International Journal of Education, Vocational and Social Science
https://e-journal.citakonsulindo.or.id/index.php/IJEVSS
E-ISSN : 2963 4954
IJEVSS

Analyzing The Digital Learning Motivation Ecosystem: Interconnecting Collaborative Learning, Artificial Intelligence Integration, And Student Self-Efficacy

Antonius Lase
Universitas Pelita Harapan, Indonesia
Wiputra Cendana
Universitas Pelita Harapan, Indonesia

Corresponding Author: wiputra.cendana@uph.edu

Abstract

This study investigates the socio-technical and psychological dynamics driving student learning motivation within a digitally accelerated educational landscape. Structured around a quantitative causal-associative survey design, this empirical inquiry models the partial and simultaneous predictive boundaries of collaborative learning, artificial intelligence integration, and self-efficacy on student learning motivation among secondary school students. Primary data gathered via structured questionnaires were evaluated using multiple linear regression software following strict verification of classic econometric diagnostic assumptions. The inferential outputs demonstrate that all proposed hypotheses are statistically accepted. Collaborative learning acts as an essential social catalyst for peer engagement, while artificial intelligence integration provides an adaptive yet conservative technical scaffolding for cognitive load management. Crucially, internal psychological conviction operationalized through self-efficacy emerges as the ultimate, overriding determinant of academic drive. Simultaneously, the three predictors form a highly cohesive and robust socio-technical ecosystem that significantly accelerates adolescent learning passion. These findings suggest that sophisticated technological infrastructure cannot compensate for deficits in internal psychological confidence. Pedagogically, institutions must shift from isolated digitalization toward human-centered frameworks that systematically align social peer dynamics and algorithmic support with deliberate self-efficacy reinforcement.

Keywords: Artificial Intelligence Integration, Collaborative Learning, Digital Pedagogy, Secondary Education, Student Learning Motivation, Self-Efficacy.

Copyright at authors some right reserved this work is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution-Share Alike 4.0 International License

International Journal of Education, Vocational and Social Science
https://e-journal.citakonsulindo.or.id/index.php/IJEVSS
E-ISSN : 2963 4954
IJEVSS

INTRODUCTION

The contemporary educational landscape is undergoing a fundamental transformation catalyzed by rapid digital acceleration. For Generation Alpha students, technology has transcended its role as a mere instructional aid to become an structural component of their social identity and daily existence. At the secondary education level, students are increasingly immersed within an Artificial Intelligence (AI) ecosystem that grants instantaneous access to vast information repositories (Azzam & Charles, 2024). However, this ubiquitous digital convenience does not inherently cultivate cognitive persistence or deep engagement within the classroom. Preliminary observations at XYZ Junior High School in South Tangerang reveal pressing challenges regarding the dynamics of student learning motivation, particularly during collaborative tasks that demand higher-order critical thinking. This phenomenon raises critical scholarly questions regarding the extent to which students' perceptions of AI integration might foster digital individualism, and how these variables collectively predict peer cooperation and academic drive.

The urgency of this investigation is underscored by the paramount role of motivation in dictating academic success. Vu et al. (2024) emphasize a profound reciprocal relationship between motivation and achievement, wherein a student's internal conviction serves as a primary determinant. During adolescence, interpersonal dynamics with peers heavily shape learning behaviors. However, Woreta et al. (2025) note that such social interactions yield positive academic engagement only when reinforced by robust psychological foundations. While collaborative learning designs are structurally geared toward stabilizing long-term learning motivation (Loes, 2024), their practical efficacy ultimately hinges upon the degree to which individual students perceive themselves capable of offering meaningful contributions to their respective groups.

Within this framework, self-efficacy emerges as a pivotal internal psychological determinant. Schunk and DiBenedetto (2021, p. 48) articulate that self-efficacy represents a student's core belief in their capacity to organize and execute necessary actions to attain specific academic milestones. Corroborating this perspective, Lin and Talib (2025) demonstrate that domain-specific self-confidence consistently modulates the strength of motivational trajectories. This is further reinforced by Meng and Zhang (2023), who observe that students possessing higher academic self-efficacy participate more proactively in classroom discourse, which consequently optimizes learning outcomes. Furthermore, Basileo et al. (2024) argue that perceived competence directly elevates self-efficacy, thereby generating a potent internal impetus toward intrinsic learning motivation. Essentially, regardless of how advanced the deployed AI tools are or how sophisticated the collaborative framework appears, their educational dividends remain capped if

Copyright at authors some right reserved this work is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution-Share Alike 4.0 International License

students harbor feelings of inadequacy. Without a threshold of self-efficacy, digital and pedagogical affordances fail to translate into tangible learning intentions.

Concurrently, modern educational paradigms are shifting toward a "student-AI collaboration" model, wherein AI functions as an autonomous, intelligent partner capable of rendering real-time feedback and personalized learning pathways. A robust level of self-efficacy is a vital prerequisite for students to successfully navigate and adopt these emerging technologies (Gitara & Fahmawati, 2024). Moreover, Murniarti and Siahaan (2025) highlight that the synergy between AI technology and active learning experiences plays a substantial role in stimulating creative motivation. This technological integration also influences students' broader academic well-being, which is critical for sustaining long-term learning motivation (Klimova & Pikhart, 2025). When deliberately embedded within peer-learning structures, AI integration has been shown to exert a highly positive influence on the holistic development of student self-efficacy (Sun et al., 2023).

