International Journal of Education, Vocational and Social Science
https://e-journal.citakonsulindo.or.id/index.php/IJEVSS
E-ISSN : 2963 4954
IJEVSS

Internalizing Akhlak Karimah Values To Enhance Students' Psychological Resilience In The Digital Era

Muaini
STID Mustafa Ibrahim Al-Ishlahuddiny Kediri, Indonesia

Corresponding Author: hjmuainij@gmail.com

Abstract

Digital environments have expanded educational access while exposing students to attention fragmentation, cyberbullying, misinformation, social comparison, privacy risks, and problematic patterns of use. This conceptual study examines how the internalization of akhlak karimah can enhance students' psychological resilience in the digital era. Using qualitative library research, the study synthesizes literature on Islamic character education, developmental resilience, digital well-being, moral learning, and adolescent psychology. Content analysis identifies five psychological pathways through which noble character may function as a protective resource: meaning-making, emotional regulation, responsible agency, relational connectedness, and adaptive competence. Values including sabr, tawakkul, sidq, amanah, tabayyun, haya', rahmah, shukr, tawadu', and adl are mapped onto common digital challenges. The findings indicate that these values become psychologically consequential only when they are internalized through modeling, habituation, dilemma discussion, guided practice, reflection, and consistent ecological support. The article proposes an integrated model comprising value foundations, psychological mechanisms, pedagogical processes, family-school-community collaboration, and multidimensional assessment. It also cautions against using moral discourse to stigmatize psychological distress or replace professional mental-health care. The proposed framework positions Islamic character education not as rejection of technology but as preparation for truthful, balanced, compassionate, and responsible participation in digital life.

Keywords: Akhlak Karimah; Psychological Resilience; Islamic Education; Digital Era; Student Well-Being; Value Internalization.

Copyright at authors some right reserved this work is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution-Share Alike 4.0 International License

International Journal of Education, Vocational and Social Science
https://e-journal.citakonsulindo.or.id/index.php/IJEVSS
E-ISSN : 2963 4954
IJEVSS

INTRODUCTION

The digital era has altered the ecology in which students learn, communicate, construct identity, and regulate emotion. Internet-connected devices extend learning beyond classrooms and make knowledge, peer support, religious instruction, and creative participation available at unprecedented speed. At the same time, the same infrastructures expose students to constant comparison, persuasive design, cyberbullying, misinformation, fragmented attention, and pressure to remain publicly visible. Consequently, digital transformation should not be interpreted as a purely technical transition; it is also a moral and psychological transition that changes the situations in which young people must exercise judgment, restraint, courage, and responsibility (UNESCO, 2023; American Psychological Association, 2023).

Adolescence is a developmental period marked by rapid cognitive, social, emotional, and identity-related change. Students are learning to interpret social approval, establish autonomy, manage intense emotions, and develop a coherent sense of self. Digital platforms intervene in each of these tasks by turning peer feedback into quantifiable indicators such as likes, shares, views, and follower counts. Although online relationships can provide belonging and access to support, repeated exposure to idealized lives, hostile interaction, or algorithmically amplified content may intensify vulnerability for some students. The World Health Organization therefore treats adolescent mental health as a major educational and public-health concern that requires supportive environments rather than individual blame (WHO, 2024; Sawyer et al., 2018).

Psychological resilience is especially relevant within this context. Resilience does not mean that a student never experiences sadness, fear, failure, or distress. It refers to a dynamic capacity for positive adaptation when significant challenges threaten development or functioning. This capacity emerges from interactions among personal competencies, relationships, cultural resources, institutions, and opportunities. A resilient student can recognize distress, seek help, regulate impulses, revise an ineffective strategy, preserve hope, and continue meaningful participation without denying genuine difficulty. Modern resilience theory therefore rejects the myth of the invulnerable individual and emphasizes ordinary protective systems embedded in family, school, community, and culture (Masten, 2014; Ungar, 2011).

Islamic education offers a rich moral vocabulary for strengthening these protective systems through akhlak karimah, or noble character. Akhlak concerns relatively stable dispositions that orient perception, emotion, intention, and action toward what is good. It is broader than rule compliance because it seeks the formation of an inner moral orientation from which responsible action arises consistently. Classical Islamic scholarship links character formation with purification

Copyright at authors some right reserved this work is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution-Share Alike 4.0 International License

of the self, habituation, sound knowledge, exemplary companionship, and disciplined practice. In educational terms, akhlak karimah is therefore not an additional subject placed beside academic learning; it is a formative framework for cultivating persons who use knowledge and power responsibly (Al-Ghazali, 2005; Ibn Miskawayh, 1968).

The relevance of akhlak karimah becomes clearer when digital risks are examined as failures of regulation, meaning, and relationship. Impulsive posting reflects weak deliberation; cyberbullying reflects deficient empathy and justice; compulsive scrolling reflects difficulty regulating desire; plagiarism and misinformation reflect failures of honesty and verification; and despair produced by social comparison may be reinforced by a fragile sense of worth. Values such as sabr, amanah, sidq, haya', rahmah, tawakkul, shukr, tawadu', and tabayyun can function as moral resources that guide how students interpret and respond to these situations. Their internalization may strengthen resilience by organizing motives, supporting self-control, and locating personal struggle within a meaningful ethical and spiritual horizon (Al-Attas, 1991; Halstead, 2007).

Nevertheless, moral instruction does not automatically produce resilient behavior. Students may be able to define patience or honesty while continuing to act impulsively online. Internalization requires movement from knowing a value to accepting it, practicing it, reflecting on its consequences, and integrating it into identity. This process depends on credible models, emotionally meaningful experiences, opportunities for agency, and consistency between the messages of school, family, and community. A school that lectures about dignity but humiliates students, or teaches digital responsibility while ignoring online harassment, weakens the credibility of its own moral curriculum. Effective character education must therefore align explicit teaching with institutional culture and daily practice (Krathwohl, Bloom, & Masia, 1964; Lickona, 1991).

Existing studies have extensively discussed adolescent resilience, digital well-being, social-media risk, Islamic character education, and digital ethics. However, these domains are frequently treated separately. Psychological studies may describe coping without examining the religious and moral meanings through which Muslim students understand adversity. Islamic education studies may advocate noble character without specifying the psychological mechanisms that connect particular virtues with adaptation under digital stress. Digital-literacy research may focus on technical competence while giving insufficient attention to the formation of desire, identity, and responsibility. This conceptual fragmentation limits the development of a comprehensive educational response (Livingstone, Mascheroni, & Staksrud, 2018; Hidayat, Fatimah, & Rosidin,

Copyright at authors some right reserved this work is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution-Share Alike 4.0 International License

2022).

