.

Historical Case Analysis: Learning Opportunities

Each historical case offers distinct learning opportunities aligned with the competency framework:

- HALABJA, IRAQ (1988): CHEMICAL WEAPONS IN ARMED CONFLICT

Incident Overview: On March 16, 1988, Iraqi forces attacked the Kurdish town of Halabja with chemical weapons including mustard gas, sarin, and VX during the Iran-Iraq War. Approximately 5,000 civilians died immediately, and thousands more suffered long-term health consequences. The attack was part of the broader Anfal campaign against Kurdish populations.



Learning Opportunities by Competency Domain:

Technical: Responders faced unknown chemical agent mixtures requiring assessment with limited detection equipment. The incident demonstrates importance of recognizing exposure symptoms when technical identification isn't immediately possible. Long-term contamination of the town illustrates persistence of certain agents and need for environmental monitoring. Medical response challenges with overwhelming casualties show mass casualty triage principles under chemical disaster conditions.

Risk Assessment: Initial responders operated with extreme uncertainty about agent types, contamination extent, and appropriate protective measures. The incident illustrates decision-making when standard protocols are unavailable or inadequate. Responders had to weigh risks of entering contaminated areas against needs of casualties, demonstrating classic risk-benefit analysis under pressure.

Communication: Coordinating response across military, humanitarian, and medical agencies in conflict zones presents unique challenges. Political dimensions complicated information flow and access for international assistance. The incident shows how contested narratives and limited documentation can hamper both immediate response and long-term accountability.

Ethical-Psychosocial: Profound ethical dilemmas emerged including prioritization decisions with inadequate resources, documenting atrocities while providing humanitarian care, and operating in politically fraught environments. Responders confronted overwhelming trauma and moral distress. Long-term stigma and psychological impacts on survivors illustrate importance of sustained psychosocial support.

Reflective: Documentation challenges in conflict zones raise questions about evidence preservation for accountability. The delayed international response demonstrates how political considerations can override humanitarian imperatives. Comparing this incident to industrial accidents highlights unique challenges of intentional weapons use.

Pedagogical Value: Halabja serves as the most complex case, introducing conflict zone dynamics, intentional weapons use, long-term environmental contamination, and intersection of humanitarian response with documentation for justice. It challenges learners to consider chemical disasters beyond peacetime accidents and develop competencies for hostile, resource-constrained environments.

- **TOKYO SUBWAY, JAPAN (1995): CHEMICAL TERRORISM IN URBAN SETTING**

Incident Overview: On March 20, 1995, members of the Aum Shinrikyo cult released sarin nerve agent on five Tokyo subway trains during morning rush hour. The attack killed 13 and injured over



5,000. First responders and hospital staff were also contaminated, causing secondary casualties.

Learning Opportunities by Competency Domain:

Technical: The incident demonstrates sarin's effects, rapid symptom onset, and treatment protocols. Critical lessons include: importance of early responder safety (many responders were contaminated due to inadequate PPE), need for hospital decontamination facilities (secondary contamination overwhelmed initial receiving hospitals), and challenges of agent detection in chaotic urban environments. Medical surge capacity management with thousands of casualties provides realistic learning scenario.

Risk Assessment: First responders initially didn't recognize the incident as chemical terrorism, delaying appropriate protective measures. This illustrates challenges of chemical agent recognition when presentation is atypical. Rapid assessment of agent persistence, affected populations, and resource needs demonstrates field decision-making. The incident shows how initial assumptions can prove wrong, requiring adaptive responses.

Communication: Multi-agency coordination among police, fire, medical, and public health agencies occurred in real-time chaos. Information management challenges included identifying the agent, determining affected areas, and coordinating hospital notifications. Risk communication to millions of Tokyo residents required balancing public safety with avoiding mass panic. Media management became critical as global attention focused on the incident.

Ethical-Psychosocial: The incident generated mass psychogenic illness—many individuals with no actual exposure developed symptoms due to anxiety. This demonstrates psychological contagion effects in chemical incidents. Responders faced moral distress from being unable to assist all casualties immediately. Long-term PTSD among survivors and responders illustrates lasting psychological impacts. Cult motivations and victim stigmatization raise questions about terrorism's psychological objectives.