Despite these promising dynamics, several critical research gaps persist in the current literature. First, Marreh and Velankar (2024) point out that scholarly inquiries into collaborative learning remain disproportionately concentrated within higher education, leaving a conspicuous empirical void at the secondary school level. Second, variables concerning AI integration, collaborative pedagogy, and psychological factors are frequently examined through isolated, partial lenses. Basileo et al. (2024) argue for the necessity of analyzing these interconnected variables simultaneously to capture a holistic understanding of modern learning dynamics. Third, while Walker et al. (2024) affirm that self-efficacy is foundational in technology-mediated environments, empirical regression models that integrate AI, collaborative learning, and self-efficacy concurrently remain remarkably scarce, especially within the Indonesian secondary education context (Murniarti & Siahaan, 2025). Concurrently, real-world educational challenges—such as fluctuating learning enthusiasm, the tendency to treat AI as a shortcut for instant answer-copying rather than a cognitive partner, and the manifestation of social loafing within groups—further highlight the need for a unified investigation.

To address these theoretical and empirical omissions, this study introduces a novel perspective by conceptualizing AI as a component of collective intelligence within student learning groups. Utilizing a quantitative approach governed by a causal-associative survey design, this non-experimental study investigates the partial and simultaneous predictive power of collaborative learning (X_1), artificial intelligence integration (X_2), and self-efficacy (X_3) on students' learning motivation (Y) at XYZ Junior High School, South Tangerang. The operational measurement of these

Copyright at authors some right reserved this work is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution-Share Alike 4.0 International License

variables relies on students' self-reported perceptions captured via structured questionnaires. Ultimately, the insights derived from this study are intended to offer empirical guidance for designing future-ready learning environments that seamlessly align advanced digital architectures with humanistic social structures and student psychological fortitude.

Overview Of Collaborative Learning In Secondary Education

Collaborative learning in the context of secondary education represents a critical shift from teacher-centered instruction to student-driven knowledge construction, where junior high school students work together in small groups to achieve shared academic goals through systematic social interaction and the negotiation of meaning. This pedagogical approach is deeply rooted in social constructivism, which posits that knowledge is not a static entity transferred through one-way delivery, but rather a dynamic product of social co-construction where higher-order mental processes emerge first through interpersonal dialogue (Woolfolk 2020). Within this social framework, early adolescents are no longer treated as passive recipients of information; instead, they are encouraged to externalize their thinking, exchange underlying experiences, and collectively solve structured problems, which directly provides a positive influence on the stability of student motivation (Loes 2024). Active peer integration serves as a crucial element in helping junior high school students construct a solid understanding of complex concepts and maintain higher academic engagement (Kasumi & Xhemaili 2023; Woreta et al. 2025).

In the contemporary digital era, the boundaries of group work have expanded significantly beyond physical classroom spaces through Computer-Supported Collaborative Learning or CSCL (Marreh & Velankar 2024). For the Alpha Generation, collaboration is inherently tied to modern digital infrastructures that allow for the real-time synchronization of ideas and the effective distribution of cognitive loads among group members (Marreh & Velankar 2024; Zheng et al. 2020). The efficacy of collaborative learning in secondary schools relies heavily on the principle of positive interdependence, where every student perceives that their individual success is intrinsically linked to the collective success of the entire team (Slavin 2021; Woreta et al. 2025). To foster such a productive environment, instructors must act as facilitators who manage group dynamics, balance individual accountability, and cultivate a supportive social climate where early adolescents feel psychologically secure to participate without pressure (Woolfolk 2020; Slavin 2021). Ultimately, when collaborative learning integrates human social interaction with active learning experiences, it transforms classroom activities into highly motivating and meaningful educational outcomes (Murniarti & Siahaan 2025).

Copyright at authors some right reserved this work is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution-Share Alike 4.0 International License

Artificial Intelligence Integration In The Alpha Generation Classroom

The integration of artificial intelligence (AI) within the educational ecosystem marks a profound paradigm shift, transforming technology from a static instructional tool into an active cognitive partner that augments human pedagogical functions (Azzam & Charles 2024; Murniarti & Siahaan 2025). For the Alpha Generation, AI systems do not represent an alien novelty but rather a native infrastructure that naturally optimizes active learning experiences (Murniarti & Siahaan 2025). The effectiveness of intelligent learning environments lies in their capacity to deliver highly personalized and adaptive content tailored to the unique learning profiles and psychological needs of junior high school students (Klimova & Pikhart 2025). By providing just-in-time cognitive scaffolding and instant feedback, these responsive digital assistants mitigate learning barriers and prevent early adolescents from feeling overwhelmed by complex tasks (Klimova & Pikhart 2025; Murniarti & Siahaan 2025). This immediate support acts as a proactive motivational mechanism that reduces academic anxiety, safeguards student academic well-being, and sustains student engagement amidst the high focus demanded by contemporary digital learning environments (Klimova & Pikhart 2025; Singh et al. 2025; Walker et al. 2024).

Furthermore, the application of intelligent systems extends significantly beyond individual learning paths into the social and collaborative fabric of the modern classroom (Marreh & Velankar 2024; Wang et al. 2024). When embedded within group activities, AI tools function as active "thinking partners" that stimulate interactive dialogues, trigger curiosity, and catalyze creative motivation through responsive features (Azzam & Charles 2024; Murniarti & Siahaan 2025; Wang et al. 2024). Rather than alienating young learners, optimal AI integration simplifies data access and orchestrates collaborative workflows, thereby ensuring balanced peer participation and a more productive distribution of collective ideas (Marreh & Velankar 2024; Murniarti & Siahaan 2025; Wang et al. 2024). By utilizing advanced analytics to dynamically balance cognitive demands with students' current competencies, AI technology helps prevent cognitive fatigue and allows students to focus intently on high-level knowledge construction and complex problem-solving (Kim & Kwon 2025; Ouyang et al. 2023; Wang et al. 2024). Ultimately, when artificial intelligence is systematically incorporated into secondary school instruction, it establishes an interactive human-machine synergy that significantly drives and maximizes student learning motivation (Y).

