

Limited Legal Assistance As Significant Obstacle To Justice

Kurnia Ali Syarif¹ *

¹ Faculty of Social Sciences and Law, UNM

Email: kurnia.ali.syarif@unm.ac.id

Abstract

Limited access to legal aid exacerbates obstacles to justice, because it is easy for justice seekers to gain access to legal aid and can provide objective justice in their implementation. To solve this problem, researchers use normative legal research methods to see and describe the effectiveness of the existence of legal assistance for justice seekers. From the results of the research, it was found that to overcome limited legal aid as an obstacle to justice requires a multi-aspect approach. Several potential solutions that need to be implemented include finding solutions to financial constraints, resolving gaps in knowledge and information about the law, balancing bargaining power that seems unequal, facilitating access to legal aid, and dealing with the complexity of legal procedures.

Keywords: Legal Assistance; Justice; Obstacle.

INTRODUCTION

Access to legal aid is a fundamental aspect of ensuring fairness and equality in the legal system. However, limited legal aid poses significant challenges to individuals who are unable to afford personal representation, which in turn hinders their ability to deal effectively with the complexities of the legal process. These barriers create disparities in access to justice, as those who are financially able are more likely to receive quality legal support, while others may have to rely on overburdened public defenders or may not be able to afford legal representation at all.

Legal representation can be very expensive, placing it beyond the reach of many individuals. As a result, they may lack the necessary resources to pursue their legal rights and



participate effectively in the judicial process. This can lead to unequal treatment before the law, as those with the financial means are more likely to receive quality legal assistance, ensuring a stronger defense or representation.

Individuals with no legal expertise may feel disadvantaged when faced with a complex legal system. Navigating legal procedures, understanding rights and obligations, and identifying available remedies can be complex and intimidating. Limited legal aid means that people may not have access to the guidance and information needed to understand and effectively present their cases or defend themselves in court. This knowledge gap further perpetuates the unequal treatment of individuals within the justice system.

Limited access to legal aid exacerbates barriers to justice. Legal aid services play an important role in providing free or low-cost legal assistance to those who cannot afford private representation. However, the availability of legal aid is often limited due to insufficient funds, lack of awareness about legal aid services, and inadequate outreach efforts. This further hinders individuals from accessing the necessary legal support, exacerbating imbalances in the judicial process.

RESEARCH METHOD

The type of research used is Normative Legal Research which looks at the effectiveness of the existence of legal assistance. The type of data used is secondary data where the data generated from this research is library data. To obtain secondary data, the authors conducted a search for primary, secondary and tertiary legal materials. After obtaining data related to the research object, the data will be analyzed in several ways to obtain qualitative research results. The commonly used way is to classify the data then systematize the data and then interpret it critically. After the process has been passed, the final data will be re-evaluated to support solving a problem in research Soejono Soekanto, 2006).

RESULT AND DISCUSION

Based on several references, it is found that there are several main factors that hinder access to justice, including:

1. Financial Barriers

Legal representation can be expensive, and many people cannot afford to hire a private attorney. A lack of financial resources can prevent people from pursuing their legal rights and participating effectively in the judicial process. This can lead to unequal treatment before the



law, as those with the financial means are more likely to receive quality legal assistance.

Hiring a private attorney can be financially taxing for many people. Lawyers usually charge an hourly rate or a flat fee for their services, and this fee can vary widely depending on the complexity of the case, the experience of the attorney, and the jurisdiction. These high costs can deter individuals from seeking legal representation, especially for low-income individuals or those facing financial difficulties (Pogo The Constitution Project, 2023).

Not only that, the inability to pay for legal representation can prevent individuals from effectively pursuing their legal rights. Without adequate legal assistance, individuals may find it difficult to understand complex legal procedures, understand the intricacies of the law, and present their case convincingly in court. This can significantly reduce their chances of a fair outcome and limit their ability to effectively assert their rights (United Nations Development Programme, 2023).

Financial constraints often lead to disparities in the quality of legal assistance received. Wealthier individuals who can afford expensive attorneys may have access to attorneys who are more experienced and skilled. These attorneys are often better equipped to build strong legal arguments, gather evidence, and represent their clients effectively. On the other hand, those without the financial means may have to rely on overburdened public defenders or may not be able to afford legal representation at all. This imbalance can result in unequal treatment and unfair outcomes in the judicial process (Deborah L. Rhode, 2004).