Reflective: Post-incident analysis revealed critical gaps in preparedness despite Japan's chemical weapons history. The incident catalyzed major reforms in emergency medical systems, hazmat response, and counterterrorism. Comparing pre- and post-attack protocols demonstrates how disasters drive institutional learning. The cult's capability to produce military-grade nerve agents highlights vulnerabilities in chemical security.

Pedagogical Value: Tokyo provides the most accessible case for vocational learners—occurring in peacetime, extensively documented, with clear response timeline and lessons. It demonstrates urban chemical terrorism dynamics, medical surge challenges, and psychological dimensions. The incident's influence on subsequent preparedness reforms illustrates how learning from disasters



improves future practice.

- **GHOUTA, SYRIA (2013): CHEMICAL WEAPONS IN PROTRACTED CONFLICT**

Incident Overview: On August 21, 2013, rockets containing sarin nerve agent struck rebel-held areas of Ghouta near Damascus, killing over 1,000 civilians including many children. The attack occurred during the Syrian civil war, with contested attribution. International investigations by OPCW-UN confirmed sarin use but faced obstruction and political contestation.

Learning Opportunities by Competency Domain:

Technical: The incident involved rocket-delivered sarin affecting large civilian populations during sleep, maximizing casualties. Medical facilities were overwhelmed with hundreds of casualties arriving simultaneously, many already deceased. Field decontamination under austere conditions with limited water and supplies demonstrates improvisation. Medical responders' use of atropine and supportive care under resource constraints shows adaptive clinical management. Environmental persistence required prolonged area contamination management.

Risk Assessment: Responders operated in active conflict with ongoing security threats while managing chemical disaster. Assessment occurred with limited detection equipment and laboratory capacity. Decisions about evacuation routes, treatment priorities, and responder safety required balancing multiple severe risks. Uncertainty about additional attacks complicated response planning. The incident demonstrates assessment challenges when information is weaponized and facts are contested.

Communication: Coordinating humanitarian response across opposition-held and government-controlled areas required complex negotiations. Information warfare—competing narratives about perpetrator identity and incident details—created confusion and delayed international response. Documentation for accountability purposes while providing medical care created dual obligations. Communication with traumatized populations in conflict zones required cultural sensitivity and trust-building. Media documentation brought global attention but also ethical concerns about victim dignity.

Ethical-Psychosocial: Profound ethical dilemmas emerged: treating overwhelming casualties with inadequate resources (triage in extreme scarcity), maintaining humanitarian neutrality when attack is politically motivated (documenting war crimes while providing impartial care), and protecting vulnerable populations (chemical weapons deliberately targeting civilians including children). Responders experienced severe moral distress, secondary trauma, and burnout. Children's particular vulnerability to chemical agents and psychological trauma requires specialized approaches.



Reflective: The incident demonstrates limitations of international legal frameworks when enforcement is politically blocked. Comparing technical investigation findings with political responses shows disconnect between evidence and accountability. The incident's occurrence despite Chemical Weapons Convention raises questions about treaty effectiveness. Delayed humanitarian access and information manipulation illustrate challenges of truth-finding in post-truth environments. Long-term population displacement and health impacts demonstrate how chemical attacks function as strategic weapons beyond immediate casualties.

Pedagogical Value: Ghouta represents the most challenging case, combining mass casualties, active conflict, information warfare, profound ethical dilemmas, and international law dimensions. It prepares learners for worst-case scenarios where multiple stressors converge. The incident's contemporary relevance and ongoing implications make it particularly engaging while highlighting persistent challenges in chemical weapons prevention and response.

Comparative Case Analysis: Pattern Recognition

Systematic comparison across the three cases reveals patterns and principles applicable beyond specific incidents.