Copyright at authors some right reserved this work is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution-Share Alike 4.0 International License

Academic And Digital Self-Efficacy

Academic and digital self-efficacy represent critical psychological foundations that fundamentally govern how early adolescents organize their motivation, cognitive processes, and actions within modern educational environments. Rooted in social cognitive theory, self-efficacy is defined as an individual's personal conviction in their capabilities to organize and execute the courses of action required to attain specific performance outcomes (Schunk & DiBenedetto 2021). In the context of lower secondary education, this self-belief acts as a proactive force that directly determines the intensity of effort students invest and the duration of their persistence when encountering academic obstacles (Schunk & DiBenedetto 2021; Vu et al. 2024). Traditionally measured through three core dimensions—namely the level of task difficulty (*magnitude*), the domain-specific scope (*generality*), and the firmness of the belief (*strength*)—self-efficacy has undergone a vital conceptual expansion within contemporary technological landscapes (Schunk & DiBenedetto 2021). For the Alpha Generation, self-efficacy no longer merely entails general academic competence; rather, it encompasses domain-specific digital self-efficacy, reflecting students' subjective confidence in navigating, exploring, and utilizing intelligent learning systems as essential cognitive tools (Lin & Talib 2025).

The convergence of these academic and digital dimensions functions as a dynamic "cognitive engine" that stimulates deep academic engagement and fosters long-term educational growth (Meng & Zhang 2023). When junior high school students possess high digital self-efficacy, they feel empowered to manage their own learning processes through technology, which directly diminishes technological anxiety and amplifies their intrinsic curiosity and autonomy (Lin & Talib 2025). This psychological readiness is crucial because without adequate self-efficacy, advanced technological scaffoldings and collaborative setups fail to translate into optimal learning outcomes, as students with low self-belief tend to evade tasks that they perceive to exceed their capacities. Conversely, students with robust self-efficacy view cognitive challenges as opportunities for self-improvement rather than reflections of personal deficiencies, enabling them to maintain task persistence amidst complex technical or conceptual hurdles (Meng & Zhang 2023; Schunk & DiBenedetto 2021; Vu et al. 2024). Furthermore, this self-regulatory belief extends into social-collaborative efficacy, reinforcing an early adolescent's confidence to actively contribute ideas, negotiate meaning, and assume shared responsibilities within digital group works (Walker et al. 2024; Woreta et al. 2025). Ultimately, building a balanced sense of self-efficacy (X_3) serves as the psychological anchor that ensures external social collaborations (X_1)

Copyright at authors some right reserved this work is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution-Share Alike 4.0 International License

and technological inputs (X_2) successfully generate a positive and significant impact on student learning motivation (Y).

Theoretical Insights On Student Learning Motivation

Learning motivation constitutes a multidimensional psychological construct that reflects both internal desires and external drives compelling students to actively engage in the process of knowledge acquisition. Within modern secondary education ecosystems, motivation is no longer conceptualized as a static entity; rather, it is viewed as the dynamic outcome of continuous interactions between basic psychological needs and technology-enriched learning environments (Reeve 2018; Woolfolk 2020). Theoretically, student learning motivation is characterized by psychological processes that arouse, direct, and sustain behavior toward specific academic goals (Woolfolk 2020). This perspective aligns with foundational views that define motivation as an inherent desire to succeed and an underlying drive for learning needs that propels students toward achieving competence within a contemporary framework (Uno 2016). In today's digitalized educational landscape, the sustainability of this academic drive heavily depends on the extent to which the environment fulfills three fundamental psychological needs: autonomy, competence, and relatedness. This theoretical bedrock is rooted in Self-Determination Theory, which asserts that the satisfaction of these core needs contributes directly to stimulating and maintaining intrinsic learning passion (Ryan & Deci 2017).

In the context of lower secondary schools, empirical evidence demonstrates that internal motivation possesses a significant predictive power toward students' academic achievement (Vu et al. 2024). Meaningful academic engagement and positive peer interactions serve as vital keys to preserving this psychological momentum among early adolescents (Woreta et al. 2025). For instance, collaborative learning experiences have been empirically proven to positively influence student motivation by providing interactive spaces for students to share knowledge actively (Kasumi & Xhemaili 2023). Concurrently, the integration of advanced artificial intelligence (AI) injects a new dimension into triggering student learning passion by providing precise cognitive scaffolding. When combined with active learning experiences, intelligent tools successfully stimulate a deeper creative motivation compared to conventional methods (Murniarti & Siahaan 2025). Furthermore, academic well-being supported by responsive AI platforms acts as an essential predictor for long-term motivation (Klimova & Pikhart 2025). On an internal level, this motivational drive is inseparable from self-efficacy, as social cognitive perspectives confirm that an individual's self-belief is a primary determinant of academic action (Ormrod, Anderman, &

Copyright at authors some right reserved this work is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution-Share Alike 4.0 International License

Anderman 2020). Students with high self-efficacy tend to choose challenging tasks and exhibit greater task persistence (Schunk & DiBenedetto 2021). Consequently, domain-specific self-efficacy stands as the most consistent predictor of motivational strength within digital learning environments (Lin & Talib 2025). To accurately capture this unobservable internal process in empirical research, student learning motivation is operationalized through five self-reported behavioral indicators: task persistence, active participation or engagement, curiosity, expectancy of success, and individual responsibility (Schunk & DiBenedetto 2021; Ryan & Deci 2017; Murniarti & Siahaan 2025; Woreta et al. 2025; Loes 2024).