This study addresses that gap by constructing a conceptual model that explains how the internalization of akhlak karimah can enhance students' psychological resilience in the digital era. It asks three questions: which digital conditions most strongly challenge student resilience; which akhlak values are most relevant to those conditions; and through what educational processes can these values become durable psychological and behavioral resources? The study argues that akhlak internalization supports resilience through five pathways: meaning-making, emotional regulation, ethical self-control, relational connectedness, and responsible agency. This proposition positions Islamic character education as both moral formation and preventive support for student well-being (Masten, 2014; Seligman, 2011).

The novelty of the article lies in connecting normative Islamic values with contemporary resilience mechanisms and translating that connection into an implementable educational framework. Rather than treating technology as inherently harmful, the framework adopts a balanced position: digital environments contain opportunities and risks whose outcomes depend on design, context, developmental readiness, and patterns of use. Rather than reducing akhlak to slogans, the framework specifies learning experiences, institutional practices, and indicators through which values can be internalized. The expected contribution is a model that can guide Islamic schools, madrasahs, general schools, counselors, families, and curriculum developers in creating digitally competent and psychologically resilient students (UNESCO, 2023; OECD, 2021).

RESEARCH METHODS

This research employed a qualitative library-research design using conceptual and philosophical analysis. Library research was selected because the aim was not to measure the prevalence of a single behavior but to synthesize theoretical explanations, empirical findings, and Islamic normative concepts into a coherent educational model. The study examined scholarly books, peer-reviewed articles, institutional reports, and primary Islamic sources relevant to akhlak, resilience, adolescent development, digital media, character education, and value internalization. The approach is appropriate for clarifying concepts whose meanings are distributed across disciplinary traditions and for identifying relationships that can later be tested empirically (Creswell & Creswell, 2018; Zed, 2018).

The source-selection strategy combined foundational works with recent scholarship. Foundational sources were used to establish the meanings of akhlak, education, resilience, social learning, moral development, and affective internalization. Contemporary sources were used to

Copyright at authors some right reserved this work is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution-Share Alike 4.0 International License

identify current digital conditions, including problematic social-media use, online aggression, social comparison, digital distraction, and the need for digital citizenship. Priority was given to sources with clear methodological or theoretical contributions and to reports from recognized international institutions. The final bibliography contains forty references and is intentionally interdisciplinary so that psychological mechanisms can be interpreted alongside educational and Islamic ethical perspectives (Patton, 2015; Snyder, 2019).

Data collection was conducted through documentation, critical reading, annotation, and thematic coding. Each source was examined for definitions, causal claims, protective factors, educational strategies, limitations, and implications. Statements related to digital risk were classified under attention, emotion, identity, relationship, information, and behavior. Statements related to resilience were classified under regulation, agency, meaning, competence, connection, and support. Islamic moral concepts were classified according to their primary educational functions, while recognizing that each virtue can operate across several domains. This coding process allowed conceptual similarities and tensions to be compared systematically (Miles, Huberman, & Saldaña, 2014).

Content analysis proceeded through data reduction, thematic display, comparison, and interpretive synthesis. During reduction, material not directly connected with student development, digital experience, or moral education was excluded. During thematic display, matrices were developed linking digital challenges to resilience processes and akhlak values. During comparison, modern psychological constructs were not assumed to be identical to Islamic concepts; instead, points of convergence and difference were carefully interpreted. The final synthesis formulated an integrative model consisting of value foundations, psychological pathways, pedagogical processes, ecological supports, and observable outcomes (Miles, Huberman, & Saldaña, 2014; Bowen, 2009).

Source triangulation was used to strengthen credibility. Claims about digital well-being were compared across empirical reviews and institutional guidance; claims about resilience were compared across developmental, ecological, and positive-psychology perspectives; and claims about Islamic character were compared across classical and contemporary educational literature. The study also used negative-case reflection by considering circumstances in which moral discourse could fail, such as authoritarian teaching, moralizing without support, or spiritual explanations that minimize clinical needs. This procedure helps prevent an idealized model that attributes every psychological problem to insufficient faith or character (Ungar, 2011; WHO, 2024).

Copyright at authors some right reserved this work is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution-Share Alike 4.0 International License

The study remains conceptual and therefore does not claim causal proof. It cannot determine the effect size of a particular akhlak intervention, nor can it establish that the same pedagogical strategy is appropriate across age groups and cultural settings. Its contribution is analytic: it proposes plausible mechanisms and an organized implementation framework grounded in existing literature. Future mixed-method and longitudinal studies are needed to test the model, explore student perspectives, and examine whether changes in value internalization predict improved coping, help-seeking, digital self-regulation, and school belonging (Creswell & Creswell, 2018; Masten, 2014).

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

RESULTS

Attention fragmentation and digital overload. Continuous notifications, rapid content switching, and the expectation of immediate response can weaken sustained attention and increase cognitive fatigue. For students, fragmented attention affects not only academic performance but also emotional regulation because tired minds are more reactive and less able to evaluate consequences. Resilience requires the capacity to pause, prioritize, tolerate delayed gratification, and return attention to meaningful tasks. Digital well-being therefore depends on habits and environments that protect concentration rather than relying solely on willpower (Rosen et al., 2013; UNESCO, 2023).

The akhlak response centers on sabr and mujahadat al-nafs. In psychological terms, patience transforms delay from an irritation into disciplined endurance, while self-struggle frames attention management as purposeful moral agency. These values do not operate as abstract labels; they become protective when translated into concrete routines such as delayed posting, device-free study periods, verification checklists, confidential help-seeking, and respectful intervention as a bystander. Their internalization creates a bridge between moral intention and adaptive action, enabling students to retain agency in environments designed to capture attention and intensify emotion (Al-Attas, 1991; Masten, 2014).

Social comparison and unstable self-worth. Image-centered platforms repeatedly expose students to curated achievements, appearance ideals, lifestyles, and public metrics of popularity. Upward comparison may motivate some learners, but it can also produce envy, inadequacy, and the belief that personal worth depends on continuous external recognition. Resilience is weakened when identity is outsourced to unpredictable feedback. Students need a stable evaluative framework that acknowledges growth, limitation, gratitude, and intrinsic dignity (Vogel

Copyright at authors some right reserved this work is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution-Share Alike 4.0 International License

et al., 2014; Seligman, 2011).

The akhlak response centers on shukr, qana'ah, and tawadu'. In psychological terms, gratitude broadens attention toward existing blessings, contentment limits compulsive comparison, and humility supports realistic self-appraisal without self-contempt. These values do not operate as abstract labels; they become protective when translated into concrete routines such as delayed posting, device-free study periods, verification checklists, confidential help-seeking, and respectful intervention as a bystander. Their internalization creates a bridge between moral intention and adaptive action, enabling students to retain agency in environments designed to capture attention and intensify emotion (Al-Attas, 1991; Masten, 2014).