Common Patterns:

1. **Initial Recognition Challenges** - All incidents experienced delays in recognizing chemical agent involvement, causing inadequate protection and secondary contamination, emphasizing the critical importance of maintaining chemical threat awareness.
2. **Medical Surge Overwhelms Systems** - Each incident produced casualty loads exceeding normal capacity, requiring improvised solutions and difficult triage decisions, demonstrating the importance of surge capacity planning and mass casualty management competencies.
3. **Psychological Dimensions Equal Physical** - Fear, panic, psychogenic symptoms, long-term trauma, and responder moral distress were prominent in all incidents, validating the framework's integration of psychosocial alongside technical dimensions.
4. **Coordination Complexity** - Multi-agency response with different protocols created coordination challenges in every case, highlighting effective leadership, clear command structures, and practiced coordination protocols as critical success factors.
5. **Documentation Tensions** - Balancing immediate response with documentation for learning and accountability created tensions, particularly in politically sensitive contexts, underscoring the importance of integrating documentation into response protocols.



Context-Specific Variables:

1. **Conflict vs. Peacetime** - Halabja and Ghouta occurred during active conflicts, adding security risks and access constraints absent in Tokyo, significantly affecting response strategies and resource availability.
2. **Intentionality and Attribution** - Differences in perpetrator identification (non-state terrorism in Tokyo, state military action in Halabja, contested attribution in Ghouta) affected responder roles, documentation imperatives, and psychological impacts.
3. **Resource Availability** - Tokyo's developed infrastructure contrasted with Halabja and Ghouta's conflict-degraded systems, fundamentally shaping response possibilities and outcomes.
4. **Agent Characteristics** - Different agents (VX, sarin, mustard gas) produced varying effects, persistence, and treatment requirements, demonstrating the need for adaptive rather than formulaic responses.
5. **Population Demographics** - Varying population characteristics (sleeping civilians in Ghouta, commuters in Tokyo, mixed combatants-civilians in Halabja) influenced casualty patterns, treatment needs, and psychological impacts.

Transferable Principles:

From these patterns emerge principles applicable across chemical disasters:

- **Expect the Unexpected:** Maintain awareness even in unlikely scenarios
- **Responder Safety First:** Appropriate PPE is non-negotiable
- **Improvise Within Principles:** Core principles (contamination control, systematic triage, clear communication) remain applicable despite varying circumstances
- **Integrate Psychosocial:** Address psychological dimensions from initial response through long-term recovery
- **Document Systematically:** Evidence preservation supports response improvement and accountability
- **Coordinate Deliberately:** Multi-agency response requires practiced protocols and flexible leadership

These principles provide learners with transferable mental models applicable to novel chemical disaster scenarios they may encounter.



Pedagogical Model: Three-Stage Integration**Stage 1: Structured Historical Case Analysis (Reflection-on-Action)**

Students analyse comprehensive case materials (timelines, testimonies, reports) using guided protocols examining: (1) Initial Assessment—available information, priorities, situation evolution; (2) Decision Analysis—responder choices, influencing factors, consequences, alternatives; and (3) Pattern Recognition—critical competencies, failures, transferable lessons. Small groups analyse different aspects and present findings through facilitated discussions linking observations to the competency framework. Assessment includes analytical reports demonstrating the ability to identify decision points, evaluate alternatives, and extract lessons. Instructors facilitate inquiry through questioning, provide context, and guide students from description to analytical insight.

Stage 2: Comparative Analysis and Pattern Recognition

Students systematically compare cases using matrices examining: Response Effectiveness (technical, coordination, communication, psychosocial aspects across cases); Contextual Variables (conflict vs. peacetime, resources, politics, agent characteristics); and Competency Requirements (critical competencies per scenario). Students develop "mental models" of chemical disaster dynamics through concept maps, syntheses, or presentations. Assessment includes comparative papers demonstrating pattern identification, contextual influence explanation, and coherent principles articulation. Instructors guide comparative thinking, challenge superficial comparisons, prevent overgeneralization, and connect patterns to theoretical frameworks.

Stage 3: Applied Simulation and Reflection-in-Action

Students apply historical insights through tabletop exercises or simulations with novel elements requiring adaptation. Tier-appropriate complexity: Tier 1 focuses on recognition and communication; Tier 2 on field coordination and adaptive decision-making; Tier 3 on strategic leadership and ethical dilemmas. Facilitators pause at decision points linking to historical cases. Structured debriefs examine decisions, historical influences, effectiveness, and development needs. Students maintain reflective journals documenting competency development. Assessment uses competency-specific rubrics for performance and evaluates reflection depth. Instructors design appropriately challenging simulations, facilitate deep reflection, and create psychologically safe learning environments.