Digital Era Shift And Higher Education

The monumental shift brought forth by the contemporary digital era has triggered an unprecedented transformation within the global educational landscape, profoundly reconstructing the operational and pedagogical frameworks of higher education institutions. This digital evolution is characterized by the systemic transition from traditional brick-and-mortar knowledge dissemination to decentralized, technology-mediated learning ecosystems that leverage continuous human-machine interactions (Murniarti & Siahaan 2025). Within higher education settings, the rapid proliferation of high-velocity technological innovations demands that universities move away from static academic delivery toward highly dynamic, collaborative, and agile environments (Zheng et al. 2020). Universities are increasingly required to cater to a new demographic of learners—including the early cohorts of the Alpha Generation and late Gen Z—who naturally perceive digital infrastructures not as supplementary novelties, but as structural baselines for academic exploration and cognitive growth (Marreh & Velankar 2024). Consequently, higher education can no longer be conceptualized merely as a site for information transfer; instead, it must function as a proactive, collaborative hub that cultivates advanced knowledge co-construction and higher-order mental processes through active peer and intelligent system integration (Woolfolk 2020; Wang et al. 2024).

Furthermore, the structural integration of advanced computing tools, specifically artificial intelligence (AI), within higher education introduces complex challenges and opportunities regarding student self-regulation and motivation. In digitalized university environments, the effectiveness of advanced instructional designs is inherently tied to the development of robust domain-specific digital self-efficacy among students, which dictates their capacity to utilize intelligent systems as authentic cognitive partners (Lin & Talib 2025). When higher education platforms provide personalized scaffolding and continuous analytics, they effectively prevent

Copyright at authors some right reserved this work is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution-Share Alike 4.0 International License

cognitive overload, reduce academic anxiety, and safeguard the psychological well-being of adult learners (Klimova & Pikhart 2025; Kim & Kwon 2025). This systematic support is critical for maintaining long-term learning motivation, as academic persistence in a highly autonomous digital environment requires students to successfully satisfy their fundamental needs for autonomy, competence, and relatedness (Ryan & Deci 2017). Ultimately, the digital era shift forces higher education institutions to redesign their curricula into balanced socio-technical spaces where collaborative human networks and responsive artificial intelligence work in tandem to foster resilient, self-efficacious, and deeply motivated graduates (Schunk & DiBenedetto 2021; Walker et al. 2024).

Collaborative And Ai Pedagogies - Academic Perspective

From an academic perspective, contemporary pedagogy is undergoing a profound paradigm shift driven by the convergence of social collaborative frameworks and artificial intelligence (AI) technologies. This synthesis redefines learning as a co-constructive process that occurs not only between human peers but also through structured interactions with intelligent systems (Woolfolk 2020). Academic insights suggest that collaborative learning experiences provide the vital social scaffolding necessary for early adolescents and higher education students alike to externalize thoughts, negotiate meaning, and develop collective critical thinking skills (Loes 2024). When students actively share knowledge within collaborative networks, they satisfy their psychological need for relatedness, which serves as a foundational catalyst for sustained academic engagement (Ryan & Deci 2017). However, within complex digital environments, traditional collaboration alone may occasionally lead to cognitive fragmentation or uneven participation, thereby necessitating advanced instructional support mechanisms (Zheng et al. 2020).

Concurrently, the integration of AI-driven pedagogies introduces a dynamic layer of adaptive cognitive scaffolding that complements human-centric collaboration. AI tools function as responsive instructional partners capable of diagnosing individual learner profiles, predicting learning trajectories, and delivering personalized feedback in real time (Kim & Kwon 2025). This AI-mediated precision ensures that tasks remain closely aligned with the student's zone of proximal development, thereby enhancing domain-specific self-efficacy and reducing technological anxiety (Lin & Talib 2025; Klimova & Pikhart 2025). Far from isolating the learner, intelligent systems can actively optimize group dynamics by pairing students based on complementary cognitive needs or facilitating structured role assignments within collaborative

Copyright at authors some right reserved this work is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution-Share Alike 4.0 International License

tasks (Walker et al. 2024). Ultimately, an academic evaluation of these dual pedagogies indicates that the most resilient educational ecosystems are those that do not rely on technology in isolation, but rather embed AI within active, collaborative environments to spark deep creative motivation and foster individual responsibility (Murniarti & Siahaan 2025; Schunk & DiBenedetto 2021).

Implications For Future Teaching And Learning

The shifting educational landscape driven by technological breakthroughs carries profound implications for future teaching and learning, fundamentally altering the roles of educators, student responsibilities, and instructional design. Future pedagogical frameworks can no longer rely on rigid, traditional methods; instead, they must transition toward adaptive ecosystems where social collaboration and artificial intelligence (AI) integration work in tandem to optimize the learning experience. In this emerging paradigm, future teaching must prioritize the cultivation of a psychologically secure social environment that fosters positive interdependence, balanced peer participation, and collective knowledge co-construction among students (Slavin 2021; Woolfolk 2020). Concurrently, future learning strategies will increasingly depend on the seamless embedment of AI as a proactive "thinking partner" capable of delivering real-time cognitive scaffolding and personalized feedback (Azzam & Charles 2024; Klimova & Pikhart 2025). Rather than replacing the human element, the ultimate goal of future instruction is to orchestrate an interactive human-machine synergy that actively prevents cognitive fatigue, reduces technological anxiety, and safeguards student academic well-being (Kim & Kwon 2025; Walker et al. 2024).

Furthermore, these structural transformations mandate a significant shift in how student internal psychological factors are supported and operationalized within digital learning environments. The primary implication for future teaching is the necessity to intentionally build and reinforce students' domain-specific digital and social-collaborative self-efficacy (Lin & Talib 2025; Woreta et al. 2025). Future instructional designs must ensure that technical tools are introduced with adequate support mechanisms, given that robust self-efficacy serves as the vital psychological anchor that translates external technological inputs into high task persistence and proactive academic choices (Schunk & DiBenedetto 2021). When schools successfully cultivate high academic self-efficacy alongside collaborative AI pedagogies, they directly satisfy the generation's fundamental needs for autonomy, competence, and relatedness (Ryan & Deci 2017). Ultimately, the core implication for future educational practices lies in the systematic alignment

Copyright at authors some right reserved this work is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution-Share Alike 4.0 International License

of these external collaborative methods (X_1), advanced AI configurations (X_2), and internal psychological beliefs (X_3) to structurally stimulate, maximize, and sustain long-term student learning motivation (Y) (Murniarti & Siahaan 2025).

