International Journal of Education, Vocational and Social Science
https://e-journal.citakonsulindo.or.id/index.php/IJEVSS
E-ISSN : 2963 4954
IJEVSS

An Analysis Of Government–Military Synergy In Public Defense Policy

Muhammad Yogi Laksamana Maulana
Total War Strategy Study Program, Faculty of Defense Strategy, Republic of Indonesia Defense University
Afrizal Hendra
Total War Strategy Study Program, Faculty of Defense Strategy, Republic of Indonesia Defense University
Helda Risman
Total War Strategy Study Program, Faculty of Defense Strategy, Republic of Indonesia Defense University

Corresponding Author: yogimaulana85@gmail.com

Abstract

This article examines the synergy between government and military actors within Indonesia's public defense policy by analyzing the interaction among the state, defense forces, and society. Employing a qualitative descriptive-analytical approach, the study draws on policy document analysis and empirical evidence from the implementation of defense-related public policies, particularly in the context of military operations other than war, disaster management, and community-based defense initiatives. The findings indicate that Indonesia's defense policy framework has increasingly adopted a comprehensive approach that integrates political authority, military capability, and societal participation to address multidimensional security threats. This synergy is institutionally reflected in national defense regulations and operationalized through coordinated practices between civilian authorities and the armed forces at both central and regional levels. Empirical results also reveal that while government–military cooperation has contributed positively to policy implementation and public trust, significant challenges remain. These include fragmented inter-agency coordination, variations in implementation across regions, and limited societal engagement in defense-related programs. The involvement of society through mechanisms such as reserve components demonstrates the potential for strengthening national resilience, yet its effectiveness is constrained by institutional and governance-related limitations. Furthermore, the evolving dynamics of civil–military relations in a democratic context continue to shape the quality and sustainability of policy synergy. This study contributes to the literature on

Copyright at authors some right reserved this work is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution-Share Alike 4.0 International License

public policy and defense governance by providing an empirically grounded analysis of government–military synergy beyond normative discourse. It underscores that effective defense policy requires not only regulatory alignment but also balanced interaction among political leadership, military institutions, and societal actors. Strengthening this interaction is essential for enhancing national resilience and ensuring that defense policies remain adaptive, legitimate, and responsive to contemporary security challenges.

Keywords: Government–Military Synergy; Public Defense Policy; Civil–Military Relations; National Defense Governance; Societal Participation

INTRODUCTION

The contemporary security environment has undergone significant transformation, marked by the increasing convergence of military and non-military threats. Traditional interstate warfare now coexists with challenges such as natural disasters, cyber threats, transnational crime, terrorism, and socio-political instability. These developments have encouraged states to reconceptualize defense not merely as a military domain, but as a public policy issue that requires cross-sectoral coordination and societal engagement. Defense policy has thus evolved into a governance process in which political authority, military capability, and societal resilience are interdependent components of national security (Laksmana, 2019). In this context, the effectiveness of defense policy is increasingly determined by the quality of interaction among these actors rather than by military strength alone.

Indonesia's national defense system is constitutionally grounded in the principle of a comprehensive defense that mobilizes all national resources to safeguard sovereignty and territorial integrity. The government assumes the primary role in formulating defense policy, while the armed forces serve as the main instrument for policy implementation. At the same time, society is formally recognized as a supporting component that provides legitimacy, manpower, and resilience to the defense system (Republic of Indonesia, 2002). This framework reflects Indonesia's historical and geopolitical realities as an archipelagic state with diverse social and regional characteristics, requiring a defense posture that integrates political leadership, military professionalism, and public participation within a unified national strategy (Ministry of Defence of the Republic of Indonesia, 2015).

Empirically, government–military synergy in Indonesia is most visible in the implementation of defense-related public policies, particularly through military operations other

Copyright at authors some right reserved this work is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution-Share Alike 4.0 International License

than war. The involvement of the Indonesian National Armed Forces (TNI) in disaster response, humanitarian assistance, and territorial development demonstrates how military capabilities are increasingly employed in support of civilian authorities to address non-military threats. Studies on civil–military cooperation in disaster management indicate that such synergy enhances the state's response capacity and reinforces public trust in national institutions, provided that civilian control and coordination mechanisms remain intact (BNPB, 2021; Laksmana, 2019). These practices illustrate how defense policy intersects with broader governance and public service functions.

Despite these developments, significant challenges remain in translating regulatory frameworks into consistent implementation across regions. Coordination between civilian institutions and the military often varies depending on institutional capacity and leadership at the local level, leading to uneven policy outcomes. Furthermore, while societal involvement in defense policy is formally institutionalized particularly through programs such as the reserve component public participation remains limited in practice due to governance constraints and uneven policy communication (Suharyo, 2021). These gaps suggest that government–military synergy cannot be treated as an automatic outcome of legal frameworks, but rather as a dynamic process that requires continuous institutional management and political oversight (Sebastian & Lanti, 2018).