Pedagogical Scaffolding

The model provides inherent scaffolding through progressive complexity: structured analysis before simulation; individual cases before comparative synthesis; reflection-on-action



before reflection-in-action. Additional strategies include: supplementary materials for limited science backgrounds; multiple modalities for diverse learning preferences (visual, verbal, kinaesthetic); culturally adapted materials and discussion protocols; and progressive case complexity (Tokyo → Halabja → Ghouta).

DISCUSSION

Theoretical Contributions: Bridging Vocational Education and Disaster Studies

This framework advances understanding at the intersection of vocational education theory and disaster management practice. It demonstrates how competency frameworks can integrate technical proficiency with cognitive, ethical, and psychosocial dimensions, addressing critiques that CBE reduces complex practice to fragmented tasks (Wesselink et al., 2010). The five-domain structure achieves holistic articulation while maintaining specificity and assessability.

The framework extends experiential learning theory and reflective practice to disaster management vocational contexts, demonstrating how (Kolb's 2014) learning cycle and Schön's (2017) reflection concepts can guide pedagogical design for high-stakes domains where direct experience is infeasible. Within disaster education scholarship, it addresses gaps by articulating competencies specifically for chemical disasters—a category receiving limited systematic attention despite humanitarian significance (Alexander, 2015). The historical case pedagogy model provides replicable protocols for case selection, analysis, and integration adaptable to other contexts (Quarantelli, 2006).

Addressing Pedagogical Challenges in Resource-Constrained Contexts

A key contribution is demonstrating sophisticated competency development within resource and expertise constraints typical of many vocational programs, particularly in developing regions. Traditional approaches emphasize hands-on simulation with specialized equipment beyond most institutions' reach. This framework shows foundational and intermediate competencies can be effectively developed through historical case analysis requiring minimal specialized resources, with cognitive foundations enabling more effective subsequent hands-on training (Moon, 2013).

The framework addresses instructor capacity challenges by providing structured guidance reducing reliance on deep technical expertise—instructors need not be specialists to facilitate powerful learning with well-designed materials. This "pedagogical packaging" approach democratizes access to effective case pedagogy. The emphasis on collaborative analysis,



narrative-based learning, and structured guidance aligns with Asian educational traditions while developing critical thinking essential for professional practice (Billett, 2011).

Integrating Technical and Humanistic Dimensions

A distinctive feature is explicit integration of technical, cognitive, ethical, and psychosocial competencies as coequal components rather than treating "soft skills" as supplementary (Mulder, 2017). Historical cases naturally support this integration because real disasters involve these dimensions simultaneously analyzing Tokyo or Ghouta necessarily engages psychological impact, ethical priorities, and communication challenges alongside technical actions (Okumura et al., 2004; Tucker, 2007).

This holistic approach addresses what Barnett (2009) describes as "ontological" dimensions of professional education, cultivating professional identity and ways of being. The framework's inclusion of reflective learning as a core domain emphasizes that effective professionals continuously learn from experience, recognize limitations, and adapt to novel situations (Schön, 2017).

From Historical Analysis to Contemporary Practice

Several mechanisms support transfer from historical cases to contemporary practice. Comparative analysis explicitly extracts transferable principles rather than memorizing specifics, developing mental models applicable to novel situations (Comfort, 2007). Simulations introduce novel elements requiring adaptation of historical insights rather than replication (Kolb, 2014). Reflective practice throughout cultivates metacognitive awareness, understanding how to think about novel situations and recognize when approaches may fail (Moon, 2013). Emphasis on principles rather than rigid protocols creates conceptual anchors that remain relevant despite changing technologies or contexts (Perry & Lindell, 2003).