When individuals cannot afford legal representation, they may have to rely on overburdened public defenders or may not be able to access legal representation at all. This situation can lead to unfair treatment and unfair results in the judicial process.

Public Defenders are attorneys appointed by the state to provide legal defense to individuals who cannot afford private counsel. However, public defender offices often face significant resource constraints, including limited funds, a high caseload, and insufficient staff. As a result, public defenders may have limited time and resources to devote to each case, compromising their ability to provide strong and effective representation. This can result in a lack of thorough investigation, limited preparation, and inadequate advocacy, which can lead to unequal treatment and unfavorable outcomes for those who rely on public defenders.

In some cases, individuals who lack the financial means may not qualify for or have access to public defenders. This can occur when individuals fall outside the criteria for appointed legal counsel or when there is a shortage of available public defenders. As a result, they may have to go through the legal process on their own, without the necessary legal knowledge and skills to



present their case effectively. This lack of legal assistance can cost them dearly and result in unfair outcomes.

Without legal representation or with a burdened public defender, individuals facing powerful opponents, such as government entities or well-funded adversaries, may be at a disadvantage during negotiations. They may lack the legal expertise to effectively direct settlement discussions and may be coerced or pressured into accepting unfavorable deals. This power imbalance can result in unequal treatment and compromised outcomes.

Effective legal representation involves gathering evidence, preparing legal arguments, and presenting them persuasively in court. Without proper legal assistance, individuals may struggle to gather and present the necessary evidence, go through complex legal procedures, and articulate their cases effectively. This can reduce their ability to present a strong defense or assert their rights, leading to an unfair outcome in the judicial process.

A lack of financial means to pay for legal representation can contribute to an imbalance in the justice system, with those with the resources more likely to receive quality legal assistance, while others face challenges accessing effective representation. This inequality can result in unequal treatment and unfair outcomes for those who cannot afford legal representation (The State Bar of California, 2019).

2. Knowledge and Information Gap

Navigating the legal system can be complex and intimidating for individuals without legal expertise. Limited legal aid means that people may not have access to the guidance and information necessary to understand the rights, obligations and remedies available. This knowledge gap can prevent individuals from effectively presenting their cases or defending themselves in court.

Navigating the legal system without legal expertise can be tricky and daunting. Limited legal aid can create knowledge gaps, preventing individuals from effectively presenting their cases or defending themselves in court.

The legal system often involves complex rules, procedures and terminology that may be unfamiliar to individuals without legal training. Navigating through court proceedings, understanding requirements for filing documents, adhering to deadlines, and presenting arguments in a persuasive manner can be challenging without proper legal guidance (Sherie Gertler, 2014).

Lack of Understanding of Rights and Duties: Without legal representation, individuals



may lack knowledge about their legal rights and obligations in certain cases. They may not be aware of the legal remedies available to them or the potential consequences of their actions. This lack of understanding can hinder their ability to make informed decisions and effectively present their case or defend themselves (Ian Marsh, *etc.*, 2004).

Inadequate Information on Legal Resources: Limited legal aid can also result in individuals not being aware of the legal resources and services available to them. This can include options such as legal aid organizations, pro bono assistance, self-help resources and alternative dispute resolution mechanisms. Without knowledge of these resources, individuals may miss opportunities for support and guidance in operating the legal system (Benjamin P. Cooper, 2018).

In cases where individuals cannot afford legal representation and choose to represent themselves (known as pro-se or self-representation), a lack of legal expertise can pose a challenge. Pro se litigants may struggle with understanding and applying relevant legislation, presenting their arguments effectively, and adhering to procedural requirements. This can lead to losses in court and potential difficulties in asserting their rights (Benjamin P. Cooper, 2018).

When individuals cannot afford to hire a lawyer and decide to represent themselves in legal proceedings, it is known as pro se or self-representation. While this option may be the only choice for some due to financial constraints, it can present various challenges due to a lack of legal expertise.

One significant challenge faced by pro se litigants is understanding and relevant legislation. The legal system is complex, with numerous laws, regulations and precedents that may be applicable to a case. Without a background in law, individuals may struggle to navigate these complexities, interpret statutes correctly, and understand how legal principles and precedents apply to their situation. As a result, they may not be able to effectively argue their case or present legal arguments that could support their position.

Plus, pro se litigants may face challenges in presenting their arguments effectively. Legal proceedings involve the presentation of evidence, making persuasive arguments, and countering opposing viewpoints. Lawyers are trained in these skills and have experience in courtroom procedures, which enables them to effectively advocate for their clients. Pro se litigants may lack the necessary knowledge and skills to present their case persuasively, and this can diminish their chances of success.