Cyberbullying and relational injury. Online aggression can be persistent, rapidly disseminated, searchable, and witnessed by large audiences. Victims may experience shame, fear, isolation, or reluctance to attend school, while perpetrators may become desensitized because screens obscure emotional cues. Bystanders also face moral choices about forwarding, defending, reporting, or remaining silent. Resilience in this context requires safety, trusted relationships, emotional validation, and effective institutional response; it should never be reduced to asking victims to become tougher (Kowalski et al., 2014; Hinduja & Patchin, 2017).

The akhlak response centers on rahmah, adl, and ukhuwwah. In psychological terms, compassion activates concern, justice requires protection and accountability, and fraternity makes the well-being of peers a shared responsibility. These values do not operate as abstract labels; they become protective when translated into concrete routines such as delayed posting, device-free study periods, verification checklists, confidential help-seeking, and respectful intervention as a bystander. Their internalization creates a bridge between moral intention and adaptive action, enabling students to retain agency in environments designed to capture attention and intensify emotion (Al-Attas, 1991; Masten, 2014).

Misinformation and epistemic confusion. Students encounter persuasive content whose credibility may be difficult to judge. Repetition, emotional language, group loyalty, and visual polish can make false claims feel trustworthy. Epistemic confusion creates anxiety and can polarize relationships, while careless sharing may harm others. Psychological resilience includes cognitive flexibility and the confidence to tolerate uncertainty long enough to investigate evidence. It also includes the humility to correct oneself publicly (Qur'an 49:6; Wineburg & McGrew, 2017).

The akhlak response centers on tabayyun, sidq, and amanah. In psychological terms, verification slows impulsive transmission, truthfulness aligns communication with reality, and trustworthiness emphasizes responsibility for informational consequences. These values do not

Copyright at authors some right reserved this work is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution-Share Alike 4.0 International License

operate as abstract labels; they become protective when translated into concrete routines such as delayed posting, device-free study periods, verification checklists, confidential help-seeking, and respectful intervention as a bystander. Their internalization creates a bridge between moral intention and adaptive action, enabling students to retain agency in environments designed to capture attention and intensify emotion (Al-Attas, 1991; Masten, 2014).

Problematic use and loss of behavioral control. Digital platforms are designed to sustain engagement through variable rewards, personalized recommendations, and frictionless continuation. Some students develop patterns of use that interfere with sleep, study, worship, physical activity, and relationships. The problem is not simply the number of hours online but loss of control and displacement of essential activities. Resilience requires recognizing triggers, redesigning routines, accepting short-term discomfort, and seeking support when self-management is insufficient (WHO Regional Office for Europe, 2024; American Psychological Association, 2023).

The akhlak response centers on i'tidal, wara', and amanah toward the body. In psychological terms, balance prevents extremes, conscientious restraint protects students from doubtful or harmful patterns, and bodily trust reframes health as a responsibility rather than a private possession. These values do not operate as abstract labels; they become protective when translated into concrete routines such as delayed posting, device-free study periods, verification checklists, confidential help-seeking, and respectful intervention as a bystander. Their internalization creates a bridge between moral intention and adaptive action, enabling students to retain agency in environments designed to capture attention and intensify emotion (Al-Attas, 1991; Masten, 2014).

Privacy, oversharing, and digital permanence. Students may disclose personal emotions, locations, conflicts, images, or family information in search of connection and validation. Such disclosure can bring temporary relief, yet it may create long-term risks involving exploitation, embarrassment, identity theft, or renewed distress when old content resurfaces. Resilient digital participation requires boundaries: knowing what to share, with whom, for what purpose, and through which channel (Latifah, 2022; Livingstone et al., 2018).

The akhlak response centers on haya', satr, and hifz al-'ird. In psychological terms, modesty supports thoughtful boundaries, concealment of faults discourages public exposure of vulnerability, and protection of honor includes respecting the privacy of oneself and others. These values do not operate as abstract labels; they become protective when translated into concrete routines such as delayed posting, device-free study periods, verification checklists, confidential

Copyright at authors some right reserved this work is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution-Share Alike 4.0 International License

help-seeking, and respectful intervention as a bystander. Their internalization creates a bridge between moral intention and adaptive action, enabling students to retain agency in environments designed to capture attention and intensify emotion (Al-Attas, 1991; Masten, 2014).

Fear of missing out and compulsive connectedness. The perception that meaningful events are happening elsewhere can generate repeated checking and difficulty disengaging. Students may remain online late at night to preserve social inclusion, even when this reduces sleep and increases irritability. Fear of exclusion is developmentally understandable, but resilience requires the capacity to be temporarily absent without interpreting absence as social erasure (Przybylski et al., 2013; Deci & Ryan, 2000).

The akhlak response centers on tawakkul, qana'ah, and disciplined companionship. In psychological terms, trust reduces the illusion that every opportunity must be controlled, contentment weakens scarcity thinking, and healthy companionship establishes relationships that do not demand constant digital proof. These values do not operate as abstract labels; they become protective when translated into concrete routines such as delayed posting, device-free study periods, verification checklists, confidential help-seeking, and respectful intervention as a bystander. Their internalization creates a bridge between moral intention and adaptive action, enabling students to retain agency in environments designed to capture attention and intensify emotion (Al-Attas, 1991; Masten, 2014).

Academic pressure and perfectionistic display. Digital learning systems increase access and flexibility but also make performance continuously visible through dashboards, rankings, instant feedback, and public showcases. Students may feel compelled to appear productive even when confused or exhausted. When mistakes become threats to identity, they avoid difficult tasks or hide problems. Resilience grows when failure is interpreted as information, effort is connected with purpose, and help-seeking is normalized (Dweck, 2006; Yeager & Dweck, 2012).

The akhlak response centers on sabr, tawakkul, and itqan. In psychological terms, patience sustains effort, trust protects against despair when results are uncertain, and excellence encourages careful work without equating imperfection with worthlessness. These values do not operate as abstract labels; they become protective when translated into concrete routines such as delayed posting, device-free study periods, verification checklists, confidential help-seeking, and respectful intervention as a bystander. Their internalization creates a bridge between moral intention and adaptive action, enabling students to retain agency in environments designed to capture attention and intensify emotion (Al-Attas, 1991; Masten, 2014).

Copyright at authors some right reserved this work is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution-Share Alike 4.0 International License

Online identity experimentation and authenticity. Digital spaces allow students to explore interests and communities, but they also encourage strategic self-presentation. Maintaining multiple personas can create tension between public image and private experience. Resilience is strengthened by coherent identity: the ability to adapt across contexts without abandoning core commitments. Authenticity does not require disclosing everything; it requires consistency between values, intentions, and conduct (Al-Ghazali, 2005; Bandura, 1986).