Limitations and Boundary Conditions

As conceptual work, the framework requires empirical validation through implementation studies measuring learning outcomes and professional performance. The three selected cases may not fully represent chemical disaster diversity; expanding the case library to include industrial accidents and diverse geographic contexts would strengthen the framework. Developed with Indonesian contexts in mind, adaptation may be needed for regions with different educational systems, though underlying principles should remain valid. The framework emphasizes



preparedness and response with less attention to recovery and prevention phases. Implementation assumes access to comprehensive documentation, though the focal cases are exceptionally well-documented.

Implementation Pathways and Future Research

Implementation pathways include such as curriculum development projects collaborating with vocational institutions to develop detailed teaching cases with pilot implementation (Alexander, 2015); instructor professional development workshops creating communities of practice (Billett, 2011); comparative effectiveness research measuring outcomes between case-based and conventional approaches (Wesselink et al., 2010); longitudinal studies following graduates into practice (Quarantelli, 2006); cross-cultural adaptation research ; technology-enhanced extensions using virtual reality and interactive multimedia (Comfort, 2007); and domain extension to other rare, complex scenarios.

CONCLUSION

This conceptual framework transforms historical chemical disasters into effective pedagogical tools for building disaster management competencies. It contributes to vocational education in four key ways.

First, it defines a structured competency model for chemical disaster response that integrates technical, ethical, cognitive, and psychosocial dimensions areas often neglected in current programs. Second, it analyzes three major incidents : Halabja (1988), Tokyo (1995), and Ghouta (2013) to show how real-world events can serve as systematic learning materials. Third, it proposes a three-stage pedagogical model based on experiential learning and reflective practice, guiding educators on how to incorporate such cases into vocational curricula. Finally, it demonstrates how this method can enhance disaster education in resource-limited contexts by emphasizing facilitation skills over technical infrastructure.

Beyond chemical disasters, the framework offers a generalizable approach to training for rare and complex crisis scenarios across professional fields. It shows how historical documentation can serve as “vicarious experience,” supporting progressive competency development from analytical reasoning to operational readiness. The model is practical, cost-effective, and scalable, making advanced disaster education accessible for institutions with limited resources.

Theoretically, it integrates experiential learning, reflective practice, and competency-based education into a coherent model for specialized vocational domains. In practice, it guides



curriculum developers to sequence historical case learning by complexity, instructors to use inquiry-based facilitation in psychologically safe environments, institutions to invest in case-based teaching capacity, and policy bodies to embed chemical disaster competencies into national standards.

Directions for Future Research

Empirical validation of this framework through implementation studies is the most pressing research need. Studies should measure competency development across the three stages using multiple assessment methods (knowledge tests, simulation performance, reflective writing quality) and compare outcomes with conventional pedagogical approaches.

Longitudinal research following graduates into professional practice would assess whether case-based training predicts better field performance, though methodological challenges in isolating educational effects from other variables are substantial. Cross-cultural implementation research could examine how adaptation requirements vary across educational contexts and whether core pedagogical principles remain valid across diverse settings.

Extension of the framework to other chemical disaster types (industrial accidents, other terrorism scenarios) and other rare, high-consequence disaster domains (radiological, biological, cascading multi-hazard events) would enhance comprehensiveness and test transferability.

Closing Reflection

Chemical disasters whether caused by industrial failures, acts of terrorism, or warfare expose both the destructive and redemptive capacities of humanity. Incidents such as the sarin gas attack in Tokyo (1995), the chemical bombardment of Halabja (1988), and the nerve agent assault in Ghouta (2013) illustrate how responders have displayed professionalism and moral courage under extreme conditions (Tucker, 2008). Documenting and studying these events allows future disaster professionals to acquire vital competencies in crisis management, ethics, and psychosocial care without direct exposure to such trauma.

This approach, often termed *historical case pedagogy*, represents a bridge between tragedy and preparedness. Through systematic analysis of past chemical disasters, educators can transform historical suffering into actionable lessons that improve resilience and response capacity. As Alexander (2015) argues, disaster education grounded in real-world cases enhances critical thinking, contextual understanding, and adaptive expertise. By learning deeply from the past,



vocational education can uphold the ethical responsibility of honoring victims through prevention and improved readiness.