Against this backdrop, this article examines how government–military synergy is manifested within Indonesia's public defense policy through the interaction among the state, defense forces, and society. The central research question guiding this study is: how is government–military synergy operationalized in Indonesia's public defense policy through the interaction of political authority, military capability, and societal participation, and what factors influence its effectiveness in addressing contemporary security challenges? By addressing this question, the article seeks to contribute empirically to the literature on defense governance and civil–military relations, while offering policy-relevant insights for strengthening national resilience in a complex and evolving security environment.

METHODS

This study employs a qualitative research approach with a descriptive-analytical design to examine government–military synergy within Indonesia's public defense policy. A qualitative approach is considered appropriate given that the research focuses on understanding institutional interactions, governance mechanisms, and policy implementation processes rather than measuring causal relationships or statistical outcomes. By emphasizing interpretation and

Copyright at authors some right reserved this work is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution-Share Alike 4.0 International License

contextual analysis, this study seeks to capture how political authority, military institutions, and societal actors interact in shaping and executing defense-related public policies within Indonesia's evolving security environment.

Data collection relies primarily on document analysis and secondary empirical sources. Official policy documents constitute the core data set, including national defense laws, strategic defense policies, and doctrinal publications issued by the Ministry of Defence and other relevant state institutions. These documents are analyzed to identify the formal allocation of roles between civilian authorities and the military, as well as the position of society within the national defense system (Republic of Indonesia, 2002; Ministry of Defence of the Republic of Indonesia, 2015). In addition, government reports and institutional publications related to military operations other than war, disaster management, and reserve component policies are examined to capture empirical manifestations of government–military cooperation in practice (BNPB, 2021).

To strengthen the empirical dimension, this study incorporates findings from previous academic research on civil–military relations, defense governance, and public policy implementation in Indonesia. These studies provide contextual evidence regarding coordination patterns, institutional challenges, and variations in policy execution across different sectors and regions. Drawing on established research allows this study to situate its analysis within broader empirical debates and to compare normative policy designs with observed implementation outcomes (Laksmana, 2019; Sebastian & Lanti, 2018). Rather than treating prior studies as mere background, their empirical insights are integrated into the analytical process to enrich interpretation of policy practices.

Data analysis is conducted through qualitative content analysis using a thematic approach. Policy documents and empirical studies are systematically reviewed to identify recurring themes related to government–military interaction, such as policy coordination mechanisms, operational cooperation, civilian oversight, and societal participation. These themes are then analyzed to assess how synergy is operationalized in different policy contexts and to identify factors that facilitate or constrain effective collaboration. This approach enables the study to connect formal policy frameworks with empirical realities, highlighting gaps between regulatory intent and implementation.

Methodologically, this study positions itself within the literature on defense governance and civil–military relations by focusing on policy processes rather than organizational behavior alone. While existing research has extensively examined military professionalism and civilian control, this study extends the analysis by framing government–military relations as a public policy

Copyright at authors some right reserved this work is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution-Share Alike 4.0 International License

issue involving multiple stakeholders, including society. By adopting a descriptive-narrative methodology, the research aims to provide a holistic and empirically grounded understanding of how government–military synergy functions in practice and how it contributes to national resilience within a democratic governance framework.

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

The findings indicate that government–military synergy in Indonesia's public defense policy is institutionally embedded within the national regulatory framework and reflected in policy formulation processes. National defense laws and strategic documents position the government as the primary authority responsible for defining defense policy objectives, while the military functions as the principal instrument for their execution. At the same time, society is formally recognized as an integral component of the defense system, providing legitimacy, resilience, and supplementary capacity. This institutional arrangement demonstrates that defense policy in Indonesia is conceived as a comprehensive public policy domain rather than an exclusively military affair. Empirical analysis of policy documents shows that defense planning increasingly incorporates non-military dimensions of security, signaling a shift toward integrated governance that links political decision-making with operational defense capabilities (Republic of Indonesia, 2002; Ministry of Defence of the Republic of Indonesia, 2015).

At the operational level, government–military synergy is most evident in the implementation of military operations other than war, particularly in disaster management. The involvement of the Indonesian National Armed Forces in disaster response is conducted under civilian leadership and coordinated through national and regional disaster management agencies. Empirical evidence from government reports and prior studies demonstrates that military logistical capacity, command structure, and rapid deployment capabilities significantly enhance the effectiveness of state responses to natural disasters. This cooperation illustrates how military assets are mobilized to support public governance functions while maintaining civilian oversight. Such practices also contribute to reinforcing public trust in state institutions, as the military is perceived as a responsive and capable partner in addressing societal vulnerabilities (BNPB, 2021; Laksmana, 2019).