Moreover, procedural requirements can pose difficulties for self-represented individuals. Courts have specific rules and procedures that must be followed, such as filing deadlines, proper document formatting, and adherence to courtroom etiquette. Failure to comply with these



requirements can result in the rejection of filings, dismissal of claims, or other negative consequences. Without legal expertise, pro se litigants may find it challenging to understand and fulfill these procedural obligations, putting their case at a disadvantage.

As a consequence of these challenges, pro se litigants may experience losses in court. Their lack of legal knowledge and skills can weaken their arguments and hinder their ability to effectively assert their rights. This can lead to unfavorable judgments or settlements that may not fully protect their interests.

Overall, while self-representation is an option for individuals who cannot afford legal representation, it is essential to recognize the potential difficulties and limitations it presents. Without legal expertise, pro se litigants may struggle with understanding and applying relevant laws, presenting arguments persuasively, and complying with procedural requirements. Consequently, seeking professional legal assistance is often advisable to ensure a fair and effective representation of one's rights and interests in the legal system.

3. Unequal Bargaining Power

In legal disputes, parties with limited legal aid may find themselves at a disadvantage when negotiating a settlement or facing a powerful opponent. Without proper legal representation, individuals may not be aware of their legal rights or the potential outcome of their cases, making them more vulnerable to unfair settlements or coercion.

Parties with limited legal aid can face significant weaknesses in legal disputes, particularly when negotiating settlements or facing powerful opponents. Lack of appropriate legal representation can keep individuals from being aware of their legal rights and the possible outcome of cases, making them more vulnerable to unfair settlements or coercion (Robert H. Mnookin and Lewis Kornhauser, 1929).

Legal representation provides individuals with important knowledge and understanding of their legal rights, options, and potential outcomes of their cases. Without proper legal assistance, individuals may lack the information necessary to make decisions during negotiations. This knowledge gap can put them at a disadvantage when bidding for a favorable settlement or defending their interests against more knowledgeable opponents (D James Greiner & Cassandra Wolos Pattanayak, 2012).

In legal disputes, parties with limited legal aid often face stronger opponents, such as well-funded companies or government agencies. These opponents may have access to experienced legal teams who can exploit knowledge gaps and leverage their advantage of power



to induce unfair settlements or intimidate individuals without proper representation (Albert W. Alschuler,1975).

Without legal expertise, individuals may be more vulnerable to coercive tactics used by powerful opponents. These tactics can include threats, misinformation, or manipulation aimed at pressuring individuals to accept unfavorable settlements or to ignore their lawsuits. Appropriate legal representatives can help individuals recognize and fight such coercive tactics, protecting their rights and interests (Albert W. Alschuler,1975).

When individuals lack legal expertise and find themselves involved in legal disputes against powerful opponents, they can be more vulnerable to coercive tactics used by those opponents. These tactics are designed to pressure individuals into accepting unfavorable settlements or to discourage them from pursuing their lawsuits altogether. Without the guidance and support of appropriate legal representation, individuals may be at a disadvantage in recognizing and effectively combating these coercive tactics.

One common coercive tactic used by powerful opponents is making threats. These threats can range from personal attacks and intimidation to threats of legal action or financial consequences. Individuals without legal expertise may not know how to assess the validity or severity of these threats or how to respond to them appropriately. They may feel overwhelmed or frightened, which can influence their decision-making process and push them towards accepting unfavorable settlements or dropping their lawsuits entirely.

Another coercive tactic is the dissemination of misinformation. Powerful opponents may attempt to mislead individuals about their legal rights, the strength of their case, or the potential outcomes of litigation. Without the knowledge and understanding of the law, individuals may be susceptible to believing these falsehoods and making decisions based on inaccurate information. This can lead to individuals making choices that are not in their best interests or abandoning valid claims due to misconceptions.

Manipulation is yet another coercive tactic employed by powerful opponents. They may try to exploit the lack of legal expertise of individuals by manipulating their emotions, using emotional blackmail, or creating false narratives to undermine their position. Individuals without legal representation may struggle to recognize and counter these manipulative tactics, making them more susceptible to being coerced into accepting unfavorable settlements or abandoning their legal claims.