The akhlak response centers on ikhlas, sidq, and muraqabah. In psychological terms, sincerity directs action beyond applause, honesty reduces self-deception, and awareness of divine observation supports integrity in spaces where adult monitoring is absent. These values do not operate as abstract labels; they become protective when translated into concrete routines such as delayed posting, device-free study periods, verification checklists, confidential help-seeking, and respectful intervention as a bystander. Their internalization creates a bridge between moral intention and adaptive action, enabling students to retain agency in environments designed to capture attention and intensify emotion (Al-Attas, 1991; Masten, 2014).

Artificial intelligence and diminished agency. Generative tools can support explanation, accessibility, and creativity, yet unreflective use may weaken effort, authorship, and confidence in one's own capacity. Students may submit generated work they do not understand or depend on automated reassurance for decisions requiring human judgment. Resilience requires active competence, willingness to struggle productively, and accountability for outputs (UNESCO, 2023; Kasneci et al., 2023).

The akhlak response centers on amanah, sidq, and talab al-'ilm. In psychological terms, trustworthiness governs disclosure and attribution, truthfulness prohibits misrepresentation, and the pursuit of knowledge values understanding rather than the mere appearance of completion. These values do not operate as abstract labels; they become protective when translated into concrete routines such as delayed posting, device-free study periods, verification checklists, confidential help-seeking, and respectful intervention as a bystander. Their internalization creates a bridge between moral intention and adaptive action, enabling students to retain agency in environments designed to capture attention and intensify emotion (Al-Attas, 1991; Masten, 2014).

Copyright at authors some right reserved this work is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution-Share Alike 4.0 International License

International Journal of Education, Vocational and Social Science
https://e-journal.citakonsulindo.or.id/index.php/IJEVSS
E-ISSN : 2963 4954
IJEVSS

DISCUSSION

AKHLAK KARIMAH AS A SYSTEM OF PROTECTIVE MEANING

Resilience is partly determined by how adversity is interpreted. The same academic failure can be interpreted as proof of permanent inadequacy, as punishment, as random misfortune, or as a difficult but meaningful opportunity for learning. Akhlak education shapes this interpretive field by connecting conduct with purpose, responsibility, divine mercy, and the possibility of reform. Sabr prevents immediate hardship from defining the whole future; tawakkul combines effort with acceptance of what cannot be controlled; and raja' sustains hope without denying consequences. These meanings can reduce helplessness because they locate the student as an accountable but not abandoned agent (Pargament, 1997; Abu-Raiya & Pargament, 2011).

Meaning-based resilience differs from simplistic positive thinking. It does not require students to call every painful experience good or to suppress anger and grief. Islamic moral formation allows lament, repentance, consultation, and patient action while rejecting despair and destructive response. In school counseling, this distinction is crucial. A student who experiences cyberbullying needs protection and validation before being encouraged to find meaning. Spiritual language should enlarge available coping resources, not silence legitimate emotion or protect institutions from accountability (WHO, 2024; Pargament, 1997).

Akhlak also provides a coherent hierarchy of goods. Digital environments often reward visibility, speed, novelty, and engagement, whereas Islamic ethics prioritizes truth, benefit, dignity, justice, and accountability. When students internalize this hierarchy, they gain criteria for resisting platform incentives. They can ask not only whether a post will attract attention but whether it is truthful, beneficial, respectful, and consistent with their responsibilities. Such criteria reduce decision fatigue and protect identity from being reorganized around transient metrics (Halstead, 2007; Latifah, 2022).

EMOTIONAL REGULATION THROUGH SABR, HAYA', AND RAHMAH

Sabr is frequently translated as patience, but educationally it includes endurance, impulse control, persistence, and principled restraint. In digital contexts, sabr appears when a student pauses before responding to provocation, continues studying despite notification urges, tolerates the discomfort of being offline, or persists after an unsuccessful attempt. These acts strengthen executive regulation through practice. The moral meaning of patience can increase motivation because self-control is understood not merely as compliance with adult rules but as the cultivation of a valued self (Baumeister & Vohs, 2007; Al-Ghazali, 2005).

Copyright at authors some right reserved this work is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution-Share Alike 4.0 International License

Haya' contributes to emotional regulation when understood as dignified moral sensitivity rather than toxic shame. Toxic shame tells students that they are fundamentally defective, whereas healthy haya' alerts them that an action may violate dignity or relationship. The educational task is to criticize harmful conduct without humiliating the person. When schools use public shaming to teach modesty, they can increase secrecy, defensiveness, and psychological distress. When they teach reflective boundaries, consent, and restoration, haya' becomes a protective capacity that supports privacy and thoughtful self-presentation (Tangney, Stuewig, & Mashek, 2007; Latifah, 2022).

Rahmah regulates both interpersonal and intrapersonal responses. Compassion toward others reduces the likelihood of cruelty and increases supportive behavior, while self-compassion helps students acknowledge failure without collapsing into self-hatred. Self-compassion is not indulgence; it combines kindness with accurate recognition of responsibility. In an Islamic framework, mercy is linked with repentance, repair, and renewed effort. This combination is especially valuable after digital mistakes, because students need pathways to remove content, apologize, restore trust, and learn rather than assuming that a permanent digital record makes moral growth impossible (Neff, 2003; Seligman, 2011).

AMANAH, SIDQ, AND THE DEVELOPMENT OF RESPONSIBLE AGENCY

Agency is the capacity to act intentionally and influence one's circumstances. Digital systems can strengthen agency by giving students tools to create, organize, communicate, and learn. They can also weaken agency when behavior becomes automatic or outsourced. Amanah restores agency by framing access, information, time, attention, and technological capability as trusts. The student is not merely a consumer responding to stimuli but a steward accountable for how resources are used and how others are affected (Al-Attas, 1991; Bandura, 2001).

Sidq supports resilience because truthful self-assessment is necessary for effective coping. Students cannot change a habit they consistently deny, seek support for distress they conceal from themselves, or learn from work falsely presented as their own. Truthfulness includes accurate attribution, honest communication about difficulty, and congruence between online identity and actual conduct. It also protects relationships, which are among the strongest resilience resources. Trust grows when teachers respond to honest disclosure with fairness rather than immediate humiliation (Masten, 2014; Lickona, 1991).

Copyright at authors some right reserved this work is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution-Share Alike 4.0 International License

Responsible agency also requires boundaries around accountability. Students are responsible for choices within their control, but they are not responsible for every algorithmic exposure, abusive message, family constraint, or mental-health symptom. An ethical resilience model avoids blaming vulnerable students for failing to self-regulate in harmful environments. Schools and technology providers hold amanah as well: they must establish reporting systems, protect privacy, provide reasonable workloads, and design learning environments that do not exploit attention (American Psychological Association, 2023; UNESCO, 2023).

RELATIONAL RESILIENCE THROUGH UKHUWWAH, ADL, AND TA'AWUN

Resilience is relational before it is individual. Students recover more effectively when at least one dependable adult listens, peers offer belonging, and institutions respond predictably. The Islamic values of ukhuwwah and ta'awun transform support from optional kindness into a shared moral obligation. Classrooms can operationalize these values through peer mentoring, cooperative learning, restorative circles, and structured bystander action. Such practices make help-seeking less stigmatizing because giving and receiving assistance become normal forms of communal responsibility (Ungar, 2011; Vygotsky, 1978).