RESEARCH METHODOLOGY

To empirically investigate the predictive power of collaborative learning (X_1), artificial intelligence integration (X_2), and self-efficacy (X_3) on student learning motivation (Y), this study adopted a quantitative approach with a causal-associative research design (Creswell & Creswell 2018). This design utilizes multiple linear regression analysis to mathematically model and systematically analyze the magnitude and direction of individual and collective effects among variables without direct researcher intervention (Fraenkel et al. 2019). Operating from an *ex-post facto* perspective, the study captured naturally occurring phenomena across a specified period using a cross-sectional data collection method (Vu et al. 2024) to examine four core causal pathways: the independent partial effects of each predictor (X_1, X_2, and X_3) alongside their collective simultaneous impact on the dependent variable (Y) (Loes 2024; Azzam & Charles 2024; Lin & Talib 2025; Fan et al. 2025).

The target population for this study directly involved a total sampling (sensus) of 132 active students enrolled at SMP XYZ in South Tangerang, Banten, during the 2025/2026 academic year. These respondents spanned across grades VII, VIII, and IX, all of whom shared homogeneous characteristics, including active engagement in collaborative learning methods (X_1) (Kasumi & Xhemaili 2023) and the routine utilization of artificial intelligence tools (X_2) in their academic activities (Wang et al. 2024). By employing a total sampling approach where the entire accessible population served as the final unit of analysis, the study minimized sampling bias and ensured that the gathered data provided a highly representative snapshot of the digital educational ecosystem under review (Sugiyono 2019). Data collection was executed digitally using structured, closed-ended questionnaires configured on a five-point Likert scale (Creswell & Creswell 2018; Ateş & Köroğlu 2024). To enforce research ethics, active monitoring was implemented to avoid missing data, and strict adherence to informed consent and participant anonymity was maintained throughout the field administration (Wang et al. 2024; Xu et al. 2024).

Instrumentation was meticulously constructed by converting conceptual definitions into measurable empirical indicators (Sugiyono 2019). Student learning motivation (Y) was operationalized through indicators of persistence, engagement, curiosity, expectancy of success, and responsibility (Uno 2016; Schunk & DiBenedetto 2021; Mohamed et al. 2025). Collaborative

Copyright at authors some right reserved this work is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution-Share Alike 4.0 International License

learning (X_1) was deconstructed into positive interdependence, promotive interaction, individual accountability, interpersonal skills, and technology integration (Johnson & Johnson 2018; Loes 2024; Ateş & Köroğlu 2024). Artificial intelligence integration (X_2) assessed personalization, immediate feedback, thinking partnership, group facilitation, and cognitive load management (Lin & Talib 2025; Azzam & Charles 2024; Mohamed et al. 2025). Lastly, self-efficacy (X_3) evaluated academic capability, digital self-efficacy, task persistence, and social-collaborative efficacy (Schunk & DiBenedetto 2021; Lin & Talib 2025; Basileo et al. 2024). All instruments underwent extensive pre-testing, demonstrating robust construct validity via Pearson's Product-Moment correlation ($Sig. < 0.05$) and internal consistency reliability via Cronbach's Alpha (> 0.60) (Hair et al. 2019; Ghozali 2021).

Statistical data analysis was carried out systematically using IBM SPSS software (Ghozali 2021). First, descriptive statistics established overall data distributions and categorized mean scores into five distinct evaluation intervals (Sugiyono 2019). Second, a comprehensive battery of classic assumption tests was conducted to confirm that the model fulfilled the Best Linear Unbiased Estimator (BLUE) criteria (Hair et al. 2019; Ghozali 2021). These included the Kolmogorov-Smirnov test for residual normality, linearity tests on the 0.05 significance level, Tolerance (> 0.10) and Variance Inflation Factor ($VIF < 10$) metrics to rule out multicollinearity, and Glejser's absolute residual regression to ensure homoscedasticity (Ghozali 2021). Finally, inferential modeling was evaluated using the multiple linear regression equation :

$$Y = a + b_1X_1 + b_2X_2 + b_3X_3 + e$$

where hypothesis verification was determined through one-tailed t-tests for partial significance ($Sig. < 0.05$), F-tests for simultaneous significance ($Sig.F < 0.05$), and the Adjusted R Square value to measure the overall proportion of predicted variance (Field 2018; Ghozali 2021).

Analysis And Empirical Findings

The empirical assessment of the data was initiated by conducting descriptive analytics and rigorous econometric diagnostic tests. The classic assumption trials confirmed that the structural model adhered strictly to the Best Linear Unbiased Estimator (BLUE) criteria, which is a fundamental prerequisite for valid linear inferences (Ghozali, 2021). Residual normality was validated via the Kolmogorov-Smirnov test ($p > 0.05$), while linearity tests across all pathways demonstrated significant linear relationships ($p < 0.05$) following the protocols outlined by Field

Copyright at authors some right reserved this work is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution-Share Alike 4.0 International License

(2018). Multicollinearity was successfully ruled out as all independent variables exhibited Tolerance values well above 0.10 and Variance Inflation Factors (VIF) significantly below the conventional threshold of 10.0 (Hair et al., 2019). Furthermore, Glejser's heteroscedasticity test verified homoscedastic residual variances across the dataset ($p > 0.05$). To mathematically determine the predictive boundaries of collaborative learning (X_1), artificial intelligence integration (X_2), and self-efficacy (X_3) on student learning motivation (Y), multiple linear regression analysis was executed. The structural and inferential metrics derived from the IBM SPSS estimations are systematically consolidated in Table 1.