Having appropriate legal representation can make a significant difference in protecting individuals from these coercive tactics. Skilled lawyers have experience dealing with powerful



opponents and their tactics. They can provide individuals with an objective assessment of the situation, help them understand their legal rights, and guide them in making informed decisions. Lawyers can also counter threats and misinformation, assertively advocate for their clients' interests, and navigate the legal process effectively.

Furthermore, legal representatives can act as a buffer between individuals and their opponents, shielding them from direct contact and mitigating the impact of coercive tactics. By engaging in negotiations or communication on their clients' behalf, lawyers can reduce the emotional burden on individuals and ensure that decisions are made based on legal considerations rather than coercion.

In summary, without legal expertise, individuals can be more susceptible to coercive tactics employed by powerful opponents. Threats, misinformation, and manipulation are commonly used to pressure individuals into unfavorable settlements or abandoning their lawsuits. Appropriate legal representation is crucial in recognizing and fighting against these coercive tactics, safeguarding individuals' rights, and protecting their interests. Lawyers can provide guidance, counteract misinformation, and assertively advocate for their clients, ensuring a fair and equitable resolution to their legal disputes.

4. Limited Access to Legal Aid

Legal aid services play an important role in providing free or low-cost legal aid to those who can't afford it. However, in many jurisdictions, including Indonesia, the availability of legal aid is limited. Insufficient funding, lack of awareness about legal aid services, and inadequate outreach efforts contribute to limited access to legal aid, further exacerbating barriers to justice. Although legal aid services are essential in providing free or low-cost legal aid to those who can't afford it (Muhammad Akbar Maulana Gustaf, 2021).¹

One of the main reasons for limited access to legal aid is a lack of adequate funding. Legal aid organizations often struggle to secure sufficient financial resources to meet the demand for their services. Insufficient funding results in limited staff, reduced outreach efforts, and limited ability to provide comprehensive legal assistance to those in need (Choky Risda Ramadhan, etc., 2020).

In many cases, individuals who could benefit from legal aid services do not know that such services exist or are available to them. Lack of awareness can prevent individuals from seeking help, leading to significant gaps in accessing legal aid. This lack of awareness can be



attributed to a lack of public education and socialization efforts to promote legal aid services (A Patra M Zen).

Effective outreach efforts are critical to ensuring that individuals who need legal assistance know what services are available and how to access them. However, in some jurisdictions, including Indonesia, there may be inadequate resources or efforts for outreach programs. This limits the reach of legal aid services and prevents individuals from accessing the help they need (Johannes Chan, year, Legal Aid In Asia).

Effective outreach efforts play a crucial role in ensuring that individuals who require legal assistance are aware of the available services and know how to access them. These outreach programs aim to bridge the gap between individuals in need and the legal aid services that can provide them with support. However, in some jurisdictions, such as Indonesia, there may be inadequate resources or efforts dedicated to these outreach programs, which can limit the reach of legal aid services and prevent individuals from accessing the help they require.

Firstly, outreach efforts are essential to inform individuals about the existence of legal aid services. Many people may not be aware that free or low-cost legal assistance is available to them, particularly if they are facing financial difficulties. Outreach programs can help raise awareness about these services through various means, such as community events, public service announcements, educational campaigns, or partnerships with local organizations. By disseminating information about legal aid options, outreach efforts can ensure that individuals in need are aware of their rights and the resources available to them.

Secondly, outreach programs provide information on how individuals can access legal aid services. Navigating the legal system can be complex, and individuals may not know where to turn for help or how to initiate the process of seeking legal assistance. Outreach efforts can provide guidance on how to access legal aid services, including information on eligibility criteria, application procedures, and the types of legal issues that can be addressed. This information empowers individuals to take the necessary steps to access the help they need and ensures that they do not miss out on available services due to a lack of knowledge or understanding.

Unfortunately, in some jurisdictions like Indonesia, there may be inadequate resources or efforts dedicated to outreach programs. Limited funding, staffing, or organizational capacity can hinder the ability of legal aid providers to conduct comprehensive outreach efforts. As a result, individuals who need legal assistance may remain unaware of the services available to them or face difficulties in accessing those services.

The lack of outreach programs can have serious consequences, as individuals may



struggle to navigate legal issues on their own or may not be aware of their rights and legal remedies. This can perpetuate a cycle of injustice, where vulnerable individuals are unable to assert their rights and access the legal support which they need to address issues such as discrimination, domestic violence, unfair employment practices, or land disputes.