However, the findings also reveal persistent challenges in inter-agency coordination and policy implementation. Despite the existence of clear legal frameworks, coordination between civilian institutions and the military often varies across regions and policy sectors. Empirical studies indicate that the effectiveness of government–military cooperation frequently depends

Copyright at authors some right reserved this work is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution-Share Alike 4.0 International License

on informal coordination mechanisms and leadership dynamics rather than standardized institutional procedures. This variability results in uneven policy outcomes and highlights the gap between formal policy design and operational practice. Such inconsistencies suggest that synergy is not fully institutionalized and remains vulnerable to bureaucratic fragmentation and overlapping authorities (Sebastian & Lanti, 2018).

The analysis further shows that societal involvement in public defense policy, while formally institutionalized, remains limited in practice. The establishment of the reserve component reflects an effort to strengthen national defense through citizen participation and to integrate society more directly into the defense system. Empirical findings indicate that this initiative has contributed to raising awareness of national defense responsibilities; however, its implementation faces challenges related to coordination among government agencies, resource constraints, and uneven public understanding of the program. As a result, societal participation tends to be symbolic rather than fully operational in many cases, limiting its contribution to broader defense governance objectives (Suharyo, 2021).

Finally, the results demonstrate that evolving civil–military relations in Indonesia significantly shape the quality of government–military synergy in public defense policy. Ongoing debates regarding the appropriate role of the military in civilian domains reflect broader tensions between the need for effective security governance and the principles of democratic civilian control. Empirical evidence suggests that while military involvement in public policy implementation can enhance state capacity, unclear role boundaries risk generating public concern and institutional friction. These dynamics indicate that synergy is deeply influenced by political, legal, and societal contexts, reinforcing the notion that government–military cooperation must be continuously managed to remain both effective and democratically legitimate (Crouch, 2019).

The findings of this study demonstrate that government–military synergy in Indonesia's public defense policy operates as a strategic interaction among political authority, military capability, and societal participation. Rather than functioning as isolated domains, these elements are mutually reinforcing within Indonesia's comprehensive defense framework. The institutional positioning of the government as policy maker, the military as executor of defense tasks, and society as a supporting and legitimizing component reflects a governance-oriented approach to national defense. This interaction highlights that effective defense policy depends not solely on military strength, but on the coherence of relationships among key national actors. In this regard, government–military synergy serves as a critical mechanism linking political intent with

Copyright at authors some right reserved this work is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution-Share Alike 4.0 International License

operational capacity, ensuring that defense policy remains responsive to both strategic imperatives and societal needs (Ministry of Defence of the Republic of Indonesia, 2015; Republic of Indonesia, 2002).

The empirical prominence of military operations other than war further underscores the evolving nature of defense governance in Indonesia. The military's role in disaster management and humanitarian assistance illustrates how defense institutions contribute to public policy objectives beyond conventional security functions. These practices reinforce the perception of the military as a public service-oriented institution operating under civilian authority. At the same time, they reveal the importance of clear coordination mechanisms to prevent role ambiguity and institutional overlap. Consistent with prior studies, the effectiveness of such cooperation is contingent upon well-defined civilian oversight and inter-agency coordination, which together safeguard democratic accountability while maximizing operational efficiency (Laksmana, 2019).

Nevertheless, the persistence of coordination challenges indicates that synergy remains uneven across policy sectors and regions. The reliance on informal mechanisms and leadership-driven coordination suggests that institutional frameworks have not been fully translated into standardized operational practices. This gap between policy design and implementation reveals structural limitations in defense governance, particularly in managing complex, cross-sectoral interactions. From a public policy perspective, this finding suggests that government–military synergy must be institutionalized through formal coordination platforms, clear procedural guidelines, and shared accountability mechanisms to ensure consistency and sustainability (Sebastian & Lanti, 2018).

Societal involvement, as reflected in the reserve component policy, adds a critical dimension to the discussion of defense governance. While the formal inclusion of citizens in defense policy signifies a move toward broader participation, empirical findings suggest that societal engagement remains constrained by governance capacity and public awareness. This indicates that societal participation cannot be achieved through regulatory instruments alone, but requires sustained policy communication, trust-building, and capacity development. In this context, society functions not merely as a resource pool, but as an active stakeholder whose perceptions and support directly influence the legitimacy and resilience of the defense system (Suharyo, 2021).