Table 1. Multiple Linear Regression and Inferential Statistics Repertoire

Predictor / Metric	Unstandardized Coefficients (B)	t-statistic	Significance (p)	Description
(Constant)	5.350	1.814	0.072	-
Collaborative Learning (X_1)	0.315	4.132	< 0.001	Significant
AI Integration (X_2)	0.103	2.043	0.043	Significant
Self-Efficacy (X_3)	0.476	5.235	< 0.001	Significant
Model Diagnostics				
F-statistic	39.562		< 0.001	
R	0.694			
R Square (R^2)	0.481			
Adjusted R Square	0.469			

Based on the statistical outputs embedded in Table 1, the structural mechanics of the framework can be expressed through the multiple linear regression equation:

$$Y = 5.350 + 0.315X_1 + 0.103X_2 + 0.476X_3 + e$$

The inferential diagnostics reveal distinct characteristics regarding the four established research hypotheses. Hypothesis 1, which posits that Collaborative Learning (X_1) exerts a positive and significant effect on Student Learning Motivation (Y), is empirically accepted. This is substantiated by an unstandardized coefficient (B) of 0.315 and a robust t-statistic of 4.132, which is highly significant ($p < 0.001$). Hypothesis 2, exploring the impact of AI Integration (X_2) on Student Learning Motivation (Y), is also accepted, though it demonstrates the most conservative

Copyright at authors some right reserved this work is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution-Share Alike 4.0 International License

predictive power among the variables. The technical input yields a positive trajectory ($B = 0.103$) and satisfies the critical significance threshold with a t-statistic of 2.043 ($p = 0.043 < 0.05$).

In contrast, Hypothesis 3 reveals that internal psychological conviction holds the most commanding role within the model. Self-Efficacy (X_3) stands as the most dominant predictor of Student Learning Motivation (Y), generating a profound positive effect ($B = 0.476$) and a monumental t-statistic of 5.235 ($p < 0.001$).

Finally, Hypothesis 4 addresses the collective simultaneous influence of the predictors. The analysis confirms that Collaborative Learning, AI Integration, and Self-Efficacy act in tandem as a unified socio-technical engine that significantly drives student learning motivation. This simultaneous acceleration is validated by a high F-statistic of 39.562 ($p < 0.001$). The model achieves a multiple correlation coefficient (R) of 0.694, indicating a strong relationship. Crucially, the Adjusted R Square value of 0.469 indicates that 46.9% of the variance in Student Learning Motivation is successfully accounted for by the joint interaction of X_1, X_2, and X_3, while the remaining 53.1% is open to external pedagogical and environmental factors outside the scope of this investigation.

DISCUSSION

The empirical configuration of this study unveils critical socio-technical and psychological dynamics regarding the construction of Student Learning Motivation (Y) within a digitally accelerated educational landscape. The acceptance of Hypothesis 1 establishes that Collaborative Learning (X_1) remains a vital catalyst for driving adolescent academic engagement ($B = 0.315, p < 0.001$). This finding strongly consolidates the foundational premises of Social Interdependence Theory, which argues that structured cooperative environments stimulate a psychological state of positive interdependence, motivating individuals to invest higher cognitive efforts for collective success (Johnson & Johnson, 2018; Slavin, 2021). Interestingly, descriptive diagnostics from the dataset indicate a nuanced reality: while the indicator for "Collective Responsibility" scored exceptionally high, the metric representing "Group Synergy via AI Tools" generated the lowest mean with the highest variance. This discrepancy suggests a distinct digital collaboration gap among students. While they possess robust conventional social cohesion, their capacity to orchestrate collaborative tasks through distributed technological networks remains fractured, corroborating earlier warnings that collaborative settings without proper pedagogical structure can induce uneven peer participation and cognitive friction (Zheng et al., 2020; Ateş & Köroğlu, 2024).

Copyright at authors some right reserved this work is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution-Share Alike 4.0 International License

Concurrently, the confirmation of Hypothesis 2 proves that AI Integration (X_2) yields a positive and statistically significant impact on learning motivation ($B = 0.103, p = 0.043$). However, within the structural model, AI Integration exhibits the most conservative predictive power, barely satisfying the critical significance threshold. This moderate effect challenges the contemporary tech-euphoria in education, revealing that the mere presence of advanced intelligent tools does not automatically ignite a profound passion for learning. When viewed through the lens of Self-Determination Theory (Ryan & Deci, 2017) and Connectivism (Siemens, 2008), AI tools predominantly function as individualistic "meshing mechanisms" rather than collective knowledge-building hubs. The descriptive indicators confirm that while students heavily utilize AI for personalized pacing and rapid cognitive load reduction (immediate feedback), the platform's role in group facilitation and collaborative thinking partnerships remains significantly underdeveloped. Consequently, AI acts as a superficial supporting instrument that minimizes technical anxiety, but fails to deeply satisfy the core psychological need for relatedness within a broader social network (Klimova & Pikhart, 2025; Mohamed et al., 2025).