To address this issue, it is crucial for governments, non-profit organizations, and legal aid providers to prioritize and allocate adequate resources for outreach efforts. This includes funding for awareness campaigns, community engagement initiatives, and partnerships with local organizations to reach individuals who may be in need of legal assistance. By investing in effective outreach programs, jurisdictions can enhance access to justice, ensure that individuals are aware of their rights, and connect them with the appropriate legal aid services to address their legal needs.

In summary, effective outreach efforts are essential in ensuring that individuals who require legal assistance are aware of available services and how to access them. However, inadequate resources or efforts dedicated to outreach programs, as seen in some jurisdictions like Indonesia, can limit the reach of legal aid services and prevent individuals from accessing the help they need. By prioritizing and investing in comprehensive outreach initiatives, jurisdictions can improve access to justice and ensure that individuals in need can avail themselves of the legal support they require.

5. Complexity of Legal Procedures

The legal system can be complex and fraught with technicalities that require legal expertise to navigate effectively. Without proper legal assistance, individuals may struggle to understand and comply with procedural requirements, resulting in their cases being dropped or their rights stripped. It can also be challenging for individuals without legal skills to navigate effectively. Without proper legal assistance, individuals may struggle to understand and comply with procedural requirements, which can lead to adverse consequences, such as dropping their case or denying their rights.

The legal system has specific procedural requirements that must be followed to ensure the fair and efficient administration of justice. These requirements can include deadlines for filing documents, rules of evidence, court protocols, and presentation of proper arguments. Without proper legal assistance, individuals may find it difficult to understand and comply with the intricacies of these procedures, compromising their ability to present their cases effectively



(OECD Expert Roundtable Background Notes,2015).²

Lack of legal expertise increases the risk of procedural errors which can have severe consequences for individuals. Failure to meet filing deadlines, improper document formats, or inadequately supported arguments may result in dismissal of the case or exclusion of important evidence. These procedural errors can significantly impair an individual's ability to assert their rights and present a sound legal standing (Emma Marshall, etc,2018).

Appropriate legal aid is essential for individuals to access justice and for their cases to be heard effectively. Without the guidance and support of legal professionals, individuals may struggle to navigate the legal system, causing barriers to accessing justice. This can result in being denied their rights, unfair treatment, and inability to present their case effectively.

Appropriate legal aid is crucial for individuals to access justice and ensure that their cases are effectively heard. When individuals lack the guidance and support of legal professionals, they may encounter numerous barriers that impede their ability to navigate the legal system, ultimately hindering their access to justice.

One significant challenge faced by individuals without legal aid is the complexity of the legal system. The legal system is intricate, with a multitude of laws, regulations, and procedural requirements that must be followed. Without proper legal guidance, individuals may struggle to understand these complexities and may not be aware of their rights, obligations, or available legal remedies. This lack of understanding can severely limit their ability to present their case effectively, increasing the risk of being denied their rights or facing unfair treatment.

Furthermore, legal professionals bring expertise and experience that can be critical in guiding individuals through the legal process. Lawyers are trained to analyze legal issues, research relevant laws and precedents, and develop effective legal strategies. They can provide individuals with an objective assessment of their case, identify potential legal arguments, and advise on the best course of action. Without this guidance, individuals may face difficulties in presenting their case effectively, leading to unfavorable outcomes or an inability to fully assert their rights.

Legal aid also plays a crucial role in helping individuals gather and present evidence. Lawyers have the skills to investigate, gather relevant information, interview witnesses, and prepare compelling arguments supported by factual evidence. They can navigate complex legal procedures related to discovery and admissibility of evidence. Without legal aid, individuals may struggle to understand the evidentiary requirements, may not know how to gather and present



evidence effectively, and may be at a disadvantage when it comes to challenging opposing evidence.

Moreover, legal professionals can provide representation and advocacy in court proceedings. They have experience in presenting arguments, cross-examining witnesses, and effectively communicating with judges and opposing counsel. This courtroom experience is particularly valuable in ensuring that an individual's case is presented in the most persuasive and compelling manner. Without legal aid, individuals may face challenges in articulating their arguments, may struggle to respond to legal arguments made by opposing parties, and may not be equipped to navigate the courtroom procedures effectively.

The absence of appropriate legal aid can result in individuals being denied their rights and facing unfair treatment. They may be unable to effectively navigate the legal system, leaving them vulnerable to exploitation or manipulation by opposing parties. Without legal professionals advocating for their rights, individuals may lack the knowledge and resources to assert their claims effectively, potentially resulting in unjust outcomes or the inability to fully present their case.