Adl is essential because belonging without justice can protect dominant groups while silencing victims. A resilient school community needs transparent procedures for cyberbullying, harassment, plagiarism, privacy violations, and discriminatory content. Students should know how to report, what evidence to preserve, how confidentiality is handled, and what restorative or disciplinary responses may follow. Consistency increases psychological safety, while arbitrary punishment increases anxiety and encourages concealment (Hinduja & Patchin, 2017; OECD, 2021).

Teacher-student relationships are especially important in digital learning, where reduced nonverbal cues can make misunderstanding more likely. Teachers who communicate warmth, clarity, and reasonable availability provide co-regulation that students gradually internalize. They also model how to disagree respectfully, correct misinformation, and acknowledge uncertainty. This modeling gives akhlak observable form. Students learn that authority can coexist with humility and that correction can protect dignity rather than destroy it (Bandura, 1986; Noddings, 2013).

Copyright at authors some right reserved this work is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution-Share Alike 4.0 International License

INTERNALIZATION: FROM MORAL KNOWLEDGE TO STABLE DISPOSITION

The first stage of internalization is value recognition. Students need language for naming digital experiences and moral choices: comparison, manipulation, consent, privacy, verification, cyberbullying, addictive design, and algorithmic influence. At this stage, teachers clarify meanings and use examples relevant to students' platforms and developmental level. Recognition alone is insufficient, but without it students may experience discomfort without understanding the ethical structure of the situation (Krathwohl et al., 1964; Wineburg & McGrew, 2017).

The second stage is value encounter, in which students examine realistic dilemmas. Case studies can ask whether a private screenshot should be forwarded, whether AI assistance must be disclosed, how to respond to an insulting comment, or when a friend needs adult help. Dilemmas make tensions visible: honesty versus loyalty, privacy versus safety, freedom versus responsibility, and compassion versus accountability. Through guided dialogue, students learn that moral judgment involves reasons and consequences rather than memorized labels (Rest et al., 1999; Suparno, 1997).

The third stage is guided practice. Students use verification protocols, attention plans, reflection journals, peer-support scripts, and restorative communication. Practice must occur repeatedly and across contexts because habits are strengthened through enactment. Teachers provide feedback on both outcomes and processes, praising specific acts of restraint, courage, empathy, or honesty rather than fixed moral identities. This reduces performative virtue and reinforces the idea that character develops through effort (Bandura, 1986; Dweck, 2006).

The fourth stage is reflective integration. Students examine how actions affected emotion, relationships, learning, and spiritual well-being. Reflection can include muhasabah prompts: What triggered my response? Which value was at stake? Who benefited or was harmed? What will I do differently? Reflection transforms experience into self-knowledge and supports metacognitive regulation. It should remain confidential when appropriate so that spiritual practice does not become surveillance (Al-Ghazali, 2005; Zimmerman, 2002).

The fifth stage is identity and commitment. Values become more stable when students perceive them as part of who they are and the communities to which they belong. School narratives, rituals, peer norms, and leadership opportunities can reinforce identities such as trustworthy researcher, compassionate classmate, responsible digital citizen, and persistent learner. Yet identity language must remain open to growth; students who fail should be invited to repair rather than labeled hypocritical or immoral (Lickona, 1991; Deci & Ryan, 2000).

Copyright at authors some right reserved this work is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution-Share Alike 4.0 International License

PEDAGOGICAL STRATEGIES FOR SCHOOLS AND MADRASAHS

Curriculum integration should connect akhlak with actual digital practices across subjects. Islamic Religious Education can analyze Quranic communication principles, while language classes examine respectful discourse, science classes evaluate online evidence, social studies investigate platform power, and counseling sessions teach emotional regulation and help-seeking. Integration prevents digital ethics from becoming an isolated annual seminar. It also demonstrates that truthfulness, responsibility, and compassion govern every domain of knowledge (Halstead, 2007; UNESCO, 2023).

Case-based learning is particularly suitable because digital dilemmas are contextual. Teachers can anonymize incidents involving group chats, viral rumors, edited images, academic dishonesty, or online exclusion and ask students to map stakeholders, harms, values, options, and likely consequences. The goal is not to expose individual students but to rehearse judgment before crises occur. High-quality cases include ambiguity and require students to balance several legitimate concerns (Suparno, 1997; Rest et al., 1999).

Project-based learning can convert moral concepts into public contribution. Students might design a verification campaign, create a peer guide for healthy group-chat norms, develop a device-use agreement, produce short videos on compassionate bystander action, or audit the accessibility and privacy of educational applications. Projects strengthen competence and relatedness while giving students visible evidence that they can improve their digital environment. Agency itself is protective against helplessness (Deci & Ryan, 2000; Bandura, 2001).

Reflective practice should include both spiritual and psychological language. A weekly digital muhasabah can ask students to review attention, emotion, communication, learning, worship, sleep, and relationships. They can identify one strength, one concern, and one realistic adjustment. Teachers should avoid demanding disclosure of private sins or mental-health details. The purpose is self-regulation, not confession to authority. Confidentiality and referral procedures are therefore essential (Zimmerman, 2002; WHO, 2024).

Teacher modeling remains decisive. Students observe whether teachers cite sources, respect privacy, respond calmly to criticism, admit errors, avoid humiliating posts, and maintain reasonable digital boundaries. Contradictions between instruction and adult behavior are quickly detected. Professional development should therefore include educators' own digital well-being and communication practices, not only techniques for controlling student devices (Bandura, 1986; Noddings, 2013).

Copyright at authors some right reserved this work is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution-Share Alike 4.0 International License

School counseling should be integrated with akhlak education without being reduced to religious advice. Counselors can use students' values and spiritual practices as coping resources while screening for depression, anxiety, trauma, self-harm risk, or problematic use that may require specialized intervention. Referral to mental-health professionals is compatible with tawakkul because seeking appropriate treatment is an exercise of responsible effort. Moral language must never substitute for safeguarding or clinical care (Abu-Raiya & Pargament, 2011; WHO, 2024).

FAMILY, COMMUNITY, AND PLATFORM ECOLOGY

Families shape digital habits through routines, modeling, emotional climate, and communication. Rules are more effective when developed through dialogue and linked to shared values rather than imposed unpredictably. Parents can establish device-free meals and sleep periods, discuss online experiences without immediate accusation, and model verification before forwarding messages. Warm monitoring makes disclosure more likely when students encounter danger (Livingstone et al., 2018; American Psychological Association, 2023).