The proposed framework situates this pedagogical method within a structured competency model that blends technical knowledge, ethical reflection, and experiential learning (Kolb, 2014; Schön, 2017). It emphasizes accessibility and adaptability, particularly relevant in developing regions where resources for advanced simulation or laboratory training remain limited (Paton & Johnston, 2017). The model enables instructors to guide inquiry-based learning, cultivating both analytical reasoning and emotional resilience among learners.

In a century defined by climate change, urbanization, and technological interdependence, future disasters will evolve in form and scale. Yet the principles of effective response, a situational awareness, empathy, coordination, and ethical decision-making, remain constant (Comfort, 2007). The framework's ultimate value lies not in theoretical elegance but in its ability to help educators cultivate disaster professionals who are not only technically competent but also humane, reflective, and prepared to serve under uncertainty.

REFERENCES

- Alexander, D. (2015). *Disaster and Emergency Planning for Preparedness, Response, and Recovery*. Oxford University Press.
- Barnett, R. (2009). Knowing and becoming in the higher education curriculum. *Studies in Higher Education*, 34(4), 429-440.
- Billett, S. (2011). *Vocational Education: Purposes, Traditions and Prospects*. Springer
- Comfort, L. K. (2007). Crisis management in hindsight: Cognition, communication, coordination, and control. *Public Administration Review*, 67(s1), 189-197.
- Dowd, J. J. (1992). Case Method Teaching: Suggestions for Practice. *Marketing Education Review*. <https://doi.org/10.1080/10528008.1992.11488381>
- Kadri, F., Birregah, B., & Châtelet, E. (2014). The impact of natural disasters on critical infrastructures: A domino effect-based study. *Journal of Homeland Security and Emergency Management*, 11(2), 217-241
- Kaufman, B. E. (2022). The academic-practitioner gap: Past time to bring in the practitioner perspective. *Human Resource Management Review*. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.hrmr.2022.100895>
- Kolb, D. A. (2014). *Experiential Learning: Experience as the Source of Learning and Development* (2nd ed.). Pearson Education.



- Malizia, A. (2016). Disaster management in case of CBRNe events: an innovative methodology to improve the safety knowledge of advisors and first responders. *Defense & Security Analysis*. <https://doi.org/10.1080/14751798.2015.1130319>
- Moon, J. A. (2013). *A Handbook of Reflective and Experiential Learning: Theory and Practice*. RoutledgeFalmer.
- Mulder, M. (2017). *Competence-Based Vocational and Professional Education: Bridging the Worlds of Work and Education*. Springer
- Okumura, T., Hisaoka, T., Yamada, A., Naito, T., Isonuma, H., Okumura, S., Miura, K., Sakurada, M., Maekawa, H., Ishimatsu, S., & Takasu, N. (2004). The Tokyo subway sarin attack—Lessons learned. *Toxicology and Applied Pharmacology*, 207(2), S471-S476.
- Paton, D., & Johnston, D. (2017). *Disaster Resilience: An Integrated Approach*. Charles C Thomas Publisher.
- Perry, R. W., & Lindell, M. K. (2003). Preparedness for emergency response: Guidelines for the emergency planning process. *Disasters*, 27(4), 336-350.
- Quarantelli, E. L. (2006). Catastrophes are different from disasters: Some implications for crisis planning and managing drawn from Katrina. *Social Science Research Council*. <https://items.ssrc.org/understanding-katrina/>
- Schön, D. A. (2017). *The Reflective Practitioner: How Professionals Think in Action*. Routledge
- Sigmann, S. B. (2018). Chemical safety education for the 21st century — Fostering safety information competency in chemists. *Journal of Chemical Health and Safety*. <https://doi.org/10.1016/J.JCHAS.2017.11.002>
- Tomey, A. M. (2003). Learning with cases. *Journal of Continuing Education in Nursing*. <https://doi.org/10.3928/0022-0124-20030101-07>
- Tucker, J. B. (2007). *War of Nerves: Chemical Warfare from World War I to Al-Qaeda*. Pantheon Books.
- Wesselink, R., de Jong, C., & Biemans, H. J. A. (2010). Aspects of competence-based education as footholds to improve the connectivity between learning in school and in the workplace. *Vocations and Learning*, 3(1), 19-38