Finally, the broader dynamics of civil–military relations shape the boundaries and quality of government–military synergy in Indonesia's democratic setting. Ongoing debates regarding military involvement in civilian spheres reflect the tension between efficiency in security

Copyright at authors some right reserved this work is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution-Share Alike 4.0 International License

governance and adherence to civilian supremacy. The findings suggest that synergy is most effective when role boundaries are clearly defined and mutually respected, enabling cooperation without eroding democratic norms. This balance is essential for maintaining public trust and institutional legitimacy. Consequently, government–military synergy should be understood as a dynamic governance process that must be continuously calibrated to align political authority, military professionalism, and societal expectations in a changing security environment (Crouch, 2019).

CONCLUSION

This study concludes that government–military synergy in Indonesia's public defense policy constitutes a fundamental pillar of national defense governance in an increasingly complex security environment. The interaction among political authority, military capability, and societal participation demonstrates that defense policy in Indonesia extends beyond traditional military concerns and operates as an integrated public policy domain. Empirical findings reveal that such synergy enables the state to translate strategic political objectives into operational outcomes, particularly in addressing multidimensional threats that require coordinated responses across civilian and military institutions.

At the same time, the study highlights persistent structural and institutional challenges that constrain the effectiveness of government–military synergy. Variations in coordination practices, dependence on informal mechanisms, and uneven societal engagement indicate a gap between regulatory frameworks and policy implementation. These challenges suggest that synergy cannot be sustained through legal design alone, but requires strengthened governance arrangements, clearer role delineation, and institutionalized coordination mechanisms to ensure consistency and accountability across different levels of government.

Overall, the findings underscore that government–military synergy should be understood as a dynamic and adaptive process rather than a static institutional arrangement. Its effectiveness depends on the state's ability to balance political leadership, military professionalism, and societal support within a democratic governance framework. Strengthening this balance is essential for enhancing national resilience, maintaining public trust, and ensuring that Indonesia's public defense policy remains responsive and legitimate in the face of evolving security challenges.

Copyright at authors some right reserved this work is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution-Share Alike 4.0 International License

REFERENCES

BNPB. (2021). *The role of the Indonesian National Armed Forces in disaster management*. National Disaster Management Authority of Indonesia.

Crouch, H. (2019). *The army and politics in Indonesia* (Rev. ed.). Cornell University Press.

Laksmana, E. A. (2019). Civil–military relations and military operations other than war in Indonesia. *Asia Policy*, 14(2), 33–58. https://doi.org/10.1353/asp.2019.0010

Ministry of Defence of the Republic of Indonesia. (2015). *Indonesia defence white paper*. Ministry of Defence.

Republic of Indonesia. (2002). *Law No. 3 of 2002 on National Defence*.

Republic of Indonesia. (2019). *Law No. 23 of 2019 on the management of national resources for defence*.

Sebastian, L. C., & Lanti, I. (2018). Civil–military relations in post-authoritarian Indonesia. *Journal of Social and Political Sciences*, 22(1), 1–15.

Suharyo, O. S. (2021). The reserve component in Indonesia's national defense system. *Journal of Defense and State Security*, 11(2), 145–162.

Feaver, P. D. (2003). *Armed servants: Agency, oversight, and civil–military relations*. Harvard University Press.

Huntington, S. P. (1957). *The soldier and the state: The theory and politics of civil–military relations*. Harvard University Press.

Kohn, R. H. (1997). How democracies control the military. *Journal of Democracy*, 8(4), 140–153. https://doi.org/10.1353/jod.1997.0076

OECD. (2020). *Building resilience: New strategies for strengthening national security and public governance*. OECD Publishing. https://doi.org/10.1787/3e8f1c93-en

Sebastian, L. C. (2013). Indonesia's military reform and transformation. *Asian Survey*, 53(3), 490–515. https://doi.org/10.1525/as.2013.53.3.490

United Nations Development Programme. (2018). *Civil–military coordination in crisis management*. UNDP.

Bruneau, T. C., & Tollefson, S. D. (Eds.). (2006). *Who guards the guardians and how: Democratic civil–military relations*. University of Texas Press.

Bland, D. L. (1999). A unified theory of civil–military relations. *Armed Forces & Society*, 26(1), 7–25. https://doi.org/10.1177/0095327X9902600102

Malešević, S. (2010). *The sociology of war and violence*. Cambridge University Press. https://doi.org/10.1017/CBO9780511780295

Copyright at authors some right reserved this work is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution-Share Alike 4.0 International License

Ministry of National Development Planning (Bappenas). (2020). *National medium-term development plan (RPJMN) 2020–2024*. Government of Indonesia.

Copyright at authors some right reserved this work is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution-Share Alike 4.0 International License