In contrast to the conservative influence of technological infrastructure, internal psychological conviction emerges as the ultimate anchor of student engagement. The acceptance of Hypothesis 3 demonstrates that Self-Efficacy (X_3) stands as the absolute dominant predictor within the inferential ecosystem ($B = 0.476, p < 0.001$). This profound statistical dominance validates Bandura's Social Cognitive Theory, which establishes that an individual's subjective belief in their capabilities dictates their subsequent task persistence, academic choices, and resilience against technological stressors (Schunk & DiBenedetto, 2021). The overwhelming strength of self-efficacy compared to AI integration highlights a vital pedagogical truth: sophisticated technological scaffolding (X_2) cannot compensate for a deficit in psychological confidence. Technical tools are only effective when filtered through a robust layer of self-efficacy (Lin & Talib, 2025). When students possess high academic and digital self-efficacy, they are insulated from technostress and become psychologically equipped to transform external technological inputs into autonomous motivational drivers (Woreta et al., 2025).

Finally, the validation of Hypothesis 4 corroborates the collective simultaneous power of the proposed framework ($F = 39.562, p < 0.001$), with the model successfully explaining 46.9% of the variance in student learning motivation. This high simultaneous acceleration indicates that future instructional designs must abandon isolated approaches and instead operationalize a holistic, multi-dimensional ecosystem. Maximizing student learning motivation in the digital era requires the systematic alignment of social collaborative frameworks (X_1) to satisfy relatedness,

Copyright at authors some right reserved this work is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution-Share Alike 4.0 International License

advanced AI adaptations (X_2) to optimize competence and cognitive efficiency, and intentional psychological interventions (X_3) to reinforce autonomy and self-efficacy (Ryan & Deci, 2017; Murniarti & Siahaan, 2025). By orchestrating this human-machine synergy, educational institutions can prevent cognitive fragmentation and successfully cultivate individual accountability alongside high collective intellectual stamina (Walker et al., 2024).

CONCLUSION

This study deciphered the empirical boundaries governing student learning motivation within a digitally transformed middle school environment by examining the orchestration of collaborative learning (X_1), artificial intelligence integration (X_2), and self-efficacy (X_3). The inferential evidence conclusively demonstrates that modern academic drive is a multi-dimensional construct that cannot be sustained by technology alone. Collaborative learning acts as an essential social catalyst, proving that student engagement flourishes when structured as a collective responsibility rather than an individualistic endeavor. Meanwhile, the integration of artificial intelligence provides a necessary technical scaffolding that optimizes cognitive efficiency and personalized learning pathways. However, its conservative predictive power serves as a critical reminder that technological tools are merely supplementary. Ultimately, internal psychological conviction, operationalized through self-efficacy, stands as the most dominant anchor of student motivation. Sophisticated digital infrastructure holds little value unless filtered through a robust framework of academic and digital self-confidence. In summary, maximizing adolescent learning passion requires a systematic alignment where social collaboration satisfies the need for relatedness, AI integration streamlines competence, and intentional psychological support reinforces learner autonomy.

FUTURE DIRECTIONS

While this investigation provides substantial insights into the socio-technical dynamics of modern pedagogy, several inherent limitations open vital pathways for subsequent scholarly research. First, given that the current model's predictive variance leaves 53.1% of student learning motivation open to external factors, future studies should explore unexamined variables such as parental support, teachers' pedagogical competencies, and students' emotional intelligence (EQ). Second, because this study relied on a cross-sectional design, executing longitudinal research would be highly valuable to observe whether the motivational impacts of AI integration remain stable or degrade over time due to the fading of the technology's novelty

Copyright at authors some right reserved this work is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution-Share Alike 4.0 International License

effect. Third, subsequent research should adopt mixed-methods or qualitative approaches to explore the deeper psychological nuances behind the overarching dominance of self-efficacy compared to automated AI tools among adolescent learners. Finally, replicating or expanding this empirical framework across more diverse geographical regions, varying socioeconomic backgrounds, and different educational tiers is highly recommended to enhance the generalizability and resilience of these findings within the global digital education ecosystem.

REFERENCES

Al-Rahmi, W. M., Shahzad, A., Al-Rahmi, Y. M., Ghazali, N., Alshammari, M. T., & Al-Adwan, A. M. (2022). The impact of artificial intelligence on enhancing student learning outcomes. *International Journal of Emerging Technologies in Learning*, *17*(18), 30-48.

Ateş, H., & Köroğlu, M. (2024). Online collaborative tools for science education: Boosting learning outcomes, motivation, and engagement. *Journal of Computer Assisted Learning*. Advance online publication.

Azzam, A., & Charles, T. (2024). A review of artificial intelligence in K-12 education. *Open Journal of Applied Sciences*, *14*, 2088-2100.

Basileo, L. D., Otto, B., Lyons, M., Vannini, N., & Toth, M. D. (2024). The role of self-efficacy, motivation, and perceived support of students' basic psychological needs in academic achievement. *Frontiers in Education*, *9*, Article 1385442.

Creswell, J. W., & Creswell, J. D. (2018). *Research design: Qualitative, quantitative, and mixed methods approaches* (5th ed.). SAGE Publications.

Fan, G., Liu, D., Zhang, R., & Pan, L. (2025). The impact of AI-assisted pair programming on student motivation, programming anxiety, collaborative learning, and programming performance. *International Journal of STEM Education*, *12*, Article 16.

Field, A. (2018). *Discovering statistics using IBM SPSS statistics* (5th ed.). SAGE Publications.

Fraenkel, J. R., Wallen, N. E., & Hyun, H. H. (2019). *How to design and evaluate research in education* (10th ed.). McGraw-Hill Education.

Ghozali, I. (2021). *Aplikasi analisis multivariate dengan program IBM SPSS 26*. Badan Penerbit Universitas Diponegoro.

Gitara, V. A., & Fahmawati, Z. N. (2024). Korelasi antara *self-efficacy* dengan motivasi belajar siswa Sekolah Menengah Kejuruan (SMK). *G-COUNS: Jurnal Bimbingan dan Konseling*, *8*(2), 1243-1250.

Hair, J. F., Black, W. C., Babin, B. J., & Anderson, R. E. (2019). *Multivariate data analysis* (8th ed.).