In summary, appropriate legal aid is essential for individuals to access justice and ensure that their cases are effectively heard. Without the guidance and support of legal professionals, individuals may struggle to navigate the complex legal system, face difficulties in presenting their case effectively, and be denied their rights or subjected to unfair treatment. Legal aid professionals bring expertise, experience, and representation skills that are critical in helping individuals assert their rights, navigate legal complexities, and present their case persuasively.

CONCLUSION

Addressing limited legal aid as a barrier to justice requires a multi-faceted approach. Some potential solutions include expanding legal aid programs, increasing funding for legal aid services, promoting pro bono work by legal professionals, simplifying legal procedures, and providing accessible information and education about legal rights and remedies. These efforts can help level the playing field and ensure that everyone has a fair opportunity to access and exercise the justice system.

Limited legal aid creates a significant obstacle to justice by preventing individuals from effectively navigating the legal process and participating on an equal basis. Addressing this challenge requires concerted efforts to increase access to legal aid, raise awareness about available services, and bridge knowledge gaps. By prioritizing and investing in initiatives that



improve access to legal aid, we can take significant steps to ensure a fair and equitable justice system for all.

REFERENCE

- A Patra M Zen, "Indonesian Legal Aid Foundation: Struggling for Democracy and its Own Sustainability", Focus Asia-Pacific News, Vol. 38, Hurights Osaka Japan, *no year*.
- Albert W. Alschuler, 1975 "The Defense Attorney's Role in Plea Bargaining", The Yale Law Journal, Vol. 84, No. 6, May.
- Benjamin P. Cooper, 2014 "Acces to Justice Without Lawyers", Akron Law Review, Vol. 47,
- Choky Risda Ramadhan, etc., 2020, *Legal Needs Survey in Indonesia 2019: In Lampung and South Sulawesi*, Indonesia Judicial Research Society (IIRS), Jakarta.
- D James G. & Cassandra W. P., 2012 Randomized Evaluation in Legal Assistance: What Difference Does Representation (offer and Actual Use) Make?", The Yale Law Journal, vol. 121, 2012.
- Deborah L. Rhode, 2004, *Access to Justice*, Oxford University Press, New York.
- Emma Marshall, 2018., "Family Law and Access to Legal Aid", Public Law Project, March 2018
- Ian Marsh, , 2004, *Criminal Justice: An Introducton to Philosophies, Theories and Practice*, Rouledge Taylor & Francis Group, London and New York.
- Johannes Chan, year, Legal Aid In Asia, book chapter edition, source: <https://www.cambridge.org/engage/api-gateway/law-assets/assets/orp/resource/item/5c09ad4ddbc74045bcf5e067/original/legal-aid-in-asia>.
- Muhammad A. M. G, 2021 "Legal Aid in Indonesia: Problems and Challenges", The Indonesia Journal of International Clinical Legal Education, 3(2), June 2021.
- OECD Expert Roundtable Background Notes, "Equal Access to Justice, OECD Headquarters, Paris, October 7th, 2015.
- Pogo The Constitution Project, "Equal Justice Under Law: A National Crisis in Public Defense" – This Report by the Constitution Project Highlights the Financial Barries Faced by Individuals in Accessing Legal Representation and its impact on the justice system, source: <https://archive.constitutionproject.org>, accessed on June 2nd, 2023.
- Robert H. Mnookin and Lewis Kornhauser, "Bargaining in the Shadow of the Law: The Case of Divorce, The Yale Law Journal, Vol. 88, April 1979
- Sherie Gertler, "Legal and International Aid Obligation: Ensuring Access to Justice in the Liberian Context", Columbia Human Rights Law Review, Vol. 45, No. 3, Spring 2014.



Soejono Soekanto, 2006, *Pengenalan Penelitian Hukum*, Grafindo, Jakarta.

The State Bar of California, "The California Justice Gap: Measuring the Unmet Civil Legal Needs of Californians", November 2019, Prepared by NORC at the University of Chicago for the State Bar of California, San Francisco, CA.

United Nations Development Programme, "In Pursuit of Justice: Access to Justice and Legal Aid in Indonesia" - This report by the United Nations Development Program (UNDP) provides insights into the challenges of accessing legal assistance in Indonesia, including financial barriers", source: <https://www.id.undp.org>, accessed on June 2nd, 2023.