Religious and community institutions can reinforce the model by addressing contemporary digital situations in sermons, youth programs, and mentoring. Messages should move beyond prohibition and engage the reasons students use digital media: belonging, creativity, information, entertainment, and identity. When adults dismiss these needs, guidance loses credibility. Community programs can provide alternative spaces for meaningful connection, service, physical activity, and skilled mentorship (Hidayat et al., 2022; Deci & Ryan, 2000).

Platform design is an ecological factor that schools cannot fully control. Infinite scrolling, public metrics, recommendation algorithms, and default notifications shape behavior. Teaching students to change settings is useful, but responsibility should not be placed exclusively on minors. Educational leaders should evaluate privacy, data collection, persuasive features, and accessibility when selecting technologies. Procurement is therefore an ethical decision connected to institutional amanah (UNESCO, 2023; Livingstone et al., 2018).

Collaboration among school, family, and community should be organized around shared principles but flexible practices. A single device-time limit may not fit students who use technology for accessibility, family work, or long-distance relationships. The relevant question is whether use supports or displaces sleep, learning, worship, physical health, and relationships. Context-sensitive guidance is more consistent with resilience theory than rigid universal rules (Ungar, 2011; WHO Regional Office for Europe, 2024).

Copyright at authors some right reserved this work is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution-Share Alike 4.0 International License

ASSESSMENT AND INDICATORS OF CHANGE

Assessment should distinguish moral knowledge, internal motivation, behavior, and psychological outcomes. A student may know the correct answer on a test while behaving differently under peer pressure. Schools can combine scenario-based assessment, self-reflection, observation, digital portfolios, and anonymous climate surveys. Indicators might include verification habits, respectful communication, willingness to seek help, recovery after setbacks, attention-management strategies, and perceived belonging (Krathwohl et al., 1964; Zimmerman, 2002).

Resilience assessment should avoid labeling students as permanently resilient or non-resilient. Capacity varies across contexts and time. A student may cope well academically but struggle socially, or show strong faith-based meaning while lacking access to supportive adults. Assessment is most useful when it identifies resources and barriers that can be changed. It should inform support rather than rank moral worth (Masten, 2014; Ungar, 2011).

Program evaluation can examine proximal and distal outcomes. Proximal outcomes include knowledge of digital risks, value vocabulary, confidence in reporting, and use of coping strategies. Intermediate outcomes include reduced impulsive posting, improved sleep routines, stronger school belonging, and more constructive conflict resolution. Distal outcomes may include lower psychological distress, sustained academic engagement, and responsible digital participation. Longitudinal designs are needed because character and resilience develop gradually (Yeager & Dweck, 2012; Snyder, 2019).

Student voice should be central to evaluation. Adults may misinterpret which platforms, pressures, or support strategies matter most. Focus groups, participatory design, and anonymous feedback can reveal hidden norms and unintended effects. Including students also strengthens agency and communicates that they are partners in moral community rather than objects of control (OECD, 2021; Noddings, 2013).

RISKS, ETHICAL CAUTIONS, AND LIMITATIONS

An akhlak-resilience framework can be misused if psychological distress is interpreted as evidence of weak faith or deficient character. Mental-health conditions arise through complex biological, psychological, relational, and social pathways. Virtues may support coping, but they do not make students immune to illness or eliminate the need for professional care. Educators must explicitly reject stigma and distinguish moral responsibility from symptoms beyond immediate control (WHO, 2024; Masten, 2014).

Copyright at authors some right reserved this work is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution-Share Alike 4.0 International License

Another risk is authoritarian moralization. Surveillance, public shaming, forced disclosure, and blanket prohibition may produce outward compliance while weakening trust and internal motivation. Internalization requires autonomy-supportive explanation, meaningful choice, and opportunities for repair. Islamic moral authority is not diminished by compassionate pedagogy; rather, dignity and mercy make guidance more credible and developmentally effective (Deci & Ryan, 2000; Halstead, 2007).

Cultural interpretation also requires caution. Terms such as haya', obedience, and patience can be understood differently across communities. Educators should prevent these values from being used to silence reports of abuse, discrimination, or exploitation. Patience does not require passive endurance of injustice, and modesty does not transfer responsibility from perpetrators to victims. Adl and protection from harm must remain integral to interpretation (Tangney et al., 2007; Hinduja & Patchin, 2017).

The conceptual model cannot substitute for local needs assessment. Age, gender, disability, socioeconomic conditions, school type, family access, and local digital culture influence both risk and available resources. Implementation should therefore begin with listening and mapping rather than importing a fixed package. The model offers principles and mechanisms, while communities must adapt examples, language, and support structures responsibly (Ungar, 2011; Creswell & Creswell, 2018).

Table 1. Conceptual Synthesis of Akhlak Karimah and Psychological Resilience

Digital Challenge	Relevant Akhlak Values	Resilience Mechanism	Educational Practice
Attention fragmentation	Sabr; mujahadat al-nafs	Impulse control and sustained attention	Notification audit and focused-learning routines
Social comparison	Shukr; qana'ah; tawadu'	Stable self-worth and realistic appraisal	Gratitude reflection and metric-free portfolios
Cyberbullying	Rahmah; adl; ukhuwwah	Social support, safety, and restorative coping	Bystander protocols and restorative response

Copyright at authors some right reserved this work is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution-Share Alike 4.0 International License

Misinformation	Tabayyun; sidq; amanah	Cognitive flexibility and responsible judgment	Lateral reading and verification checklist
Problematic use	I'tidal; wara'; amanah	Behavioral self-regulation and balance	Device plans, sleep protection, and referral
Privacy and oversharing	Haya'; satr; hifz al-'ird	Boundary setting and dignity protection	Consent scenarios and privacy review
Academic setbacks	Sabr; tawakkul; itqan	Persistence, hope, and growth-oriented coping	Process feedback and revision opportunities

PRACTICAL IMPLEMENTATION FRAMEWORK

PHASE 1: ETHICAL AND PSYCHOLOGICAL NEEDS MAPPING

Implementation should begin with a confidential mapping of students' digital routines, perceived pressures, support networks, and existing moral language. Schools can combine anonymous surveys, student forums, teacher observations, and parent consultation. The aim is not to identify individual offenders but to understand patterns such as late-night group-chat expectations, common misinformation channels, fear of reporting, or unequal access. Mapping protects relevance: interventions address actual experience rather than adult assumptions. It also provides a baseline for later evaluation and demonstrates shura by treating students as knowledgeable participants in their own environment (OECD, 2021; Ungar, 2011).

PHASE 2: SHARED LANGUAGE AND SAFEGUARDING INFRASTRUCTURE

Before intensive value instruction, schools need clear safeguarding systems. Students must know whom to contact, how privacy will be protected, and what happens after a report. Staff require protocols for cyberbullying, threats, sexual exploitation, self-harm content, and data breaches. Alongside these structures, the school develops shared language connecting digital situations with values and coping processes. Amanah is linked with data stewardship, rahmah with supportive response, and adl with consistent investigation. Moral education becomes credible when institutional action embodies the values being taught (Hinduja & Patchin, 2017; WHO, 2024).