Copyright at authors some right reserved this work is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution-Share Alike 4.0 International License

Cengage Learning.

Johnson, D. W., & Johnson, R. T. (2018). *The anatomy of cooperation: Why can't we get along and how can we?* Interaction Book Company.

Kasumi, H., & Xhemaili, M. (2023). Student motivation and learning: The impact of collaborative learning in English as foreign language classes. *International Journal of Cognitive Research in Science, Engineering and Education (IJCRSEE)*, *11*(2), 301-309.

Kim, K., & Kwon, K. (2025). From co-design to co-teaching: A comprehensive approach to an integrated AI curriculum in middle school STEM education. *Smart Learning Environments*, *12*, Article 57.

Klimova, B., & Pikhart, M. (2025). Exploring the effects of artificial intelligence on student and academic well-being in higher education: A mini-review. *Frontiers in Psychology*, *16*, Article 1498132.

Lin, L., & Talib, M. B. A. (2025). Exploring the relationship between domain-specific self-efficacy and motivation among university students: A systematic review (2019-2024). *Frontiers in Psychology*, *16*, Article 1702507.

Loes, C. N. (2024). The effect of collaborative learning on academic motivation. *Teaching & Learning Inquiry*, *12*, 1-15.

Marreh, S., & Velankar, Y. P. (2024). Recent trends in collaborative learning: A systematic review and analysis. *International Journal of Evaluation and Research in Education (IJERE)*, *13*(4), 2473-2481.

Meng, Q., & Zhang, Q. (2023). The influence of academic self-efficacy on university students' academic performance: The mediating effect of academic engagement. *Sustainability*, *15*(7), Article 5767.

Mohamed, A. M., Shaaban, T. S., Bakry, S. H., Guillén-Gámez, F. D., & Strzelecki, A. (2025). Empowering the faculty of education students: Applying AI's potential for motivating and enhancing learning. *Innovative Higher Education*, *50*, 587-609.

Murniarti, E., & Siahaan, G. (2025). The synergy between artificial intelligence and experiential learning in enhancing students' creativity through motivation. *Frontiers in Education*, *10*, Article 1606044.

Ormrod, J. E., Anderman, E. M., & Anderman, L. H. (2020). *Educational psychology: Developing learners* (10th ed.). Pearson.

Ouyang, F., Mian Wu, M., Zheng, L., Zhang, L., & Jiao, P. (2023). Integration of artificial intelligence performance prediction and learning analytics to improve student learning in online

Copyright at authors some right reserved this work is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution-Share Alike 4.0 International License

engineering course. *International Journal of Educational Technology in Higher Education*, *20*(1), Article 4.

Reeve, J. (2018). *Understanding motivation and emotion* (7th ed.). Wiley.

Ryan, R. M., & Deci, E. L. (2017). *Self-determination theory: Basic psychological needs in motivation, development, and wellness*. Guilford Press.

Schunk, D. H., & DiBenedetto, M. K. (2021). Motivation and social cognitive theory. *Contemporary Educational Psychology*, *60*, Article 101832.

Singh, R., Singh, S. K., & Mishra, N. (2025). Factors influencing student learning performance and continuous use of artificial intelligence in online higher education. *Discover Education*, *4*, Article 292.

Slavin, R. E. (2021). *Educational psychology: Theory and practice* (13th ed.). Pearson.

Sugiyono. (2019). *Metode penelitian kuantitatif, kualitatif, and R&D*. Alfabeta.

Sun, Y. L., Zhao, X. Y., Li, X. M., & Yu, F. Q. (2023). Effectiveness of the flipped classroom on self-efficacy among students: A meta-analysis. *Cogent Education*, *10*(2), Article 2287886.

Uno, H. B. (2016). *Teori motivasi and pengukurannya: Analisis di bidang pendidikan*. Bumi Aksara.

Vu, N. T., Vu, V. T., & Nguyen, V. H. (2024). The impact of artificial intelligence on higher education: A systematic review. *Journal of Information Technology Education: Research*, *23*, 150-175.

Vu, T. V., Scharmer, A. L., van Triest, E., van Atteveldt, N., & Meeter, M. (2024). The reciprocity between various motivation constructs and academic achievement: A systematic review and multilevel meta-analysis of longitudinal studies. *Educational Psychology*, *44*(2), 136-170.

Walker, A., Aguiar, N. R., & Thompson, J. R. L. (2024). Exploring the relationship between student motivation and academic success: A systematic review. *Journal of Online Learning Research*正式, *10*(1), 1-25.

Wang, S., Wang, F., Zhu, Z., Wang, J., Tran, T., & Du, Z. (2024). Artificial intelligence in education: A systematic literature review. *Expert Systems With Applications*, *252*, Article 124167.

Woolfolk, A. (2020). *Educational psychology* (14th ed.). Pearson.

Woreta, G. T., Zewude, G. T., & Józsa, K. (2025). The mediating role of self-efficacy and outcome expectations in the relationship between peer context and academic engagement: A social cognitive theory perspective. *Behavioral Sciences*, *15*(5), Article 681.

Xu, B., Stephens, J. M., & Lee, K. (2024). Assessing student engagement in collaborative learning: Development and validation of new measure in China. *Asia-Pacific Education Researcher*, *33*, 395-405.

Copyright at authors some right reserved this work is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution-Share Alike 4.0 International License

Zheng, X., Johnson, T. E., & Zhou, C. (2020). A pilot study examining the impact of collaborative mind mapping strategy in a flipped classroom: Learning achievement, self-efficacy, motivation, and students' acceptance. *Educational Technology Research and Development*, *68*(6), 3671-3691.

Copyright at authors some right reserved this work is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution-Share Alike 4.0 International License