Copyright at authors some right reserved this work is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution-Share Alike 4.0 International License

PHASE 3: CURRICULUM AND ROUTINE DESIGN

The school then embeds learning in recurring routines rather than isolated events. A brief weekly scenario can be discussed in homeroom; source verification can be required across assignments; reflection can accompany project submission; and classroom agreements can specify respectful digital communication. Small repeated practices reduce implementation burden and encourage transfer. Each practice should name its purpose so that students connect behavior with values and resilience. For example, pausing before posting is not merely obedience to a rule; it is sabr, tabayyun, and protection of future relationships (Krathwohl et al., 1964; Zimmerman, 2002).

PHASE 4: ADULT CAPACITY AND COHERENCE

Teachers, counselors, administrators, and parents need opportunities to examine their own digital habits and disagreements. Students receive conflicting messages when one teacher bans all devices, another requires instant online response, and parents forward unverified claims. Professional learning can establish common minimum principles while allowing contextual flexibility. Adults practice non-shaming correction, clear boundary communication, and referral decisions. Coherence does not require identical rules, but it requires that differences can be explained through shared goals of learning, dignity, safety, and balance (Bandura, 1986; Noddings, 2013).

PHASE 5: STUDENT LEADERSHIP AND PEER CULTURE

Student leadership extends internalization from personal behavior to social responsibility. Trained peer ambassadors can support campaigns, welcome disclosures, model bystander strategies, and advise staff on emerging platform norms. Their role must have boundaries; they should not investigate serious incidents or provide therapy. Participation develops competence and service while changing peer expectations. When respected students demonstrate that verification, privacy, and help-seeking are signs of maturity, protective behavior gains social legitimacy (Bandura, 2001; Deci & Ryan, 2000).

PHASE 6: REVIEW, RESTORATION, AND CONTINUOUS ADAPTATION

Digital environments evolve, and policies should be reviewed at least annually with students and families. Evaluation examines both intended outcomes and harms: whether reporting feels safer, whether rules disproportionately burden certain groups, whether students

Copyright at authors some right reserved this work is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution-Share Alike 4.0 International License

understand values, and whether well-being indicators improve. When violations occur, restorative processes can combine accountability, repair, education, and appropriate consequence. Continuous adaptation expresses tawadu' at the institutional level—the willingness to acknowledge that a policy may be incomplete and to improve it through evidence and consultation (Snyder, 2019; Rest et al., 1999).

CONCLUSION

The digital era expands educational possibility while creating new pressures on attention, identity, emotion, relationship, and moral judgment. Students require more than technical literacy to navigate these pressures. They need psychological resources that help them recover from setbacks, regulate impulses, seek support, interpret adversity meaningfully, and act responsibly under uncertainty. Resilience provides a useful framework for these capacities, but it becomes more culturally and educationally meaningful when connected with the moral traditions through which students understand the good life (Masten, 2014; UNESCO, 2023).

Akhlak karimah contributes to resilience through interconnected pathways. Sabr supports persistence and delayed response; tawakkul and raja' sustain hope under uncertainty; sidq and tabayyun support reality-based judgment; amanah strengthens accountable agency; haya' and hifz al-'ird guide privacy and dignity; rahmah, ukhuwwah, ta'awun, and adl build relational protection; and shukr, qana'ah, ikhlas, and tawadu' stabilize identity against compulsive comparison. These values are not psychological techniques in disguise, yet they can generate psychological protection when sincerely understood and repeatedly practiced (Al-Ghazali, 2005; Halstead, 2007).

Internalization is the decisive educational process. Values become resilient dispositions through recognition, encounter with dilemmas, guided practice, reflection, identity formation, and consistent ecological support. Curriculum, teacher modeling, counseling, school policy, family routines, community mentoring, and technology selection must communicate compatible norms. Isolated lectures cannot compensate for institutions that tolerate humiliation, misinformation, unsafe reporting, or exploitative digital practices (Krathwohl et al., 1964; Lickona, 1991).

The proposed model therefore integrates five components: a foundation of akhlak values; psychological pathways of meaning, regulation, agency, connection, and competence; pedagogical processes of modeling, habituation, dialogue, practice, and reflection; ecological supports involving family, school, community, and platform governance; and multidimensional assessment of knowledge, behavior, well-being, and participation. This model is intended as a

Copyright at authors some right reserved this work is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution-Share Alike 4.0 International License

conceptual foundation for empirical development rather than a final universal intervention (Ungar, 2011; Snyder, 2019).

Ultimately, enhancing psychological resilience through akhlak karimah means educating students who can remain humane amid speed, truthful amid misinformation, balanced amid excess, hopeful amid uncertainty, and responsible amid technological power. Such education does not reject the digital world. It prepares students to inhabit it with wisdom, dignity, and the capacity to recover, contribute, and continue growing (Al-Attas, 1991; Seligman, 2011).

FUTURE DIRECTIONS

Future research should operationalize the proposed constructs and test their relationships. Scale development can examine students' internalization of specific akhlak values in digital contexts, while resilience measures can assess regulation, help-seeking, belonging, and recovery. Structural models may explore whether meaning-making, self-control, and social support mediate the relationship between akhlak internalization and well-being. Qualitative interviews should accompany measurement so that students' own interpretations are not lost (Creswell & Creswell, 2018; Masten, 2014).

Intervention studies should compare pedagogical approaches rather than merely measuring knowledge before and after lectures. Promising models include case-based learning, peer mentoring, digital muhasabah, restorative practice, family workshops, and project-based digital citizenship. Researchers should examine differential effects across age, gender, school context, and baseline vulnerability, and should report unintended effects such as shame, concealment, or excessive monitoring (Snyder, 2019; Tangney et al., 2007).

Longitudinal research is necessary because both resilience and character unfold over time. Studies can track whether students retain verification habits, improve recovery after online conflict, develop healthier attention routines, and seek help earlier. Digital trace data may offer insight, but collection must respect consent, privacy, and data minimization. Research ethics should embody the same amanah and dignity that the intervention seeks to teach (Livingstone et al., 2018; UNESCO, 2023).

Finally, comparative research can investigate how Islamic moral resources interact with other cultural and religious traditions. Such work can identify shared protective principles while preserving conceptual distinctiveness. Collaboration among Islamic education scholars, psychologists, counselors, technologists, parents, and students will be essential for translating the framework into credible, safe, and contextually responsive practice (Abu-Raiya & Pargament,

Copyright at authors some right reserved this work is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution-Share Alike 4.0 International License

2011; Ungar, 2011).

REFERENCES

Abu-Raiya, H., & Pargament, K. I. (2011). Empirically based psychology of Islam: Summary and critique of the literature. Mental Health, Religion & Culture, 14(2), 93–115.

Al-Attas, S. M. N. (1991). The Concept of Education in Islam. International Institute of Islamic Thought and Civilization.

Al-Ghazali. (2005). Ihya' 'Ulum al-Din. Dar al-Kutub al-'Ilmiyyah.

American Psychological Association. (2023). Health advisory on social media use in adolescence. American Psychological Association.

Bandura, A. (1986). Social Foundations of Thought and Action: A Social Cognitive Theory. Prentice Hall.

Bandura, A. (2001). Social cognitive theory: An agentic perspective. Annual Review of Psychology, 52, 1–26.

Baumeister, R. F., & Vohs, K. D. (Eds.). (2007). Handbook of Self-Regulation: Research, Theory, and Applications. Guilford Press.

Bowen, G. A. (2009). Document analysis as a qualitative research method. Qualitative Research Journal, 9(2), 27–40.

Creswell, J. W., & Creswell, J. D. (2018). Research Design: Qualitative, Quantitative, and Mixed Methods Approaches (5th ed.). SAGE.

Deci, E. L., & Ryan, R. M. (2000). The 'what' and 'why' of goal pursuits: Human needs and the self-determination of behavior. Psychological Inquiry, 11(4), 227–268.

Dweck, C. S. (2006). Mindset: The New Psychology of Success. Random House.

Halstead, J. M. (2007). Islamic values: A distinctive framework for moral education? Journal of Moral Education, 36(3), 283–296.

Hidayat, A., Fatimah, S., & Rosidin, D. N. (2022). Challenges and prospects of Islamic education institutions and sustainability in the digital era. Nazhruna: Jurnal Pendidikan Islam, 5(2), 351–366.

Hinduja, S., & Patchin, J. W. (2017). Cultivating youth resilience to prevent bullying and cyberbullying victimization. Child Abuse & Neglect, 73, 51–62.

Ibn Miskawayh. (1968). The Refinement of Character (C. K. Zurayk, Trans.). American University of Beirut.

Kasneci, E., Sessler, K., Küchemann, S., et al. (2023). ChatGPT for good? On opportunities and

Copyright at authors some right reserved this work is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution-Share Alike 4.0 International License

challenges of large language models for education. Learning and Individual Differences, 103, 102274.

Kowalski, R. M., Giumetti, G. W., Schroeder, A. N., & Lattanner, M. R. (2014). Bullying in the digital age: A critical review and meta-analysis. Psychological Bulletin, 140(4), 1073–1137.

Krathwohl, D. R., Bloom, B. S., & Masia, B. B. (1964). Taxonomy of Educational Objectives: Handbook II, Affective Domain. David McKay.

Latifah, N. (2022). Reconstructing digital ethics in Islamic education: Responding to the culture of oversharing in the social media era. International Journal of Education, Vocational and Social Science, 1(1), 1–17.

Lickona, T. (1991). Educating for Character: How Our Schools Can Teach Respect and Responsibility. Bantam Books.

Livingstone, S., Mascheroni, G., & Staksrud, E. (2018). European research on children's internet use: Assessing the past and anticipating the future. New Media & Society, 20(3), 1103–1122.

Masten, A. S. (2014). Ordinary Magic: Resilience in Development. Guilford Press.

Miles, M. B., Huberman, A. M., & Saldaña, J. (2014). Qualitative Data Analysis: A Methods Sourcebook (3rd ed.). SAGE.

Neff, K. D. (2003). Self-compassion: An alternative conceptualization of a healthy attitude toward oneself. Self and Identity, 2(2), 85–101.

Noddings, N. (2013). Caring: A Relational Approach to Ethics and Moral Education (2nd ed.). University of California Press.

OECD. (2021). Beyond Academic Learning: First Results from the Survey of Social and Emotional Skills. OECD Publishing.

Pargament, K. I. (1997). The Psychology of Religion and Coping. Guilford Press.

Patton, M. Q. (2015). Qualitative Research & Evaluation Methods (4th ed.). SAGE.

Przybylski, A. K., Murayama, K., DeHaan, C. R., & Gladwell, V. (2013). Motivational, emotional, and behavioral correlates of fear of missing out. Computers in Human Behavior, 29(4), 1841–1848.

Rest, J. R., Narvaez, D., Bebeau, M. J., & Thoma, S. J. (1999). Postconventional Moral Thinking: A Neo-Kohlbergian Approach. Lawrence Erlbaum.

Rosen, L. D., Carrier, L. M., & Cheever, N. A. (2013). Facebook and texting made me do it: Media-induced task-switching while studying. Computers in Human Behavior, 29(3), 948–958.

Sawyer, S. M., Azzopardi, P. S., Wickremarathne, D., & Patton, G. C. (2018). The age of

Copyright at authors some right reserved this work is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution-Share Alike 4.0 International License

adolescence. The Lancet Child & Adolescent Health, 2(3), 223–228.
Seligman, M. E. P. (2011). Flourish: A Visionary New Understanding of Happiness and Well-Being. Free Press.
Snyder, H. (2019). Literature review as a research methodology: An overview and guidelines. Journal of Business Research, 104, 333–339.
Suparno, P. (1997). Filsafat Konstruktivisme dalam Pendidikan. Kanisius.
Tangney, J. P., Stuewig, J., & Mashek, D. J. (2007). Moral emotions and moral behavior. Annual Review of Psychology, 58, 345–372.
UNESCO. (2023). Global Education Monitoring Report 2023: Technology in Education—A Tool on Whose Terms? UNESCO.
Ungar, M. (2011). The social ecology of resilience: Addressing contextual and cultural ambiguity of a nascent construct. American Journal of Orthopsychiatry, 81(1), 1–17.
Vogel, E. A., Rose, J. P., Roberts, L. R., & Eckles, K. (2014). Social comparison, social media, and self-esteem. Psychology of Popular Media Culture, 3(4), 206–222.
Vygotsky, L. S. (1978). Mind in Society: The Development of Higher Psychological Processes. Harvard University Press.
WHO. (2024). Mental health of adolescents. World Health Organization.
WHO Regional Office for Europe. (2024). Teens, screens and mental health: Findings from the Health Behaviour in School-aged Children study. WHO Regional Office for Europe.
Wineburg, S., & McGrew, S. (2017). Lateral reading: Reading less and learning more when evaluating digital information. Stanford History Education Group.
Yeager, D. S., & Dweck, C. S. (2012). Mindsets that promote resilience: When students believe that personal characteristics can be developed. Educational Psychologist, 47(4), 302–314.
Zed, M. (2018). Metode Penelitian Kepustakaan. Yayasan Pustaka Obor Indonesia.
Zimmerman, B. J. (2002). Becoming a self-regulated learner: An overview. Theory Into Practice, 41(2), 64–70.

Copyright at authors some right reserved this work is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution-Share Alike 4.0 International License